

### **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)  RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY  ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	Y (CI)
KE-INSI ECTION (POI)	ARWIS COMI LAINT NO.	
AIRS ID#: 0950154 DATE: <u>12/11/12</u>	ARRIVE: <u>1:44</u>	DEPART: <u>3:35 PM</u>
FACILITY NAME: NW ORLANDO/LOCKHAR	Γ	
<b>FACILITY LOCATION:</b> 7120 Overland Rd		
ORLANDO 3281	10-3422	
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Email: epco@prestige-concrete.com CONTACT NAME: BILL PAGANO* Email: bpagano@prestige-concrete.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/16/2011 / 9/16/ (effective date) (end date)	Mobile: PHONE: Mobile: /2016	(407)467-0637
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATU  ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-C		`Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING  1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Lawrence Meriden Notes:		(check ☑ only one box for each question)
2. Is the Authorized Representative still THOMAS I If no, who is?:	LANG?	YesNo
If different, did the facility provide an administrat  3. Is the facility contact still BILL PAGANO*? If no, who is?:	tive update within 30 days?	☐ Yes ☐No ☐ Yes ☐No
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during toda If yes, was the compliance authority notified at le		

# Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-silo(cement)w/silotop baghouse, 500 Bbl capacity subject to 5% Opacity Limit

1.	Date of last inspection: 11/29/11 Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	☐ Yes	only one question)  No No No No No No No
	<ul> <li>j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour</li> <li>k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)?</li> </ul>	⊠ Yes	☐ No
PA	RT II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	⊠ Yes	□ No
	d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo contact that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo not load e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	ded during insp	
	g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	Yes	⊠ No
	If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$ ) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$ ) and go to 1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	Yes Yes	☐ No
	2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate duration?	Yes	☐ No
	<ul> <li>3) What was the batching rate?tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minuth.</li> <li>h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector.</li> </ul>	n is separate	
	conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? ~3 minutes.	? Xes	☐ No
2.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.	<ul><li>∑ Yes</li><li>∑ Yes</li></ul>	☐ No ☐ No
	c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? d. What was the process rate? ~18 tons/hour.	⊠ Yes	□ No

# Emissions Unit Section 2 –CCB Plant-silo(flyash)w/silotop baghouse, 300 Bbl capacity subject to 5% Opacity Limit

1.	Date of last inspection: 11/29/11 Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	(check ☑ box for each  ☐ Yes	only one question)  No No No No No No No
	<ul> <li>j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour</li> <li>k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)?</li> </ul>	⊠ Yes	□ No
PA	RT II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	X Yes	☐ No
	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	☐ No
	<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo contact that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo not load e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	led during insp	
	g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
	If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$ ) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$ ) and go to 1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?		☐ No
	2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate duration?		☐ No
	<ul> <li>3) What was the batching rate?tons/hour . What was the batching duration? minuth.</li> <li>h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector.</li> </ul>	is separate	
	conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? ~3 minutes.	Yes Yes	☐ No
2.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.	<ul><li>∑ Yes</li><li>∑ Yes</li></ul>	☐ No ☐ No
	c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? d. What was the process rate? ~33 tons/hour.	⊠ Yes	□ No

# Emissions Unit Section 4 -CCB Plant-truck loadout/batcher w/dust collector subject to 5% Opacity Limit

1.	Date of last inspection: 11/29/11 Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	☐ Yes	only one question)  No No No No No No No
	<ul> <li>j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour</li> <li>k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)?</li> </ul>	∑ Yes	□ No
PA	ART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo contact that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo not load e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	ded during ins	
	g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	Yes	⊠ No
	If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$ ) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$ ) and go to 1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?		☐ No
	2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate duration?		☐ No
	<ul> <li>3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute.</li> <li>h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collection.</li> </ul>	ites n is separate	
	conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? ~3 minutes.		☐ No
2.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	☐ No ☐ No
	c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.	⊠ Yes	☐ No

### **Facility Section (continued)**

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹	only one
		box for each of	
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:  a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?  b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?  c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include:  a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	- ⊠ Yes - ⊠ Yes - ⊠ Yes	<ul><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li></ul>
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared 1.3 MM gal propared 1.5 MM gal	$\frac{\text{ane/yr}}{\text{ne/yr}} \le 1.00^{\circ}$	?
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	iption - X Yes	☐ No
GI	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each o	
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	\ Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?		☐ No
3.	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?	- X Yes	☐ No
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	X Yes	☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check ✓	only one
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral pro	onsisting of both stationary and relocatable box for each occasing plants? (If only stationary, skip the following question 2	• ,
(If YES, answer 2. a and 2 .b; if NO, answer ques	Yes stion 2.c below. )	☐ No
e-mail, fax, or written communication at least	te Department or Local Air Program by telephone, one business day prior to changing location? Yes Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no la	ter than five business days following a relocation? Yes Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	☐ No
	ogram at least five business days prior to relocation? Yes	☐ No
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as		
If YES, what was the purpose?	a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)?  Yes	∐ No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to in co-located at the permitted facility?	Yes	☐ No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 mon	ths in duration? Yes	☐ No
CHANGES		<del></del>
CHANGES		only one
Administrative Changes:	box for each	
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or	box for each phone number of the facility or authorized representative not	
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or associated with a change in ownership or with a operations comprising the facility; or any other s	box for each phone number of the facility or authorized representative not physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or imilar minor administrative change at the facility? Yes	h question)
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or associated with a change in ownership or with a operations comprising the facility; or any other s  2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification	box for each phone number of the facility or authorized representative not physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or similar minor administrative change at the facility? Yes on within 30 days of the change? Yes	h question)
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or associated with a change in ownership or with a operations comprising the facility; or any other s  2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Company of the second s	box for each phone number of the facility or authorized representative not physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or similar minor administrative change at the facility? Yes on within 30 days of the change? Yes Ownership:	h question)
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or associated with a change in ownership or with a operations comprising the facility; or any other s  2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification of Modified Process Equipment or Change in C  3. Since the last registration form submittal has the a. Installation of any new process equipment?	box for each phone number of the facility or authorized representative not physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or similar minor administrative change at the facility? Yes on within 30 days of the change? Yes Ownership:  The been Yes	h question)  No No No
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or associated with a change in ownership or with a operations comprising the facility; or any other s  2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification of Modified Process Equipment or Change in C  3. Since the last registration form submittal has the a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment with	box for each phone number of the facility or authorized representative not physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or similar minor administrative change at the facility? Yes on within 30 days of the change? Yes Ownership:  The been Yes on the change? Yes on the chang	h question)  No No No No
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or associated with a change in ownership or with a operations comprising the facility; or any other s  2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in C  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment with c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment equipment with equipment equipment with equipment equi	box for each phone number of the facility or authorized representative not physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or similar minor administrative change at the facility? Yes on within 30 days of the change? Yes Ownership:  The been Yes	h question)  No No No
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or associated with a change in ownership or with a operations comprising the facility; or any other s  2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification of Modified Process Equipment or Change in C  3. Since the last registration form submittal has the analysis and any new process equipment?  b. Alterations to existing process equipment with calcalation of existing equipment with equipment of the company of the c	box for each phone number of the facility or authorized representative not physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or similar minor administrative change at the facility? Yes on within 30 days of the change? Yes Ownership:  The been	h question)  No No No No No No
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or associated with a change in ownership or with a operations comprising the facility; or any other s  2. If YES, did the facility provide written notificated New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in C  3. Since the last registration form submittal has then a. Installation of any new process equipment?  b. Alterations to existing process equipment with c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment d. A change in ownership?	box for each phone number of the facility or authorized representative not physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or similar minor administrative change at the facility? Yes on within 30 days of the change? Yes Ownership:  The been	h question)  No No No No No No No
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or associated with a change in ownership or with a operations comprising the facility; or any other s  2. If YES, did the facility provide written notificated New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in C  3. Since the last registration form submittal has then a. Installation of any new process equipment?  b. Alterations to existing process equipment with c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment d. A change in ownership?	box for each phone number of the facility or authorized representative not physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or similar minor administrative change at the facility? Yes on within 30 days of the change? Yes Ownership:  The been	h question)  No No No No No No No
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or associated with a change in ownership or with a operations comprising the facility; or any other s  2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification of Modified Process Equipment or Change in G  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there a. Installation of any new process equipment?  b. Alterations to existing process equipment with c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment of the change in ownership?	box for each phone number of the facility or authorized representative not physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or similar minor administrative change at the facility? Yes on within 30 days of the change? Yes ownership:  The been	h question)  No No No No No No No
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or associated with a change in ownership or with a operations comprising the facility; or any other s  2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in C  3. Since the last registration form submittal has then a. Installation of any new process equipment?  b. Alterations to existing process equipment with c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment d. A change in ownership?	box for each phone number of the facility or authorized representative not physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or similar minor administrative change at the facility? Yes on within 30 days of the change? Yes Ownership:  The been Yes on the phone of the change? Yes on within 30 days of the	h question)  No No No No No No No

**COMMENTS:** The OCEPD inspector, Norma Ali, met with Lawrence Mezera, Plant Manager and Daniel Beatty, Consultant, to audit the annual compliance test of the facility. The three emission units were tested on this date.

EU001 Cement - loading rate of ~18 TPH, this is below the required loading rate of not less than 25 TPH. The inspector, after talking about it to Jodi Dittell, Environmental Program Supervisor, requested an explanation of why the minimum rate was not reached. Opacity Observed = 0% 1/11/13 Consultant included explanation of why the minimum rate was not reached: "This is not the normal rate of offloading for the facility. The tanker truck driver thought (wrongly) that he would help the facility pass the test

by intentionally offloading at a lower rate so as to not put 'undue strain' on the baghouse. Neither plant nor testing personnel asked him to do this. At the conclusion of the test, plant personnel "counseled" the driver as to the proper testing criteria."

EU002 Fly Ash - loading rate of  $\sim 33.94$  TPH, opacity observed = 0%

EU004 Plant-truck loadout/batcher w/dust collector, three truck load out were observed. Opacity of 0%.

No objectionable odors. No dust leaving the property was observed. The facility appeared in compliance at the time of inspection.