

## **ANIMAL CREMATORY**



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

<b>INSPECTION TYPE:</b>	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCO	VERY (CI)		
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT	NO:		
<b>AIRS ID#:</b> 0950149 <b>DA</b>	TE: <u>3/2309</u>	ARRIVE: <u>08:40</u>	DEPART: <u>09:30</u>		
FACILITY NAME: GR	EENBRIER MEMORY GARD	DENS			
FACILITY LOCATION	3703 W KELLY PARK	X RD			
	APOPKA 32703				
OWNER/AUTHORIZE	D REPRESENTATIVE: Bar	ry Grimm PHC	<b>ONE:</b> (407)886-2620		
CONTACT NAME:		РНО	ONE:		
ENTITLEMENT PERIO	<b>OD:</b> 3/15/2007 / 3/15/2011 (effective date) (end date)	2			
IN COMPLIANO	COMPLIANCE STATUS (CCC MINOR Non-COM		CANT Non-COMPLIANCE		
PART II: TESTING/RE	CORDKEEPING REOUIRE	MENTS – Rule 62-296.401	. F.A.C.		
(check <b>☑</b> appropriat					
<ul><li>(check  appropriate</li><li>1. Were there any ob</li></ul>	te box(es))  vjectionable odor(s) detected?		· [	Yes	⊠ No
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriat</li> <li>Were there any ob</li> <li>Was a visible emis</li> <li>62-297, F.A.C.)?-</li> </ol>	te box(es))  ojectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this	s site visit according to EPA	[ Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter	☐ Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriat</li> <li>Were there any ob</li> <li>Was a visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)?-</li> <li>In order to demondays prior to the A</li> </ol>	te box(es))  pjectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this strate individual source complia AGP Notification form submissi	s site visit according to EPA  nnce, was an annual visible endon, and within 60 days prior	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter [ missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? (Ru	Yes	⊠ No
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriated)</li> <li>Were there any obening</li> <li>Was a visible emissible emissi</li></ol>	te box(es))  spectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this strate individual source complia AGP Notification form submissi F.A.C.) strate individual source complia	s site visit according to EPA ance, was an annual visible each, and within 60 days prior	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter [ missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? (Ru [ licable standards testing	∐Yes ule ⊠Yes	<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriated)</li> <li>Were there any obeside 2. Was a visible emision of the following of the following prior to the following prior to the following prior to demonstrate (a)</li> <li>In order to demonstrate (a)</li> <li>In order to demonstrate (b)</li> <li>In order to demonstrate (a)</li> <li>Carbon Monostrate (b)</li> </ol>	te box(es))  pjectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this strate individual source complia AGP Notification form submissi F.A.C.) strate individual source complia 60 days prior to the AGP Notificide (CO) emissions equal to or	s site visit according to EPA  ance, was an annual visible element, and within 60 days prior  ance were the remaining apple fication form submission? (Relow the requirements of 10	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter [ missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? (Ru [ licable standards testing tule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) [ 00 parts per million by	Yes	⊠ No
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriated)</li> <li>Were there any obesity</li> <li>Was a visible emistage of the following of the following</li></ol>	te box(es))  pjectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this strate individual source complia AGP Notification form submissi F.A.C.) strate individual source complia 60 days prior to the AGP Notification form submissi (CO) emissions equal to or corrected to 7% O <sub>2</sub> on an hour 62-297, F.A.C.)?	s site visit according to EPA  ance, was an annual visible eleon, and within 60 days prior  ance were the remaining apple fication form submission? (Relow the requirements of 10 ly average basis and tested a	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter	□Yes ule □Yes □Yes	<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate)</li> <li>Were there any obening the second of the second of</li></ol>	te box(es))  spectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this strate individual source complia AGP Notification form submissi F.A.C.) strate individual source complia 60 days prior to the AGP Notification (CO) emissions equal to or corrected to 7% O <sub>2</sub> on an hour 62-297, F.A.C.)? erformed according to EPA Met tter emissions test with results e	s site visit according to EPA ance, was an annual visible end on, and within 60 days prior ance were the remaining apple fication form submission? (Result below the requirements of 10 average basis and tested a and 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297) equal to or below the requirements of possible to the second of the se	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter	□Yes ule ⊠Yes □Yes	<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate)</li> <li>Were there any obeside 2. Was a visible emision of the entropy of the entropy</li></ol>	pjectionable odor(s) detected?ssions test conducted during this	s site visit according to EPA  ance, was an annual visible ender, and within 60 days prior  ance were the remaining apple ance were the remaining apple ance were the requirements of 10 days prior  ance were the remaining apple and a submission? (Ref.: Chapter 62-297) and tested a coordinate to 7% O <sub>2</sub> and tested according to 7% O <sub>2</sub> and tested according to 20 days accordi	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter	□Yes ule □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes	<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>
(check ☑ appropriate  1. Were there any obto  2. Was a visible emistate of the second	te box(es))  pjectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this strate individual source complia AGP Notification form submissi F.A.C.) strate individual source complia 60 days prior to the AGP Notification form submissi (CO) emissions equal to or , corrected to 7% O <sub>2</sub> on an hour 62-297, F.A.C.)? erformed according to EPA Met tter emissions test with results e to foot (ft³) of flue gas, corrected 297, F.A.C.)? testing conducted with the sour	s site visit according to EPA  ance, was an annual visible endon, and within 60 days prior  ance were the remaining apple fication form submission? (Result below the requirements of 10 average basis and tested a control of 10 average basis and tested and 10 average basis and tested and 10 average basis and tested according to 7% O <sub>2</sub> and tested according to 7% O <sub>2</sub> and tested according to 20 average at the manufact	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter	□Yes ule □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes	<ul> <li>No</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriated)</li> <li>Were there any obesity</li> <li>Was a visible emision of the second of the</li></ol>	te box(es))  spectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this strate individual source complia AGP Notification form submissi F.A.C.) strate individual source complia 60 days prior to the AGP Notification (CO) emissions equal to or corrected to 7% O <sub>2</sub> on an hour 62-297, F.A.C.)? erformed according to EPA Met there emissions test with results e c foot (ft³) of flue gas, corrected 297, F.A.C.)? testing conducted with the sour	s site visit according to EPA  ance, was an annual visible endon, and within 60 days prior  ance were the remaining apple fication form submission? (Reflection form submission?) below the requirements of 10 average basis and tested a condition of 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297) equal to or below the requirements of 7% O <sub>2</sub> and tested according to 7% O <sub>2</sub> and tested according to 50 are to 50 and 10 are to the date of the last formatic of the side o	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter	□Yes ule □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes	<ul> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> </ul>

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
1. Is there <b>Continuous Emissions Monitoring System</b> (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to record	temnerati	ires in the
primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber co	mbuetion	70na in
accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?		
		=
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?		☐ No
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the re-	cording o	1 Sucii
measurements, maintenance, reports and records?	N/N/	□ N.
1) All measurements (including CEMS)	Yes	∐ No
2) Monitoring device		∐ No
3) Performance Testing Measurements		∐ No
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation		∐ No
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks	_	∐ No
6) Adjustments	⊠Yes	∐ No
7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices		∐ No
8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	⊠Yes	☐ No
2. Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one <b>☑</b> box)		
a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4)		
b) On or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4)		
3. If constructed <b>BEFORE</b> August 30, 1989 is the:		
a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ <b>1600°F</b> ?	Yes	☐ No
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F		
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	Yes	☐ No
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature		
is equal to or greater than 1400°F?	Yes	☐ No
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to		
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the		
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	☐ No
4. If constructed <b>ON</b> or <b>AFTER</b> August 30, 1989 is the:		
a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence time	ne	
@ 1800° F?	⊠Yes	No
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	_	_
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	⊠Yes	□ No
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the crematic		
process begins in the primary chamber?	⊠Yes	□ No
5. Are appropriate leak-proof containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated		
plastics used during the cremation of dead animals?	⊠Yes	□ No
a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that the		
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of		
their use and for at least two years after their use?	⊠Yes	□ No
b) If plastic bags are used for the cremation of animals are they non-chlorinated and no less than 3 mils	<u> </u>	
thick?	⊠Yes	☐ No
	M 1 es	
c) Are dead animals, which have been used for medical or commercial experimentation, or other	□V <sub>25</sub>	M N₁a
materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, F.A.C.), incinerated at this location?	∐Yes	⊠ No
6. During this review period, was the largest batch load cremated 500 pounds per hour or less?	Yes	∐ No
7. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program?	Yes	☐ No
a) Are copies of the training certificates all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the duration of the copies of the training certificates all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the duration of the copies of the training certificates all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the duration of the copies of the training certificates all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the duration of the copies of the training certificates all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the duration of the copies of the copi		
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment?	☐ Yes	∐ No

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURE A. New or Modified Process Equipment	ES – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.		
<ol> <li>Since the last inspection has there been         <ul> <li>a) installation of any new process equipment?</li></ul></li></ol>			⊠No ⊠No ⊠No □No □No □No
Assefa Hailemariam	3/23/2009		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
	~3/23/2010		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	on	

COMMENTS: The inspectors, Mr. Assefa Hailemariam and Mr. Efren Vazquez met with Mr. Barry Grimm, president/owner for Greenbrier Pet Cremation and Bio Services, located at 3703 West Kelly Park Road, Apopka Florida 32712 on March 23,2009, to perform the annual copliance inspection of the facility. A facility walk-throough was conducted to observe operating conditions and records review was conducted afterwards. This facility is a crematory for small to large animals. The facility has six emissions units which were manufacture by IFF and Mathews. The units are use natural gas for fuel. The crematory incinerator or the emissions units` area was not operating during the inspection. No leaks or spills were observed during our walk-through of the facility and all areas were clean. Mr. Grimm provided the logs from 2008 to presnt on a hard copy. The records show for the operating secondary chamber temperature was greater than 1600 degrees Fahrenheit. Tha facility appears to be in good operating condition. No fugitive on the property or no objectionable odors noticed.