

Florida Department of Environmental Protection Hazardous Waste Inspection Report



#### **FACILITY INFORMATION:**

Facility Name:Classic CleanersOn-Site Inspection Start Date:02/26/2013On-Site Inspection End Date:02/26/2013ME ID#:81328EPA ID#:FLR000197905Facility Street Address:9444 N US Highway 1, Sebastian, Florida 32958-6395County Name:Indian River

### NOTIFIED AS:

N/A

# **INSPECTION TYPE:**

Compliance Assistance Site Visit Inspection for CESQG (<100 kg/month) facility

# **INSPECTION PARTICIPANTS:**

Principal Inspector: Kathy R. Winston, Inspector

Other Participants: Theodore Ahnemon, owner

LATITUDE / LONGITUDE: Lat 27° 45' 56.8476" / Long 80° 26' 34.8504"

### SIC CODE:

TYPE OF OWNERSHIP: Private

# New Potential Violations and Areas of Concern:

### Areas of Concern

| _                  |   |  |
|--------------------|---|--|
| Туре:              | Area Of Concern   |  |
| Rule:              | 262.11  |  |
| Question Number:   | 31.80   |  |
| Question:          | Is untreated separator water managed as hazardous waste?  |  |
| Explanation:       | The facility was putting their separator water into the secondary containment for their dry cleaning machine and allowing it to evaporate.  |  |
| Corrective Action: | Please either begin collecting your separator water in a container labeled hazardous waste and send pictures to demonstrate compliance. Or, bring in a mechanic to confirm that the separator treatment system that is already connected to the dry cleaning machine is properly connected and functioning per manufacturer's specifications. If the facility decides to use the existing treatment system, please provide receipts from the mechanic to verify that the system will treat the separator water down to acceptable levels where it can then be sent to the misting pipes that go up over the roof for discharge. |  |
| Туре:              | Area Of Concern   |  |
| Rule:              | 403.161(1)(b)   |  |
| Question Number:   | 31.160  |  |

Question: Does the facility discharge contaminated process water on site without an

**Classic Cleaners Inspection Report** 

Inspection Date: 02/26/2013

appropriate permit? Check management of boiler blowdown, steam condensate and compressor discharges in addition to wet laundry waste water.

- Explanation: The facility didn't have a current dry cleaner registration placard on the machine nor could they find one in their files. Also, the boiler blowdown system had a big leak in it and it was apparent that someone had tried to address the leak by placing a five gallon bucket underneath the discharge; however, the bucket was overflowing onto the ground outside the room that contains the boiler. The facility was not performing or recording monthly leak detection inspections or doing weekly smell and visual inspections of the dry cleaning machine. The facility was not maintaining a monthly dry cleaning calendar which provides for recording the leak detection inspections, as well as, other parameters that are required to be recorded on the calendar.
- Corrective Action: Please contact the Dry Cleaner section in Tallahassee and update the facility's registration. Upon receipt, attach the registration document to the dry cleaning machine and send pictures to demonstrate compliance.. Also, get a mechanic to the facility ASAP to fix the issues with your boiler blowdown. Also, contact your local sewer authority and find out whether they require any notifications or permits for discharge of your blowdown water to the sewer. Provided the Department pictures showing the boiler issue has been addressed and send a receipt from the mechanic who repaired the system. On company letterhead explain the outcome of your contact with the facility's local sewer authority as to whether they require any permits or notifications are required for the discharge of blowdown water to the sewer. Finally, begin maintaining a dry cleaner calender with all necessary parameters which includes recording monthly leak detection inspections. Sent a copy of March's dry cleaning calendar to demonstrate compliance with this issue.

| Туре:              | Area Of Concern   |  |
|--------------------|---|--|
| Rule:              | 62-730.030(3)   |  |
| Question Number:   | 7.50  |  |
| Question:          | Can the facility document proper disposal through written receipts or records?  |  |
| Explanation:       | The facility was using manifests for the shipment of hazardous waste; however, they were not receiving return signed copies of these manifests from the TSD.  |  |
| Corrective Action: | : Although it is not required per their generator status, the inspector reminded the facility that it was in their best interest to make sure that their hazardous waste hauler is having the TSD sent a return signed copy of their manifests for their records. |  |
|                    |   |  |
| Туре:              | Area Of Concern   |  |
| Rule:              | 376.3078(9)(a)  |  |
| Question Number:   | 31.40   |  |
| Question:          | Have all floor areas where drycleaning solvent is used been rendered impervious to the solvent?   |  |
| Explanation:       | The area around the machine's muck cooker needed attention as the epoxy coating in this area was in disrepair.  |  |
| Corrective Action: | Please purchase some chemical resistant epoxy paint and recoat the area around the muck cooker. Please take pictures showing that this corrective action has been addressed. Also, provide the Department with the receipt for the purchase of the epoxy paint.   |  |

**Classic Cleaners Inspection Report** 

Inspection Date: 02/26/2013



#### Signed:

A hazardous waste compliance inspection was conducted on this date, to determine your facility's compliance with applicable portions of Chapters 403 & 376, F.S., and Chapters 62-710, 62-730, 62-737, & 62 -740 Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.). Portions of the United States Environmental Protection Agency's Title 40 Code of Federal Regulations (C.F.R.) 260 - 279 have been adopted by reference in the state rules under Chapters 62-730 and 62-710, F.A.C. The above noted potential items of non-compliance were identified by the inspector(s).

This is not a formal enforcement action and may not be a complete listing of all items of non-compliance discovered during the inspection.

| Kathy R. Winston              | Inspector                 |      |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|------|
| PRINCIPAL INSPECTOR NAME      | PRINCIPAL INSPECTOR TITLE |      |
| PRINCIPAL INSPECTOR SIGNATURE | _                         | DATE |

NOTE: By signing this document, the Site Representative only acknowledges receipt of this Inspection Report and is not admitting to the accuracy of any of the items identified by the Department as "Potential Violations" or areas of concern.