



# SURFACE COATING OPERATIONS

## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST



**INSPECTION TYPE:** ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) ☒ COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) ☐  
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ☐ ARMS COMPLAINT NO:

**AIRS ID#:** 0990401 **DATE:** 5/11/09 **ARRIVE:** \_\_\_\_\_ **DEPART:** \_\_\_\_\_

**FACILITY NAME:** DIAMOND AUTO PAINTING / (LAKE PARK)

**FACILITY LOCATION:** 1360 OLD DIXIE HWY

LAKE PARK 33403

**OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE:** ROBERT HOLLY **PHONE:** (561)586-0888

**CONTACT NAME:** (Mike in charge of logs) **PHONE:**

**ENTITLEMENT PERIOD:** 9/21/2006 / 9/21/2011  
(effective date) (end date)

### **PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS** (check ☒ only one box)

☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☒ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

### **PART II: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.**

(check ☒ appropriate box(es))

1. Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the surface coating operations and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.) ☐ Yes ☒ No
2. Does the owner/operator of the facility maintain records to document the VOC content of the coatings and the quantity of the coatings used?----- ☐ Yes ☒ No
3. Does the owner/operator retain, and make available for Department inspection, these records for a period of at least five years?----- ☒ Yes ☐ No
4. Is the total quantity of VOC's in such coatings 44 lbs/day or less, averaged monthly?----- ☐ Yes ☐ No
5. Does the amount of coatings used, include solvents and thinners used in the process including those used for cleanups?----- ☒ Yes ☐ No

### **PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTANANCE REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.**

(check ☒ appropriate box(es))

1. Is/Are the surface coating operation(s) subject to a VOC Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) emission limiting standard of Chapter 62-296.500, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.b., F.A.C.)----- ☐ Yes ☒ No
2. Does the facility cause, suffer, allow or permit the discharge of air pollutants which cause or contribute to an objectionable odor? (Rule 62.296.320(2), F.A.C.)----- ☐ Yes ☒ No

**PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. – (continued)**

(check ☒ appropriate box(es))

3. Does the owner/operator encourage pollution prevention through such measures as training employees involved in surface coating operations on methods of reducing VOC emissions by:
- a) maintaining spray coating equipment to ensure effective application with a minimum of overspray? ☒ Yes ☐ No
  - b) monitoring the coating thickness to avoid excessive coating?----- ☒ Yes ☐ No
  - c) considering the use of low-VOC coatings (e.g., waterborne, ultra-violet cured, or powder coatings)? ☐ Yes ☐ No
  - d) implementing inventory control practices to prevent spillage?----- ☒ Yes ☐ No
  - e) implementing management practices to reduce VOC emissions during cleanup by:
    - 1. spraying light colored coatings before dark colored coatings to reduce the number of cleaning cycles?----- ☒ Yes ☐ No
    - 2) recycling cleaning solvents?----- ☐ Yes ☒ No
    - 3) using water based cleaners?----- ☒ Yes ☐ No

**PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.**

**A. New or Modified Process Equipment**

1. Since the last inspection has there been
- a) installation of any new process equipment?----- ☐ Yes ☒ No
  - b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?----- ☐ Yes ☒ No
  - c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?----- ☐ Yes ☒ No
  - d) If you answered **YES** to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or local program office?----- ☐ Yes ☐ No

Faith A. Martin

5/11/09

\_\_\_\_\_  
Inspector's Name (Please Print)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date of Inspection

5/30/2010

\_\_\_\_\_  
Inspector's Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:** The facility was unable to produce copies of the spray logs for 2007. The employee in charge of the spray logs, Mike, was unavailable. The manager was instructed to fax copies of the spray logs for May 2008 thru April 2009 to the PBCHD Office. No objectionable odors observed while on site. The spray booth was being utilized at the time of the site visit. No over-spray observed. Filters are changed as needed. The manager was instructed to keep copies of the logs on file for inspection.