

ANIMAL CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	(CI)		
AIRS ID#: 0950168 DATE: <u>12/12/2011</u>	ARRIVE: <u>8:30 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>11:30 AM</u>		
FACILITY NAME: JANCY PET BURIAL SERVICE				
FACILITY LOCATION: 10200 LAUGHLIN RD)			
ZELLWOOD 32798				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: CAL Email: CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 7/14/2008 / 7/14/2013 (effective date) (end date)	Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	(407)884-7336		
Facility Section				
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (c.				
IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COM		Non-COMPLIANCE		
IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Carl Begley Brief Notes:		Non-COMPLIANCE (check 🗹 only one box for each question)		
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Carl Begley	PLIANCE SIGNIFICANT	(check 🗹 only one box for each question)		
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Carl Begley Brief Notes: 2. Is the Authorized Representative still CARL BEGLEY	PLIANCE SIGNIFICANT	(check ☑ only one box for each question) ☑ Yes □No		

Emissions Unit Section <u>1 – ANIMAL CREMATOR UNIT #1</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹	only one
1. a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or	box for each	question)
after August 30, 1989?	Yes	🖾No
b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the		
secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time		
at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	Yes	No
2. Manufacturer's recommended capacity: $\underline{75}$ \Box lbs for batch unit \boxtimes lbs/hr for ram-charged unit.		
3. Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007?	Yes	🖾No
4. Date of last inspection: $11/23/2010$		
5. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:		
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	Yes	No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?		🖾No
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing	_	—
operation? X N/A	T Yes	□No
d. Date of last VE test: 11/23/2010		
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?	Yes	□No
f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test?		\square No
If no, what was the problem (if known)?		

PART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check 🗹	only one
	box for each	question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Xes Yes	No
a. Operating capacity during test? 82 \square lbs for batch unit \square lbs/hr for ram-charged unit	_	
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?	Yes	⊠No
c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations?	🛛 Yes	L.No
d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	🛛 Yes	L.No
e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six minute average.		_
f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	🛛 Yes	No
(5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes	in any one-hour)	
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit? a. Operating capacity during test? <u>82</u> \Box lbs for batch unit \boxtimes lbs/hr for ram-charged unit	Xes Yes	No
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?	T Yes	🖂No
c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations?	Xes	No
d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	🕅 Yes	No
e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six minute average.		_
f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	X Yes	No
(5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes	in any one-hour)	
3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standar	ds?	XNo
If yes, what reason?		

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(check 🗹 box for eacl	
1. Were there any objectionable odors detected?	🗌 Yes	🖾No
An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were: Wind direction Downwind odor level detected Upwind odor level detected	_ Scale: 1-10	(worst)
 2. Continuous Monitoring Systems – a Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions? b Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence time at □ 1,800¹ ⊠ 1,600² degrees was determined?		□No □No
 c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years? (1) All temperature measurements		No
 (2) All continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements, monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations	🛛 Yes 🖾 Yes 🖾 Yes 🖾 Yes	No No No No No
 d. Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings e. Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07? If no, skip e.(1) – (3)	- 🗌 Yes ically	□No ⊠No
 control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	ty 🗌 Yes	No
decordance while the manufacturer of recommonded maintenance senedure.	(check 🗹	
PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	box for each	· -
 If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the crem process begins in the primary chamber? 	ation	□No
 2. If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	ation	No
process begins in the primary chamber?	Ves	No
	(-11 1	a 1 · · · ·
PART V: ALLOWED MATERIALS	(check 🗹 box for eacl	only one h question)
 PART V: <u>ALLOWED MATERIALS</u> Besides animal remains and, if applicable, the bedding associated with the animals and appropriate container are any other materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?	box for each ontainers,	

PART VI: <u>EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	•		
 Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications? Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction? Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?	🛛 Yes 🖾 Yes 🖾 Yes	<pre>NoNoNoNoNoNoNo</pre>		
PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box) Image: Status and St				

IN COMPLIANCE

MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE

SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

Emissions Unit Section <u>2 – ANIMAL CREMATOR UNIT #2</u>

	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
	a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or after August 30, 1989?	Xes Yes	No
	b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	🖂 Yes	□No
3.	Manufacturer's recommended capacity: $\underline{75}$ \Box lbs for batch unit \boxtimes lbs/hr for ram-charged unit. Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007?	Yes	⊠No
	Date of last inspection:11/23/2010Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:		
	a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?		□No ⊠No
	c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation? N/A	Yes	No
	 d. Date of last VE test: <u>11/23/2011</u> e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test? If no, what was the problem (if known)? 		□No □No

PART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check 🗹	only one
	box for each	question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes Yes	No
a. Operating capacity during test? 80 \square lbs for batch unit \boxtimes lbs/hr for ram-charged unit		
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?	Yes	🖾No
c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations?	🛛 Yes	No
d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	🛛 Yes	No
e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six minute average.		
f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	🛛 Yes	No
(5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes	in any one-hour)	
		_
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?	🛛 Yes	L.No
a. Operating capacity during test? 80 \square lbs for batch unit \boxtimes lbs/hr for ram-charged unit	_	
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?	Yes	🖾No
c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations?	Yes Yes	L.No
d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	🛛 Yes	L.No
e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six minute average.	_	_
f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	🖂 Yes	L.No
(5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes	in any one-hour)	
	1.0	
3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standar	_	
	Yes	⊠No
If yes, what reason?		

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(check ☑ box for each	
1. Were there any objectionable odors detected?	- 🗌 Yes	🖾No
An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were: Wind direction Downwind odor level detected Upwind odor level detected	_ Scale: 1-10	(worst)
 2. Continuous Monitoring Systems – Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions? b Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence time at ∑ 1,800¹ □ 1,600² degrees was determined? (Application or initial notification: ¹ received on or after 8/30/89; ² received before 8/30/89) 	Yes	□No □No
 c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years? (1) All temperature measurements (2) All continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements; 	- 🛛 Yes	No
 (2) All continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements; monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations	🛛 Yes 🖾 Yes 🖾 Yes	□No □No □No □No □No
 d. Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings e. Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07? If no, skip e.(1) - (3)	- 🗌 Yes cally	□No ⊠No
 control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	y 🗌 Yes	□No □No □No
	(check 🗹	
PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	box for eacl	-
 If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the crema process begins in the primary chamber? 		□No
 2. If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	ation	No
process begins in the primary chamber?		No
PART V: <u>ALLOWED MATERIALS</u>	(check ☑ box for eacl	only one n question)
 Besides animal remains and, if applicable, the bedding associated with the animals and appropriate co are any other materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit? If yes, what other materials? 		⊠No
 Do containers contain no more than 0.5 percent by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer?		⊠No ⊠No

PART VI: <u>EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	•		
 Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications? Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction? Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?	🛛 Yes 🖾 Yes 🖾 Yes	<pre>NoNoNoNoNoNoNo</pre>		
PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box) Image: Status and St				

IN COMPLIANCE

MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE

SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

Emissions Unit Section <u>3 – ANIMAL CREMATOR UNIT #3</u>

PA	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1.	 a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or after August 30, 1989? b. If use, user design calculations provided that to confirm a sufficient values in the 	Yes	No
1	b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	T Yes	□No
3.	Manufacturer's recommended capacity: $\underline{75}$ \Box lbs for batch unit \boxtimes lbs/hr for ram-charged unit. Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007?	Yes	⊠No
	Date of last inspection: 12/28/2010 Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:		—
	a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?		∐No ⊠No
	 c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation? N/A d. Date of last VE test: 12/28/2010 	Yes	No
	 d. Date of last VE test: <u>12/28/2010</u> e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test? If no, what was the problem (if known)? 		□No □No

PART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
 1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	 Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes 	□No □No □No □No
 (5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes 2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?	Yes Yes	□No □No □No □No
 e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six minute average. f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	Yes in any one-hour)	No
3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standar If yes, what reason?	r ds?	⊠No

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
1. Were there any objectionable odors detected?	🗌 Yes 🛛No
An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were: Wind direction Downwind odor level detected Upwind odor level detected	Scale: 1-10 (worst)
 2. Continuous Monitoring Systems – a Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	XesNo
 c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years? (1) All temperature measurements	ts;
 monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations (3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on <u>12/2/11</u>) (4) Adjustments (5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices 	XesNo YesNo
(6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devicesd. Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of	
 when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings e. Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07? If no, skip e.(1) – (3)	🗌 Yes 🖾No
 control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	YesNo
(3) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	
	(check 🗹 only one
 PART IV: <u>SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES</u> If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the: actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F 	box for each question)
 throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	emation
 2. If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600° throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	F ⊠ Yes □No
process begins in the primary chamber?	
	(check 🗹 only one
PART V: <u>ALLOWED MATERIALS</u>	box for each question)
 Besides animal remains and, if applicable, the bedding associated with the animals and appropriate are any other materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?	
 Do containers contain no more than 0.5 percent by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer?	

PART VI: <u>EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE</u>	(check ☑ box for each	•	
 Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications? Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction? Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?	🛛 Yes 🖾 Yes 🖾 Yes	<pre>NoNoNoNoNoNoNo</pre>	
PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box)			

SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE

 \boxtimes IN COMPLIANCE

Emissions Unit Section <u>4 – ANIMAL CREMATOR UNIT #4</u>

	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
	a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or after August 30, 1989?	Yes	No
	b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	Xes	□No
3.	Manufacturer's recommended capacity: $\underline{75}$ \Box lbs for batch unit \boxtimes lbs/hr for ram-charged unit. Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007?	Yes	⊠No
	Date of last inspection:11/23/2010Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:		
	a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?		□No ⊠No
	c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation? N/A	Yes	No
	 d. Date of last VE test: <u>11/23/2010</u> e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test? If no, what was the problem (if known)? 		□No □No

PART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check 🗹	only one
	box for each	question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Xes Yes	No
a. Operating capacity during test? 83 \Box lbs for batch unit \boxtimes lbs/hr for ram-charged unit		
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?	Yes	⊠No
c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations?	Yes	L.No
d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	🛛 Yes	L.No
e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six minute average.		—
f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?		L.No
(5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes	in any one-hour)	
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?	🛛 Yes	No
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?	Yes	🖾No
c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations?	🛛 Yes	No
d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	🛛 Yes	No
e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0% for the highest six minute average.		
f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	🛛 Yes	No
(5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes	in any one-hour)	
3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standar	ds?	
	Yes	🖾No
If yes, what reason?		

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(check \square only one box for each question)
1. Were there any objectionable odors detected?	YesNo
An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were: Wind direction Downwind odor level detected Upwind odor level detected	Scale: 1-10 (worst)
 2. Continuous Monitoring Systems – a Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	⊠ Yes □No e
 c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years? (1) All temperature measurements (2) All continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurement 	
 monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations (3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on <u>12/2/11</u>) (4) Adjustments (5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices 	⊠ Yes □No ⊠ Yes □No
(6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	
 d. Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings e. Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07? If no, skip e.(1) – (3)	🗌 Yes 🛛No
control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?(2) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opa	YesNo
 exceeds 15% opacity ? (3) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule? 	
	(check 🗹 only one
PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	box for each question)
 If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the cree process begins in the primary chamber? 	emation
 2. If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600° throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	F ⊠ Yes □No
b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the cre process begins in the primary chamber?	
	(check 🗹 only one
PART V: <u>ALLOWED MATERIALS</u>	box for each question)
 Besides animal remains and, if applicable, the bedding associated with the animals and appropriate are any other materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?	
 Do containers contain no more than 0.5 percent by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer?	

PART VI: <u>EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE</u>	(check ☑ box for each	•	
 Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications? Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction? Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?	🛛 Yes 🖾 Yes 🖾 Yes	<pre>NoNoNoNoNoNoNo</pre>	
PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box)			

SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE

IN COMPLIANCE

Emissions Unit Section <u>6 – ANIMAL CREMATOR UNIT #6</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹	only one
1. a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or	box for each	question)
after August 30, 1989?	Xes Yes	No
b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the		
secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time		
at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	🛛 Yes	No
2. Manufacturer's recommended capacity: $\underline{75}$ \Box lbs for batch unit \boxtimes lbs/hr for ram-charged unit.		
3. Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007?	Yes	🖾No
4. Date of last inspection: $11/23/2010$		
5. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:		
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	Xes Yes	No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	TYes	🖾No
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing	_	
operation? N/A	T Yes	□No
d. Date of last VE test: $11/23/2010$		
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?	X Yes	□No
f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test?	X Yes	\square No
If no, what was the problem (if known)?		

PART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	🛛 Yes	No
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?	☐ Yes ⊠ Yes	⊠No □No
d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six minute average.	\boxtimes Yes	No
f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	Yes	No
(5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes	_	_
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit? a. Operating capacity during test? <u>77</u> [] lbs for batch unit [] lbs/hr for ram-charged unit		∐No
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity? c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations?		No
d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six minute average.	Yes	L.No
f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	Yes in any one-hour)	No
3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standards?		
If yes, what reason?	∐ Yes	⊠No

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(check 🗹 only one box for each question)	
1. Were there any objectionable odors detected?	- 🗌 Yes	🖾No
An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were: Wind direction Downwind odor level detected Upwind odor level detected	_ Scale: 1-10	(worst)
 2. Continuous Monitoring Systems – a Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions? b Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence time at \$\leftilde{1}\$ 1,800¹ \$\begin{array}{c} 1,600^2\$ degrees was determined?		□No □No
 c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years? (1) All temperature measurements (2) All continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements; 	- 🛛 Yes	No
 (2) All continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements, monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations	🛛 Yes 🖾 Yes 🖾 Yes	No No No No No
 d. Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings e. Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07? If no, skip e.(1) - (3)	- 🗌 Yes ically	□No ⊠No
 control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	y 🗌 Yes	No
	(check 🗹	
PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	box for eacl	-
 If the application to construct was BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the crema process begins in the primary chamber? 		□No □No
 2. If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	ation	No
process begins in the primary chamber?		No
PART V: ALLOWED MATERIALS	(check ☑ box for eacl	only one h question)
 Besides animal remains and, if applicable, the bedding associated with the animals and appropriate co are any other materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit? If yes, what other materials? 		⊠No
 Do containers contain no more than 0.5 percent by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer?		⊠No ⊠No

PART VI: <u>EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	
 Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications? Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction? Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics? If no, skip a b. a. Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each operating shift? b. Was the flame adjusted when necessary?	- 🛛 Yes - 🖾 Yes - 🖾 Yes	 No No No No
PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box)		

IN COMPLIANCE	MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE	

SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

Facility Section (continued)

SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
 <u>Administrative Changes</u>: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	s or	⊠No □No
 <u>New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership</u>: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been	 Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes 	□No ⊠No ⊠No ⊠No ⊠No □No

Bill Rhodes

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

12/12/2011

Date of Inspection

12/12/2011

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: Bill Rhodes, representing OCEPD, arrived at the facility at approximately 8:30 AM, to audit 5-VEs on the animal cremator units, being performed, as per annual requirements. Dale Wingler and Todd Clark representing Southern Environmental Sciences, Inc., the consultant, arrived at approximately 8:45 AM. Mr. Begley noted that EU-007 had been removed from service (10/27/2011), disconnected from the natural gas, and all temperature charts and recorders removed (verified by OCEPD personnel). The unit would permanently be removed, and never placed back into service. OCEPD personnel asked Mr. Begley to submit a letter on company letterhead, explaining what had occurred, and future plans. VEs were audited for emission units 1,2,3,4, and 6. EU-007 was not tested for reasons explained above. The observed opacity for all units was 0%. All units were operating within the recommended rate (75 lbs/hour). All logbooks (7) were reviewed and in order. All machines were calibrated on 12/2/2011. The temperature charts and digital readings compared for all 5-machines during the VE tests were normal and within range and all

passed. All temperature charts were reviewed for the entire year, and were correctly labeled with dates, name of operator, reasons for downtime, and repairs. No objectionable odors were noted on-site during the VEs.