

## PERCHLOROETHYLENE DRY CLEANERS



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVEI	· ,			
AIRS ID#: 0950300 DA	ГЕ: <u>7/14/2011</u>	ARRIVE: <u>09:00AM</u>	<b>DEPART:</b> <u>09:31AM</u>			
FACILITY NAME: AC	E QUALITY CLEANERS					
FACILITY LOCATION	: 431 E Michigan Street					
	ORLANDO 32806					
OWNER/AUTHORIZEI Email: CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIC	DREPRESENTATIVE: AJA  DD: 10/16/2006 / 10/16/200  (effective date) (end date)	Mobile: PHONE Mobile:	: (407)422-5735 :			
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ✓ only one box)  ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
A. 1. Existing small dry-to-dry onl transfer only, both types, x < (constructed by transfer only, both types, 14 (constructed by transfer only, both types, 14 (constructed by the following transfer only, both types, 14 (constructed by the followi	l area source  y, x < 140 gal/yr x < 200 gal/yr < 140 gal/yr gefore 12/9/91)	213.300 FAC  2. New small area source dry-to-dry only, x < 140 transfer only, x < 200 g both types, x < 140 gal/(constructed on or after)  4. New large area source dry-to-dry only, 140 ≤ transfer only, 200 ≤ x both types, 140 ≤ x ≤ (constructed on or after)	0 gal/yr al/yr x/yr 12/9/91) x \leq 2,100 gal/yr \leq 1,800 gal/yr 1,800 gal/yr			
	volume of all perchloroethylene (was 24.30 gallons.	(perc) purchases made in each of	of the previous 12 months by this dry	,		

PA	ART III: GENERAL CONTROL REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC					only o		
1.	Is all perc, and wastes containing perc, in tightly sealed & impervious containers?		Yes		No		N/A	
2.	Are all perc. containers leak free ?		Yes		No		N/A	
	Are all machine doors kept closed and secured except during loading/unloading?	$\boxtimes$	Yes		No			
4.	Are cartridge filters d rained in their housing or in sealed containers for at least 24 hours prior to disposal?		Yes		No		N/A	
5.	Has each dry cleaning system installed after December 21, 2005 at an area source, routed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream contained within each dry cleaning machine through a refrigerated condenser and passed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream from inside the dry cleaning machine drum through a non-vented carbon adsorber or equivalent control device immediately before the door of the dry cleaning machine is opened? The carbon adsorber must be desorbed in accordance with manufacturer's instructions.		Yes		No	$\boxtimes$	N/A	
6.	Is solvent-to-carbon ratios and steam pressure for carbon adsorber beds maintain according to the manufacturer's specifications?		Yes		No	$\boxtimes$	N/A	
	PART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (Refer to Part II-A.14. Classification: page 1 of 4, this form)							
	1. If the f acility classification is an <b>existing small area source</b> , no controls are required. <b>P</b>	rocee	ea to P	art V	•			
2. If the facility classification is a <u>new small area source</u> , the machine should be equipped with a refrigerated condenser. <b>Complete section A. below.</b>								
	3. If the fa cility classification is an <b>existing large area source</b> , the machine should be equipped with either a refrigerated condenser or a carbon adsorber. <b>Complete both sections A and B below.</b> Carbon adsorber must have been installed prior to September 22, 1993							
	4. If the facility classification is a <u>new large area source</u> , the machine should be equipped with a refrigerated condenser. Complete both sections A and B below.							
<b>A.</b>	Has the responsible official of all <u>existing large area &amp; new sources</u> :					only o		
1.	Equipped all machines with the appropriate vent controls?		Yes		No			
2.								
	Equipped dry-to-dry machines with a closed-loop vapor venting system?		Yes		No		N/A	
	Equipped dry-to-dry machines with a closed-loop vapor venting system?  Equipped the condenser with a diverter valve so airflow will be directed away from the condenser upon opening the door?		Yes Yes		No No		N/A	
3.	Equipped the condenser with a diverter valve so airflow will be directed away							
<ul><li>3.</li><li>4.</li></ul>	Equipped the condenser with a diverter valve so airflow will be directed away from the condenser upon opening the door?		Yes		No		N/A	

PART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)							
B. For all existing large or new large area sources:							
	Is the exhaust temperature on the outlet side of the condenser located on dry-to-dry, reclaimer, and dryer machines measured and recorded on a weekly basis?		Yes		No		
2.	Is the washer exhaus t temperature at the condenser inlet and outlet measured and recorded weekly?		Yes		No		N/A
	a) Is the temperature differential equal to, or greater than $20^{\circ}$ F?		Yes		No		N/A
3.	Is the perc concentration in the exhaust stream inlet and outlet measured weekly at the end of the final drying cycle while the machine is venting to the adsorber, if machines are equipped exclusively with a carbon adsorber?		Yes		No		N/A
	a) Is the perc concentration equal to, or less than 100 ppm?		Yes		No		N/A
4.	Is the sampling port on the carbon adsorber exhaust for measuring perc concentrations at least 8 duct diameters downstream of any bend, contraction, or expansion; is at least 2 duct diameters upstream from any bend, contraction, or expansion; and downstream from no other inlet?		Yes		No		N/A
5.	Are transfer machines equipped (dryers, reclaimers, and washers) with individual condenser coils?		Yes		No		N/A
	condenser cons:						
6.	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		Yes		No		N/A
6.			Yes		No		N/A
6.			Yes		No		N/A
	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?						
			(	Check ox for e	<b>V</b>	only o	one
PA	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		(	x for e	<b>V</b>		one
<b>P</b> A	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		(bo	ox for e	☑ each q		one
1. 2.	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		bo Yes	ox for e	✓ each q		one
1. 2.	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		bo Yes	ox for e	✓ each q		one
1. 2.	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		Yes Yes	ox for e	each q No No		one on)
1. 2. 3.	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		Yes Yes Yes	ox for e	No No No	uestic	one on)
1. 2. 3.	ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC  Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		Yes Yes Yes	ox for e	No No No	westic	one on) N/A N/A
1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC  Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		Yes Yes Yes Yes	ox for e	No No No No No	westic	one on) N/A N/A N/A
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC  Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	ox for e	No No No No No No No	westic	one on) N/A N/A N/A
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC  Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? ————————————————————————————————————		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	ox for e	No	westic	nne on) N/A N/A N/A N/A

PART VI: <u>LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (check ☑ only one					
1.	What type of leak detection equipment is used to detect leaks?	bo	ox for each	question)	
	☐ Halogenated hydrocarbon detector ☐ PCE gas analyzer ☐ None used				
2.	Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer operated according to				
	the manufacturer's instructions (manual was available and RO could demonstrate				
	procedure) ?	Yes	☐ No		
3.	For major sources is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer				
	operated according to EPA Method 21 ?	Yes	☐ No	N/A	
4.	Is the vapor leak inspection conducted by placing the probe inlet at the surface of				
	each component interface where leakage could occur and moving it slowly along				
	the interface periphery? $\boxtimes$	Yes	☐ No		
5.	Is the PCE gas analyzer a flame ionization detector, photo ionization detector, or				
	infrared analyzer capable of detecting vapor concentrations of PCE of 25 parts per				
	million by volume (based on documented specifications) ?	Yes	☐ No	N/A	
6.	Is the <u>halogenated hydrocarbon detector</u> capable of detecting vapor concentrations				
	of PCE of 25 parts per million by volume (based on documented specifications) and				
	indicating a concentration of 25 parts per million by volume or greater by emitting				
	an audible or visual signal that varies as the concentration changes?	Yes	☐ No	N/A	
7.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected weekly for perceptible leaks (sight, sm	nell or	touch) while	le the	
	system is in operation (§63.322(k))?				
	(Inspection with a halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer also fulfills the requirement for insp	ection	of perceptib	le leaks)	
	b) Door gaskets and seating Yes No N/A h) Stills X		<ul><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li></ul>	<ul><li>N/A</li><li>N/A</li><li>N/A</li><li>N/A</li><li>N/A</li><li>N/A</li></ul>	
8.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected $\underline{monthly}$ for $\underline{vapor\ leaks}$ using a halogen $\underline{monthly}$ for $\underline{monthly}$ f	enated	hydrocarbo	on detector	
	or PCE gas analyzer while the system is in operation? (Any inspection conducted according to this paragraph of the system)	raph sh	hall satisfy th	ne	
	requirements to conduct an inspection for perceptible leaks under §63.322(k) or (l))				
	b) Door gaskets and seating   Yes   No   N/A   N/A   N/A   Stills   Yes   Yes   No   N/A   N/A   N/A   N/A   N/A   N/A   Yes	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	<ul><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li></ul>	<ul><li>N/A</li><li>N/A</li><li>N/A</li><li>N/A</li><li>N/A</li><li>N/A</li></ul>	

PART VI: LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)					
9. What evidence suggests that leak checks are performed as required?  ☐ Leak log documentation ☐ RO Assurances ☐ On-site observation ☐ other  Explain other:					
Assefa Hailemariam	7/14/2011				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
	~7/2012				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection				

**COMMENTS:** The facility was found to be in compliance with their air permit for the inspection that was conducted on this date. The dry cleaning machine was not operating at the time of the inspection. A halogen leak detector is being used by the facility to comply with the EPA requirement. The perchloroethylene hazardous waste container was labeled, but no date was documented on the label. The waste container for the separator water did not have a proper lid and the separator water misting unit filter ischanged every year, per the owner but no date was documented. The inspector checked the machine for leaks using EPD's halogen leak detector. No perchloroethylene vapors were found. During the inspection the inspector advised to the owner of these observations and gave application forms for permit renewal and explained to him that he needed to fill it out and send it to Tallahassee.