	WHERTAL PROTECTION	
Mar	in Manne	
DENTINE		ĺ
1		Ĩ

## ANIMAL CREMATORY



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/E ARMS COMPL	DISCOVERY (CI) AINT NO:	,		
AIRS ID#: 0950149 DATE: <u>11/5/2012</u>	ARRIVE: <u>08:05</u>	DI	EPART: <u>12:30</u>		
FACILITY NAME: GREENBRIER MEMORY GARD	ENS				
<b>FACILITY LOCATION:</b> 3703 W KELLY PARK	RD				
АРОРКА 32712-5134	1				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: BAR Email: CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 11/1/2009 / 11/1/2014 (effective date) (end date)		PHONE: (407 Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	)886-2620		
Facility Section					
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (cf         IN COMPLIANCE         IN COMPLIANCE	_	x) GNIFICANT Non-	-COMPLIANCE		
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING					
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>Barry Grimm</u>			(check ☑ box for each	•	
Brief Notes:					
<ol> <li>Is the Authorized Representative still BARRY GRIMM If no, who is?:</li> </ol>	vl?		Yes	No	
If different, did the facility provide an administrative up 3. Is the facility contact still ? If no, who is?:				□No □No	
<ul> <li>4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's in If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15</li> </ul>				□No □No	

### **Emissions Unit Section** <u>1 – ANIMAL CREMATOR #2</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	neck 🗹 for each (	only one question)
<ol> <li>a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or after August 30, 1989?</li> </ol>		Yes	No
b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence tin at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	_	Yes	□No
<ol> <li>Manufacturer's recommended capacity: <u>150</u> ⊠ lbs for batch unit □ lbs/hr for ram-charged u</li> <li>Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007?</li> </ol>		Yes	∐No
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>3/7/2011</u></li> <li>Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:</li> </ol>	_		_
<ul><li>a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?</li><li>b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?</li></ul>		Yes Yes	∐No ⊠No
<ul> <li>c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation?</li> <li>d. Date of last VE test: 3/7/2011</li> </ul>	N/A	Yes	No
<ul> <li>e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test</li> <li>f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test?</li> <li>If no, what was the problem (if known)?</li> </ul>		Yes Yes	□No □No

PART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check 🗹	only one
	box for each	auestion)
		1
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	🛛 Yes	No
a. Operating capacity during test? <u>145</u> $\boxtimes$ lbs for batch unit $\square$ lbs/hr for ram-charged unit		
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?	Yes	🖾No
c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations?	🛛 Yes	No
d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	🛛 Yes	No
e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six minute average.		
f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	🛛 Yes	No
(5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes	in any one-hour)	
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?	X Yes	No
a. Operating capacity during test? <u>145</u> $\boxtimes$ lbs for batch unit $\square$ lbs/hr for ram-charged unit		
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?	Yes	🖾No
c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations?	🛛 Yes	No
d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	🛛 Yes	No
e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $0\%$ for the highest six minute average.		
f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	🛛 Yes	No
(5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes	in any one-hour)	
3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standar	ds?	
v i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	☐ Yes	🖾No
If yes, what reason?		<u> </u>

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(check 🗹 only one box for each question)	
1. Were there any objectionable odors detected?	Yes	🖾No
An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were: Wind direction Downwind odor level detected Upwind odor level detected	Scale: 1-10	(worst)
<ul> <li>2. Continuous Monitoring Systems –</li> <li>a Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?</li> <li>b Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence time at ∑ 1,800<sup>1</sup> □ 1,600<sup>2</sup> degrees was determined?</li></ul>	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No
<ul> <li>c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years?</li> <li>(1) All temperature measurements</li></ul>	- 🛛 Yes	No
<ul> <li>monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations</li> <li>(3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on <u>8/12/012</u>)</li> <li>(4) Adjustments</li></ul>	X Yes - Xes	□No □No □No □No
<ul><li>(6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices</li><li>d. Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of</li></ul>		No
<ul> <li>when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings</li> <li>e. Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07? If no, skip e.(1) - (3)</li> <li>(1) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automation</li> </ul>	Yes	□No ⊠No
<ul> <li>control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?</li></ul>	y	□No □No
(3) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	- Yes	No
PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	(check 🗹 box for each	only one
<ol> <li>If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the:         <ul> <li>a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?</li> <li>b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the crema process begins in the primary chamber?</li> </ul> </li> </ol>		□No
<ul> <li>2. If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the:</li> <li>a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?</li></ul>	ation	No
process begins in the primary chamber?		No
PART V: <u>ALLOWED MATERIALS</u>	(check ☑ box for each	only one h question)
<ol> <li>Besides animal remains and, if applicable, the bedding associated with the animals and appropriate co are any other materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?</li> <li>If yes, what other materials?</li> </ol>		⊠No
<ol> <li>Do containers contain no more than 0.5 percent by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer?</li></ol>		⊠No ⊠No

PART VI: <u>EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	•
<ol> <li>Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications?</li> <li>Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction?</li> <li>Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?</li></ol>	🛛 Yes 🖾 Yes 🖾 Yes	<ul> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> </ul>
<b>PART VII:</b> EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check 🗹 only one box)		

 $\boxtimes$  IN COMPLIANCE

MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE

SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

### Emissions Unit Section <u>3 – Animal Cremator #3</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one
<ol> <li>a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or after August 30, 1989?</li> <li>b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the</li> </ol>	Yes	No
secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	Xes Yes	No
<ol> <li>Manufacturer's recommended capacity: <u>150</u> lbs for batch unit lbs/hr for ram-charged unit.</li> <li>Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007?</li> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>3/7/2011</u></li> </ol>	Yes	🖾No
<ul> <li>5. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:</li> <li>a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?</li> <li>b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?</li> <li>c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation?  N/A</li> <li>d. Date of last VE test: <u>3/7/2011</u></li> <li>e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>∑ Yes</li> <li>∑ Yes</li> <li>∑ Yes</li> <li>∑ Yes</li> <li>∑ Yes</li> </ul>	□No ⊠No □No □No □No

PART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
<b>1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?</b>	🛛 Yes	No
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?	☐ Yes ⊠ Yes	⊠No □No
<ul> <li>d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?</li> <li>e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average.</li> </ul>	Yes	No
f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	Yes in any one-hour)	No
<b>2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?</b> a. Operating capacity during test? <u>155</u> $\boxtimes$ lbs for batch unit $\square$ lbs/hr for ram-charged unit	Xes Yes	No
<ul><li>b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?</li><li>c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations?</li></ul>	=	⊠No □No
<ul> <li>d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?</li> <li>e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>1</u> % for the highest six minute average.</li> <li>f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?</li> </ul>	⊠ Yes	∐No ∏No
(5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes		_
3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standar	ds?	🖾No
If yes, what reason?	—	—

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS		(check 🗹 only one box for each question)	
1. Were there any objectionable odors detected?	🗌 Yes	🖾No	
An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were: Wind direction Downwind odor level detected Upwind odor level detected	Scale: 1-10	(worst)	
<ul> <li>2. Continuous Monitoring Systems –         <ul> <li>a Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?</li> <li>b Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence time at ∑ 1,800<sup>1</sup> □ 1,600<sup>2</sup> degrees was determined?</li> <li>(Application or initial notification: <sup>1</sup> received on or after 8/30/89; <sup>2</sup> received before 8/30/89)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		□No □No	
<ul> <li>c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years?</li> <li>(1) All temperature measurements</li> <li>(2) All continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements</li> </ul>		No	
<ul> <li>(2) All continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations</li></ul>	X Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No No No No	
<ul> <li>d. Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings</li> <li>e. Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07? If no, skip e.(1) - (3)(1) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatic and the pollutant monitor and the</li></ul>	🗌 Yes	□No ⊠No	
<ul> <li>control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?</li></ul>	ity 🗌 Yes	No	
	(check 🗹		
PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	box for each	· -	
<ol> <li>If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the:         <ul> <li>a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?</li> <li>b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the crer process begins in the primary chamber?</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	nation	□No □No	
<ul> <li>2. If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the:</li> <li>a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?</li> <li>b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the creating the primary chamber?</li> </ul>	🛛 Yes nation	No	
process begins in the primary chamber?		No	
PART V: <u>ALLOWED MATERIALS</u>	(check ☑ box for eacl		
<ol> <li>Besides animal remains and, if applicable, the bedding associated with the animals and appropriate of are any other materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?</li></ol>		⊠No	
<ol> <li>Do containers contain no more than 0.5 percent by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer?</li></ol>		⊠No ⊠No	

PART VI: <u>EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	•			
<ol> <li>Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications?</li> <li>Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction?</li> <li>Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?</li></ol>	🛛 Yes 🖾 Yes 🖾 Yes	<pre>NoNoNoNoNoNoNo</pre>			
PART VII:       EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS       (check I only one box)					

IN COMPLIANCE

MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE

SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

### Emissions Unit Section 4 – Animal Cremator Unit #4

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹	only one
1. a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or	box for each	question)
after August 30, 1989?	Xes Yes	No
b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the		
secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time		
at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	🛛 Yes	No
2. Manufacturer's recommended capacity: $200$ 🖾 lbs for batch unit 🗌 lbs/hr for ram-charged unit.		
3. Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007?	Yes	🖾No
4. Date of last inspection: <u>3/7/2011</u>		
5. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:		
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	Yes	No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?		🖾No
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing		-
operation? N/A	T Yes	□No
d. Date of last VE test: 3/7/2011		
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?	- 🛛 Yes	□No
f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test?		$\square$ No
If no, what was the problem (if known)?		

PART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check 🗹	only one		
	box for each	question)		
<b>1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?</b>	Yes Yes	DNo		
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?	Yes	NoNo		
c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations? d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No		
e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six minute average.		_		
f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	Yes	No		
(576 opacity, six minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 1576 opacity shan be anowed for up to six minutes	in any one nour)			
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit? a. Operating capacity during test? $200$ $\boxtimes$ lbs for batch unit $\square$ lbs/hr for ram-charged unit	Xes Yes	No		
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?	Yes	ANo		
<ul><li>c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations?</li><li>d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?</li></ul>	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	∐No ∏No		
e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six minute average.	_			
f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	🛛 Yes	No		
(5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes	in any one-hour)			
3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standards?				
If yes, what reason?	Yes	⊠No		
If yes, what reason?				

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(check 🗹 only one box for each question)
1. Were there any objectionable odors detected?	🗌 Yes 🛛No
An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were: Wind direction Downwind odor level detected Upwind odor level detected	Scale: 1-10 (worst)
<ul> <li>2. Continuous Monitoring Systems –</li> <li>a Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?</li></ul>	2
<ul> <li>c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years?</li> <li>(1) All temperature measurements</li> <li>(2) All continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations</li> <li>(3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on <u>3/7/2011</u>)</li> <li>(4) Adjustments</li> <li>(5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices</li> </ul>	X YesNo YesNo X YesNo
<ul><li>(6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices</li><li>(6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices</li></ul>	
<ul> <li>d. Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings</li> <li>e. Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07? If no, skip e.(1) – (3)</li></ul>	🗍 Yes 🖾No
<ul> <li>(1) Is the original of an equipped and operated with a pondatin instituting of scenario dates and control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?</li> <li>(2) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opac exceeds 15% opacity ?</li></ul>	YesNo
<ul> <li>(3) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?</li> </ul>	
	(check 🗹 only one
PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	box for each question)
<ol> <li>If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the:         <ul> <li>actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?</li> <li>secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the creation in the primary chamber?</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	mation
<ul> <li>process begins in the primary chamber?</li></ul>	F Xes
b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than <b>1600°F</b> before the creater process begins in the primary chamber?	
	(check 🗹 only one
PART V: <u>ALLOWED MATERIALS</u>	box for each question)
1. Besides animal remains and, if applicable, the bedding associated with the animals and appropriate are any other materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?	
<ol> <li>Do containers contain no more than 0.5 percent by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer?</li></ol>	

PART VI: <u>EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	•
<ol> <li>Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications?</li> <li>Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction?</li> <li>Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?</li></ol>	🛛 Yes 🖾 Yes 🖾 Yes	<pre>NoNoNoNoNoNoNo</pre>
PART VII: <u>EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS</u> (check 🗹 only one box)		

SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE

 $\boxtimes$  IN COMPLIANCE

## **Emissions Unit Section** <u>5 – Animal Cremator No. 5 (Mathews Creamtion Div., Model IEB-50)</u>

PA	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	only one
1.	a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or	DOX IOI Cacil	question
	after August 30, 1989?	🛛 Yes	No
	b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the		
	secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time		
	at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	🛛 Yes	□No
	Manufacturer's recommended capacity: $400$ 🖾 lbs for batch unit 🗌 lbs/hr for ram-charged unit.	_	_
	Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007?	🛛 Yes	🖾No
	Date of last inspection: $3/7/2011$		
5.	Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	<u> </u>	—
	a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?		L.No
	b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	🛛 Yes	L.No
	c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation? XAA	Yes	No
	d. Date of last VE test: <u>3/7/2011</u>	_	—
	e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?	Xes Yes	No
	f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test?	🛛 Yes	No

PART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
<b>1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?</b> a. Operating capacity during test? <u>1500</u> 🛛 lbs for batch unit 🗌 lbs/hr for ram-charged unit	Xes Yes	No
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity? c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations?	☐ Yes ⊠ Yes	⊠No □No
d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six minute average.	Yes	No
f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	Yes in any one-hour)	No
<b>2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?</b>	Xes Yes	No
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity? c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations?	☐ Yes ⊠ Yes	⊠No □No
d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six minute average.	Yes	No
<ul> <li>f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?</li></ul>	Yes in any one-hour)	No
3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standar	<b>—</b>	M No
If yes, what reason?	∐ Yes	⊠No

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS		(check 🗹 only one box for each question)	
1. Were there any objectionable odors detected?	🗌 Yes	🖾No	
An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were: Wind direction Downwind odor level detected Upwind odor level detected	Scale: 1-10	(worst)	
<ul> <li>2. Continuous Monitoring Systems –         <ul> <li>a Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?</li> <li>b Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence time at ∑ 1,800<sup>1</sup> □ 1,600<sup>2</sup> degrees was determined?</li> <li>(Application or initial notification: <sup>1</sup> received on or after 8/30/89; <sup>2</sup> received before 8/30/89)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		□No □No	
<ul> <li>c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years?</li> <li>(1) All temperature measurements</li> <li>(2) All continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements</li> </ul>		DNo	
<ul> <li>(2) All continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations</li></ul>	🛛 Yes 🖾 Yes 🖾 Yes 🖾 Yes	No No No No No	
<ul> <li>d. Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings</li> <li>e. Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07? If no, skip e.(1) - (3)</li></ul>	🗌 Yes fically	□No ⊠No	
<ul> <li>control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?</li></ul>	ty 🗌 Yes	No	
	(check 🗹		
PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	box for each	-	
<ol> <li>If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the:         <ul> <li>a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?</li> <li>b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the crem process begins in the primary chamber?</li> </ul> </li> </ol>		No	
<ul> <li>2. If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the:</li> <li>a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?</li></ul>	nation	□No	
process begins in the primary chamber?			
PART V: <u>ALLOWED MATERIALS</u>	(check ☑ box for eac	only one h question)	
<ol> <li>Besides animal remains and, if applicable, the bedding associated with the animals and appropriate c are any other materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?</li></ol>		⊠No	
2. Do containers contain no more than 0.5 percent by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer?		⊠No ⊠No	

PART VI: <u>EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE</u>	(check ☑ box for each	•
<ol> <li>Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications?</li> <li>Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction?</li> <li>Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?</li> <li>If no, skip a b.         <ul> <li>a. Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each operating shift?</li> <li>b. Was the flame adjusted when necessary?</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	🛛 Yes 🖾 Yes 🖾 Yes	<ul> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> </ul>
PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check 🗹 only one box)		

IN COMPLIANCE

MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE

SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

### Emissions Unit Section <u>6 – Animal Cremator #6</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	only one
<ol> <li>a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or after August 30, 1989?</li></ol>	Yes	No
secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	Xes Yes	No
<ol> <li>Manufacturer's recommended capacity: <u>150</u>   lbs for batch unit   lbs/hr for ram-charged unit.</li> <li>Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007?</li></ol>	Yes	🖾No
<ul> <li>5. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:</li> <li>a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?</li> <li>b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?</li> <li>c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation? N/A</li> <li>d. Date of last VE test: <u>3/7/2011</u></li> <li>e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?</li> </ul>	Yes	□No ⊠No □No
<ul> <li>f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test?</li> <li>If no, what was the problem (if known)?</li> </ul>		No

PART II: VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
<b>1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?</b>	Xes Yes	No
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?	☐ Yes ⊠ Yes	⊠No □No
d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $0.0$ % for the highest six minute average.	Yes	No
f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	Yes in any one-hour)	No
<b>2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?</b> a. Operating capacity during test? <u>160</u> $\boxtimes$ lbs for batch unit $\square$ lbs/hr for ram-charged unit	Xes Yes	No
<ul><li>b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?</li><li>c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations?</li></ul>	☐ Yes ⊠ Yes	⊠No □No
d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six minute average.	Yes	No
f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	Yes in any one-hour)	No
3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standar	ds? □ Yes	🖾No
If yes, what reason?		

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS		(check 🗹 only one box for each question)	
1. Were there any objectionable odors detected?	🗌 Yes	🖾No	
An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were: Wind direction Downwind odor level detected Upwind odor level detected	Scale: 1-10	(worst)	
<ul> <li>2. Continuous Monitoring Systems –         <ul> <li>a Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?</li> <li>b Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence time at ∑ 1,800<sup>1</sup> □ 1,600<sup>2</sup> degrees was determined?</li> <li>(Application or initial notification: <sup>1</sup> received on or after 8/30/89; <sup>2</sup> received before 8/30/89)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		□No □No	
<ul> <li>c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years?</li> <li>(1) All temperature measurements</li> <li>(2) All continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements</li> </ul>		No	
<ul> <li>(2) All continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations</li></ul>	X Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No No No No	
<ul> <li>d. Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings</li> <li>e. Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07? If no, skip e.(1) - (3)(1) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatic and the pollutant monitor and the</li></ul>	🗌 Yes ttically	□No ⊠No	
<ul> <li>control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?</li></ul>	ity 🗌 Yes	No	
	(check 🗹		
PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	box for each	· -	
<ol> <li>If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the:         <ul> <li>a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?</li> <li>b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the crer process begins in the primary chamber?</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	nation	□No □No	
<ul> <li>2. If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the:</li> <li>a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?</li> <li>b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the creating the primary chamber?</li> </ul>	🛛 Yes nation	No	
process begins in the primary chamber?		No	
PART V: <u>ALLOWED MATERIALS</u>	(check ☑ box for eacl		
<ol> <li>Besides animal remains and, if applicable, the bedding associated with the animals and appropriate of are any other materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?</li></ol>		⊠No	
<ol> <li>Do containers contain no more than 0.5 percent by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer?</li></ol>		⊠No ⊠No	

PART VI: <u>EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	•
<ol> <li>Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications?</li> <li>Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction?</li> <li>Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?</li></ol>	🛛 Yes 🖾 Yes 🖾 Yes	<pre>NoNoNoNoNoNoNo</pre>
PART VII: <u>EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS</u> (check 🗹 only one box)		

SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE

 $\boxtimes$  IN COMPLIANCE

## **Emissions Unit Section** <u>7 – Animal Crematory-#1 pri/2ndary chmbrs,NG fired,opacity/temp</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹	2
1. a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or	box for each	n question)
after August 30, 1989?	Xes	No
b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the		
secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	Xes	No
2. Manufacturer's recommended capacity: 75 🛛 lbs for batch unit 🗌 lbs/hr for ram-charged unit.	t.	
3. Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007?	Xes	No
4. Date of last inspection: $3/7/2011$		
5. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:		
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	Xes	No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	Yes	🖾No
<ul> <li>c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation?</li> <li>d. Date of last VE test: 3/7/2011</li> </ul>		No
<ul> <li>e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?</li> <li>f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test?</li></ul>		□No □No

PART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
<b>1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?</b>	Xes Yes	No
<ul><li>b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?</li><li>c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations?</li></ul>	☐ Yes ⊠ Yes	⊠No □No
d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six minute average.	Yes	No
<ul> <li>f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?</li></ul>	Yes in any one-hour)	LNo
<b>2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?</b>	Xes Yes	No
<ul><li>b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?</li><li>c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations?</li></ul>	☐ Yes ⊠ Yes	⊠No □No
d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six minute average.	Yes	No
f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	Yes in any one-hour)	No
3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standar	ds? □ Yes	🖾No
If yes, what reason?		

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS		(check 🗹 only one box for each question)	
1. Were there any objectionable odors detected?	🗌 Yes	🖾No	
An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were: Wind direction Downwind odor level detected Upwind odor level detected	_ Scale: 1-10	(worst)	
<ul> <li>2. Continuous Monitoring Systems –</li> <li>a Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?</li> <li>b Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence time at  1,800<sup>1</sup> □ 1,600<sup>2</sup> degrees was determined?</li></ul>		□No □No	
<ul> <li>c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years?</li> <li>(1) All temperature measurements</li></ul>		No	
<ul> <li>(2) All continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements; monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations</li></ul>	X Yes X Yes X Yes X Yes	No No No No No	
<ul> <li>d. Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings</li> <li>e. Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07? If no, skip e.(1) – (3)</li> <li>(1) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automat control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?</li></ul>	- 🗌 Yes ically	□No ⊠No □No	
<ul> <li>(2) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity exceeds 15% opacity ?</li></ul>	ty 🗌 Yes	No	
	(check ☑ box for eacl	-	
<ol> <li>PART IV: <u>SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES</u></li> <li>If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the:         <ul> <li>a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?</li> <li>b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the crem process begins in the primary chamber?</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	🗌 Yes ation	No	
<ul> <li>2. If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the:</li> <li>a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?</li></ul>	🛛 Yes ation	 No	
process begins in the primary chamber?	(check 🗹		
PART V: <u>ALLOWED MATERIALS</u>	box for each		
<ol> <li>Besides animal remains and, if applicable, the bedding associated with the animals and appropriate of are any other materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?</li> <li>If yes, what other materials?</li> </ol>		□No	
<ol> <li>Do containers contain no more than 0.5 percent by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer?</li></ol>	- 🛛 Yes e? 🖾 Yes	□No □No	

PART VI: <u>EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE</u>	(check ☑ box for each	•
<ol> <li>Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications?</li> <li>Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction?</li> <li>Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?</li></ol>	$\boxtimes$ Yes $\boxtimes$ Yes	NoNoNoNoNo
PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check 🗹 only one box)		

# IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE

SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

## **Facility Section (continued)**

SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
<ul> <li><u>Administrative Changes</u>:</li> <li>1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representati associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions unit operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?</li> </ul>	s or	🖾No
2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?		🖾No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:		
<ul> <li>3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>∴No</li> <li>∴No</li> <li>∴No</li> <li>∴No</li> <li>∴No</li> <li>∴No</li> </ul>

Assefa Hailemariam

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

11/5/2012

~11/2013

Date of Inspection

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:** The inspector, Mr. Assefa Hailemariam, met with Mr. Barry Grimm, President/owner for Greenbrier Pet Cremation Services, and Stephen Webb, consultant from Costal Air Consulting, Inc., at 3703 West Kelly Park Road, Apopka Florida 32712 on November 5, 2012, to audit the annual compliance visible emission test and records review of the facility. A facility walk-through was conducted to observe operating conditions and records review was conducted. This facility is a crematory for small to large animals. The facility has six emissions units which were manufactured by IFF and Mathews. The units use natural gas for fuel. The crematory incinerators, or the emissions units, all were tested for visible emissions and the observed opacity was 0% for EU001, EU004, EU005 and EU006 units. For EU003 unit the highest six minutes average was 1.04% (by inspector 2.08%). The emission units were operating at or above the required temperature of 1600 degrees Fahrenheit. The current permit and temperature charts and maintenance log book for all units were provided to the inspector by facility. No leaks or spills were observed during our walk-

through of the facility and all areas were clean. Mr. Grimm provided logs book from 2009 to present. (Under the permit, the facility is to require keeping the last two years of chart records, while the rest of the records are stored in the warehouse). These records show the operating secondary chamber temperature was greater than 1600 degrees Fahrenheit. The facility appears to be in good operating condition and no objectionable odors noticed. Mr. Grimm stated that EU006 web-base operate system now.