	WHERTAL PROTECTION	
Mar	in Manne	
DENTING		ĺ
1		Ĩ

ANIMAL CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (C ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	CI)
AIRS ID#: 0950168 DATE: <u>12/16/13</u>	ARRIVE: <u>8:54 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>12:00 PM</u>
FACILITY NAME: JANCY PET BURIAL SERVICE		
FACILITY LOCATION: 4596 Laughlin Road		
ZELLWOOD 32798		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: CAR Email: jancypetcremations@yahoo.com CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 7/18/2013 / 7/18/2018	EL BEGLEY PHONE: (40 Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	07)884-7336
(effective date) (end date)		
F	acility Section	
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (ch	eck 🗹 only one box)	
IN COMPLIANCE IMINOR Non-COMP	LIANCE SIGNIFICANT No	n-COMPLIANCE
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Carl Begley/Own	ner	(check \square only one box for each question)
Brief Notes:		
2. Is the Authorized Representative still CARL BEGLEY If no, who is?:	?	XesNo
If different, did the facility provide an administrative up 3. Is the facility contact still ? If no, who is?:		
 Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's in If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 	spection? days in advance?	XesNo XesNo YesNo

Emissions Unit Section <u>1 – ANIMAL CREMATOR UNIT #1</u>

	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
	 a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or after August 30, 1989? b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the 	Yes	⊠No
	secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	Yes	No
3.	Manufacturer's recommended capacity: $\underline{75}$ \Box lbs for batch unit \boxtimes lbs/hr for ram-charged unit. Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007?	Yes	No
5.	Date of last inspection: 12/19/2012 Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:		
	a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?		∐No ⊠No
	 c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation? d. Date of last VE test: 12/19/2012 	Yes	No
	 e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test? If no, what was the problem (if known)? 		□No □No

PART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes Yes	No
a. Operating capacity during test? <u>~80</u> \square lbs for batch unit \boxtimes lbs/hr for ram-charged unit b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?	Yes	No
c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations?d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No
 e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six minute average. f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? 	Xes Yes	No
(5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes	in any one-hour)	
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit? a. Operating capacity during test? $\underline{79}$ [] lbs for batch unit [] lbs/hr for ram-charged unit	🛛 Yes	No
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No
d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six minute average.	\boxtimes Yes	No
f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	Yes	DNo
(5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes	-	
3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standar	ds?	XNo
If yes, what reason?		

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS		(check \mathbf{M} only one box for each question)	
1. Were there any objectionable odors detected?	Yes	🖾No	
An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were: Wind direction Downwind odor level detected Upwind odor level detected	Scale: 1-10	(worst)	
 2. Continuous Monitoring Systems – Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions? b Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence time at 1,800¹ 1,600² degrees was determined? (Application or initial notification: ¹ received on or after 8/30/89; ² received before 8/30/89) 	e	□No □No	
 c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years? (1) All temperature measurements		No	
 (2) All continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurement monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations	🛛 Yes 🖄 Yes 🖄 Yes 🕅 Yes	□No □No □No □No □No	
 d. Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings e. Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07? If no, skip e.(1) – (3)	🗌 Yes atically	□No ⊠No	
 control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	city 🗌 Yes	No	
accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	Ves (check 🗹	No	
PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	`	only one h question)	
 If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the cre process begins in the primary chamber? 	mation	□No □No	
 2. If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°I throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	Ves mation	□No	
	(check 🗹		
PART V: <u>ALLOWED MATERIALS</u>	box for eac	-	
 Besides animal remains and, if applicable, the bedding associated with the animals and appropriate are any other materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?		⊠No	
 Do containers contain no more than 0.5 percent by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer?		□No □No	

PART VI: <u>EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	•
 Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications? Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction? Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics? If no, skip a b. a. Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each operating shift? b. Was the flame adjusted when necessary? 	🛛 Yes 🖾 Yes 🖾 Yes	 No No No No No
PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check 🗹 only one box)		

 \boxtimes IN COMPLIANCE

MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE

SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

Emissions Unit Section <u>2 – ANIMAL CREMATOR UNIT #2</u>

PA	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
	a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or after August 30, 1989?	Yes	No
l	b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	□ Yes	□No
3.	Manufacturer's recommended capacity: <u>75</u> lbs for batch unit lbs/hr for ram-charged unit. Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007?	Yes	No
5.	Date of last inspection: 12/19/2012 Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:		—
	a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?		∐No ⊠No
	 c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation?	Yes	No
	 e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test? If no, what was the problem (if known)? 		□No □No

PART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Xes Yes	No
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations?		□No □No
d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six minute average.	Yes	No
 f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	Yes in any one-hour)	LNo
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?	Xes Yes	No
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations?		□No □No
d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six minute average.	Yes	L.No
f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	Yes in any one-hour)	LNo
3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standar	ds? □ Yes	🖾No
If yes, what reason?		

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(check 🗹 box for each	(check \mathbf{M} only one box for each question)	
1. Were there any objectionable odors detected?	Yes	🖾No	
An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were: Wind direction Downwind odor level detected Upwind odor level detected	Scale: 1-10	(worst)	
 2. Continuous Monitoring Systems – a Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions? b Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas resident time at □ 1,800¹ □ 1,600² degrees was determined? (Application or initial notification: ¹ received on or after 8/30/89; ² received before 8/30/89) 	Xes	□No □No	
 c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years? (1) All temperature measurements		No	
 (2) All continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurement monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations	🛛 Yes 🖄 Yes 🕅 Yes 🕅 Yes	No No No No No	
 d. Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings e. Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07? If no, skip e.(1) – (3) (1) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to autor 	DYes matically	□No ⊠No	
 control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	oacity Ves	□No □No □No	
accordance with the manufacturer's recommended manifemance schedule:	(check 🗹	only one	
PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	box for each		
 If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the cr process begins in the primary chamber? 	remation	□No	
 2. If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600 throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the cr process begins in the primary chamber? 	Ves remation	□No	
	(check 🗹	only one	
PART V: <u>ALLOWED MATERIALS</u>	box for each		
 Besides animal remains and, if applicable, the bedding associated with the animals and appropriat are any other materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?		⊠No	
2. Do containers contain no more than 0.5 percent by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer?		□No □No	

PART VI: <u>EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE</u>	(check ☑ box for each	•
 Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications? Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction? Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?	🛛 Yes 🖾 Yes 🕅 Yes	 No No No No No
PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check 🗹 only one box)		

IN COMPLIANCE

MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE

SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

Emissions Unit Section <u>3 – ANIMAL CREMATOR UNIT #3</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹	only one
1. a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or	box for each	question
after August 30, 1989?	Yes	🖾No
b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the		
secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time		
at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	Yes	No
2. Manufacturer's recommended capacity: $\underline{75}$ \Box lbs for batch unit \boxtimes lbs/hr for ram-charged unit.		
3. Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007?	Yes	🖾No
4. Date of last inspection: $12/19/2012$		
5. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:		
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	- 🛛 Yes	No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	- 🗌 Yes	🖾No
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing		—
operation? N/A	Yes	No
d. Date of last VE test: <u>12/19/2012</u>		
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?	- 🛛 Yes	□No
f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test?		No
If no, what was the problem (if known)?		

PART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Xes Yes	No
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No
d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six minute average.	Yes Yes	No
f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	Yes in any one-hour)	No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?	Xes Yes	No
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations?	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No
d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six minute average.	Yes	No
f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	Yes in any one-hour)	No
3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standar		M No
If yes, what reason?	∐ Yes	⊠No

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS		(check 🗹 only one box for each question)	
1. Were there any objectionable odors detected?	🗌 Yes	XNo	
An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were: Wind direction Downwind odor level detected Upwind odor level detected	Scale: 1-10	(worst)	
 2. Continuous Monitoring Systems – a Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions? b Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence time at □ 1,800¹ ⊠ 1,600² degrees was determined?		□No □No	
 c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years? (1) All temperature measurements		No	
 (2) All continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements; monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations	🛛 Yes 🖾 Yes 🖾 Yes 🖾 Yes	No No No No No	
 d. Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings e. Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07? If no, skip e.(1) – (3) (1) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automate 	🗌 Yes tically	□No ⊠No	
 control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	ty Yes	No	
	(check 🗹		
PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	box for eac	-	
 If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the crem process begins in the primary chamber? 	nation	□No □No	
 2. If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	nation	□No	
process begins in the printery enumber.	(check 🗹		
PART V: <u>ALLOWED MATERIALS</u>	box for eac		
 Besides animal remains and, if applicable, the bedding associated with the animals and appropriate c are any other materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit? If yes, what other materials? 		⊠No	
2. Do containers contain no more than 0.5 percent by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer?		□No □No	

PART VI: <u>EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	•	
 Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications? Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction? Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?	- 🛛 Yes 🖾 Yes 🖾 Yes	 No No No No No 	
PART VII: <u>EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS</u> (check 🗹 only one box)			

SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE

 \boxtimes IN COMPLIANCE

Emissions Unit Section <u>4 – ANIMAL CREMATOR UNIT #4</u>

	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
	a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or after August 30, 1989?	Yes	XNo
	b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	T Yes	□No
3.	Manufacturer's recommended capacity: <u>75</u> lbs for batch unit lbs/hr for ram-charged unit. Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007?	Yes	No
5.	Date of last inspection: 12/19/2012 Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:		—
	a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?		∐No ⊠No
	 c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation?	Yes	No
	 e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test? If no, what was the problem (if known)? 		□No □No

box for each question) 1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	PART II: VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING	(check 🗹	only one
a. Operating capacity during test? 80 □ lbs for batch unit ⊠ lbs/hr for ram-charged unit Yes b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity? ♀ Yes No c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations? Yes No d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? Yes No e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0 % for the highest six minute average. Yes No f. Did the visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit? ♀ Yes No No c. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit? ♀ Yes No No c. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit? ♀ Yes No a. Operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity? ♀ Yes No a. Operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity? ♀ Yes No b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity? ♀ Yes No c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations? ♀ Yes No c. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? ♀ Yes <		box for each	question)
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?	1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Xes Yes	No
c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations? Yes No d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? Yes No e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0 % for the highest six minute average. Yes No f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? Yes No (5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes in any one-hour) Yes No 2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit? Yes No a. Operating capacity during test? 80 Ibs for batch unit Ibs/hr for ram-charged unit Yes No b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity? Yes No Yes No c. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? Yes No Yes No b. Was the visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0 % for the highest six minute average. Yes No c. Was the visible emission test conducted according to EPA Method 9? Yes No c. Was the visible emission test conducted according to EPA Method 9? Yes <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>			
 d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? ∑ Yes ∴.No e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0 % for the highest six minute average. f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? ∑ Yes ∴.No (5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes in any one-hour) 2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit? ∑ Yes ∴.No a. Operating capacity during test? 80 ☐ lbs for batch unit ∑ lbs/hr for ram-charged unit b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity? ∑ Yes ∴.No c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations? ∑ Yes ∴.No d. Was the visible emission test conducted according to EPA Method 9? ∑ Yes ∴.No e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0 % for the highest six minute average. f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? ∑ Yes ∴.No 			
 e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0 % for the highest six minute average. f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? ∑ YesNo (5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes in any one-hour) 2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit? ∑ YesNo a. Operating capacity during test? 80 □ lbs for batch unit ∑ lbs/hr for ram-charged unit b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity? ∑ Yes □No c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations? ∑ Yes □No d. Was the visible emission test conducted according to EPA Method 9? ∑ Yes □No e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0 % for the highest six minute average. f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? ∑ Yes □No 			=
 f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?		🛛 Yes	LNo
(5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes in any one-hour) 2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit? a. Operating capacity during test? 80 lbs for batch unit ⊠ lbs/hr for ram-charged unit b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity? No c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations? Yes d. Was the visible emission test conducted according to EPA Method 9? Yes No e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0% for the highest six minute average. Yes No f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? Yes No		_	_
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit? □			
a. Operating capacity during test? 80 □ lbs for batch unit □ lbs/hr for ram-charged unit b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity? … □ Yes …No c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations? □ Yes …No d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? … □ Yes …No e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0% for the highest six minute average. Image: Yes …No f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? … Yes …No	(5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes	in any one-hour)	
a. Operating capacity during test? 80 □ lbs for batch unit □ lbs/hr for ram-charged unit b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity? … □ Yes …No c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations? □ Yes …No d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? … □ Yes …No e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0% for the highest six minute average. Image: Yes …No f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? … Yes …No			
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity? X YesNo c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations? XesNo d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? YesNo e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six minute average. f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? YesNo		🛛 Yes	∐No
c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations? d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six minute average. f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? YesNo YesNo		— – –	—
d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? Image: Conducted according to EPA Method 9? e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0 % for the highest six minute average. Image: Conducted according to EPA Method 9? f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? Image: Conducted according to EPA Method 9?		=	=
e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six minute average. f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? \Box Yes \Box No			=
f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? 🛛 Yes 🗌No		🖂 Yes	L.No
		_	_
(5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes in any one-hour)	f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	🖂 Yes	L.No
	(5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes	in any one-hour)	
3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standards?	3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standar	ds?	
🗌 Yes 🛛 🖾 No		∐ Yes	⊠No
If yes, what reason?	If yes, what reason?		

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS		(check 🗹 only one box for each question)	
1. Were there any objectionable odors detected?	🗌 Yes	🖾No	
An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were: Wind direction Downwind odor level detected Upwind odor level detected	_ Scale: 1-10	(worst)	
 2. Continuous Monitoring Systems – a Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions? b Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence time at □ 1,800¹ ⊠ 1,600² degrees was determined?		□No □No	
 c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years? (1) All temperature measurements		No	
 (2) All continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements, monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations (3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on <u>12/5/13</u>) (4) Adjustments	🛛 Yes 🖄 Yes 🖾 Yes 🕅 Yes	□No □No □No □No □No	
 d. Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings e. Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07? If no, skip e.(1) – (3) (1) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automate control combustion based on continuous in stack operative measurement? 	- 🗌 Yes ically	□No ⊠No	
 control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	ty 🗌 Yes	No	
	(check ☑ box for eacl	-	
 PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the crem process begins in the primary chamber? If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the crem 		No	
process begins in the primary chamber?		No	
PART V: <u>ALLOWED MATERIALS</u>	(check ☑ box for eacl	only one h question)	
 Besides animal remains and, if applicable, the bedding associated with the animals and appropriate co are any other materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit? If yes, what other materials? 		⊠No	
 Do containers contain no more than 0.5 percent by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer?		□No □No	

PART VI: <u>EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE</u>	(check ☑ box for each	•	
 Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications? Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction? Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?	🛛 Yes 🖾 Yes 🖾 Yes	<pre>NoNoNoNoNoNoNo</pre>	
PART VII: <u>EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS</u> (check ☑ only one box)			

SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE

IN COMPLIANCE

Emissions Unit Section <u>6 – ANIMAL CREMATOR UNIT #6</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		(check 🗹	only one
1. a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or		box for each	question)
after August 30, 1989?		Yes	🖾No
b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the			
secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time			
at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?		Yes	No
2. Manufacturer's recommended capacity: $\underline{75}$ \Box lbs for batch unit \boxtimes lbs/hr for ram-charged unit.			
3. Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007?		Yes	🖾No
4. Date of last inspection: $12/19/2012$			
5. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:			
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?		X Yes	No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?		Yes	🖾No
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing		_	_
operation? \boxtimes N/	/A	Yes	□No
d. Date of last VE test: 12/19/2012			
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?		X Yes	□No
f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test?		X Yes	\square No
If no, what was the problem (if known)?			
If no, what was the problem (if known).			

PART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check 🗹	only one
	box for each	question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	🛛 Yes	No
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?	Xes Yes	No
c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations?	🛛 Yes	No
d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	🛛 Yes	□No
e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six minute average.		
f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	🛛 Yes	No
(5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes	in any one-hour)	
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit? a. Operating capacity during test? 80 🗌 lbs for batch unit 🔀 lbs/hr for ram-charged unit	Yes	□No
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?	🛛 Yes	L.No
c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations?	Yes	L.No
d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	🛛 Yes	L.No
e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six minute average.		—
f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	🛛 Yes	No
(5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes	in any one-hour)	
3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standar If yes, what reason?	ds?	XNo

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(check ☑ box for each	(check \square only one box for each question)	
1. Were there any objectionable odors detected?	🗌 Yes	🖾No	
An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were: Wind direction Downwind odor level detected Upwind odor level detected	Scale: 1-10 ((worst)	
 2. Continuous Monitoring Systems – a Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions? b Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence time at □ 1,800¹ □ 1,600² degrees was determined?		□No □No	
 c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years? (1) All temperature measurements	🛛 Yes	DNo	
monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations (3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on <u>12/05/2013</u>)	Xes	□No] Yes	
 No (4) Adjustments (5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices (6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices 	🛛 Yes	□No □No □No	
 d. Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings e. Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07? If no, skip e.(1) – (3)	🗌 Yes	□No ⊠No	
 control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	ity	□No	
 (3) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule? 		No	
PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)	
 If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the crem process begins in the primary chamber? 	nation	□No	
 2. If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	🛛 Yes nation	□No	
	(check 🗹	only one	
PART V: <u>ALLOWED MATERIALS</u>	box for each		
 Besides animal remains and, if applicable, the bedding associated with the animals and appropriate of are any other materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?		⊠No	
2. Do containers contain no more than 0.5 percent by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer?		⊠No	

PART VI: <u>EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)	
 Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications? Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction? Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?	- 🛛 Yes - 🖾 Yes - 🖾 Yes	□No □No □No □No □No	
PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check 🗹 only one box)			
IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			

Facility Section (continued)

SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
 <u>Administrative Changes</u>: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representati associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	s or	⊠No □No
 New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been	Yes	□No □No □No □No □No □No

Norma Ali

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

12/16/2013

Date of Inspection

12/31/14

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: The OCEPD inspector Norma Ali, met with Mr. Carl Begley/owner and Todd Clark, consultant from Southern Environmental Sciences, Inc. to audit the Annual Visual Emission Test on the five active emission points 001, 002, 003, 004 and 006. Opacity observed on all of the EU was of zero percent. No objectionable odors or PM was noticed leaving the property, at the time of inspection.