NUMERIAL PROTECTION	
San Van	
FLORIDA	

PERCHLOROETHYLENE DRY CLEANERS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	NUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVE ARMS COMPLAINT NO			
AIRS ID#: 0710141 DATE: 03/10/2008 ARRIVE: 11:00 a.m. DEPART: 11:20 a.m.					
FACILITY NAME: EDISON	DRY CLEANERS				
FACILITY LOCATION:	2215 WINKLER AVE SU	UITE G			
	FT MYERS 33901				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED RE	PRESENTATIVE: KLEI	BER ORNEIRO PHONE	E: (239)634-5718		
CONTACT NAME:		PHONE	Ξ:		
	1/27/2008 / 1/27/2013 (effective date) (end date)				
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box) □ IN COMPLIANCE □ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE □ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
PART II: <u>FACILITY</u> <u>CLASSIFICATION</u> - Rule 62-213.300 FAC (check ☑ only one box in A)					
A. 1. Existing small area dry-to-dry only, x < transfer only, x < 20 both types, x < 140 (constructed before	< 140 gal/yr 00 gal/yr 9 gal/yr	2. <u>New small area source</u> dry-to-dry only, x < 14 transfer only, x < 200 g both types, x < 140 gal (constructed on or after	0 gal/yr gal/yr //yr		
3. Existing large area dry-to-dry only, 140 transfer only, 200 ≤ both types, 140 ≤ x (constructed before	$0 \le x \le 2,100 \text{ gal/yr}$ $\le x \le 1,800 \text{ gal/yr}$ $\le 1,800 \text{ gal/yr}$	4. New large area source dry-to-dry only, $140 \le$ transfer only, $200 \le x \le$ both types, $140 \le x \le 1$ (constructed on or after	x ≤ 2,100 gal/yr ≤ 1,800 gal/yr 1,800 gal/yr		
5. Ineligible for Gene drop store/out of bu facility exceeds abo	isiness/petroleum				
B. The total quantity of perchloroethylene (perc) purchased within the preceding 12 months by this dry cleaning facility was gallons.					

PART III: GENERAL CONTROL REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC	(check 🗹 only one box
Does the responsible official of the dry cleaning facility:	for each question)
1. Store perc, and wastes containing perc, in tightly sealed & impervious containers?	∐Yes ∏No ⊠N/A
2. Examine the containers for leakage?	Yes No N/A
3. Close and secure machine doors except during loading/unloading?	Yes No
4. Drain cartridge filters in their housing or in sealed containers for at least 24 hours prior to disposal?	Yes No N/A
5. Maintain solvent-to-carbon ratios and steam pressure for carbon adsorber beds according to the manufacturer's specifications?	∐Yes □ No ⊠ N/A

PART IV:PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC(Refer to Part II-A.14. Classification: page 1 of 4, this form)					
	1. If the facility classification is a Existing small area source , no controls are required. Proceed to Part V.				
	2. If the facility classification is a <u>New small area source</u> , the machine should be equipped with a refrigerated condenser. Complete section A. below.				
	3. If the facility classification is a Existing large area source , the machine should be equipped with either a refrigerated condenser or a carbon adsorber. Complete both sections A and B below. <i>Carbon adsorber must have been installed prior to September 22, 1993</i>				
	4. If the facility classification is a <u>New large area source</u> , the machine should be econdenser. Complete both sections A and B below.	luipped v	vith a ref	rigerated	
А.	Has the responsible official of all <u>existing large area & new sources</u> :		☑ only each que	one box for stion)	
1.	Equipped all machines with the appropriate vent controls?	⊠Yes	No		
2.	Equipped dry-to-dry machines with a closed-loop vapor venting system?	⊠Yes	No	□N/A	
3.	Equipped the condenser with a diverter valve so airflow will be directed away from the condenser upon opening the door?	⊠Yes	No	□N/A	
4.	Measured and recorded the temperature of the outlet exhaust stream of a refrigerated condenser on a weekly basis?	⊠Yes	No		
5.	Repaired or adjusted the equipment within 24 hours if the exhaust temperature of the condenser exceeded 45° F?	Yes	No	□N/A	
6.	Conducted all temperature monitoring after an appropriate cool-down period and after verifying that the coolant had been completely charged?	⊠Yes	No		

PA	PART IV: <u>PROCESS VENT CONTROLS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)				
B.	Does the responsible official of an existing large or new large area source also:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)			
1.	Measure and record the exhaust temperature on the outlet side of the condenser located on dry-to-dry, reclaimer, and dryer machines on a weekly basis?	Yes No			
2.	Measure and record the washer exhaust temperature at the condenser inlet and outlet weekly?	- □Yes □No ⊠N/A □Yes □No ⊠N/A			
3.	Measure and record the perc concentration in the exhaust stream weekly at the end of the final drying cycle while the machine is venting to the adsorber, if machines are equipped exclusively with a carbon adsorber?	Yes No N/A			
	a) Is the perc concentration equal to, or less than 100 ppm?	Yes No X/A			
4.	Assure that the sampling port on the carbon adsorber exhaust for measuring perc concentrations is at least 8 duct diameters downstream of any bend, contraction, or expansion; is at least 2 duct diameters upstream from any bend, contraction, or expansion; and downstream from no other inlet?	□Yes □ No ⊠ N/A			
5.	Equip transfer machines (dryers, reclaimers, and washers) with individual condenser coils?	- Yes No N/A			
6.	Route airflow to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?	Yes No N/A			

PART V: <u>RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC (check ☑ only one box for each question)				
Does the responsible official:				
1. Maintain receipts for perc purchased?	- 🛛 Yes 🗌 No			
2. Maintain rolling monthly total of yearly perc consumption?	Yes No			
3. Maintain leak detection inspection and repair reports for the following:				
a) documentation of leaks repaired w/in 24 hrs? or;	- 🗌 Yes 🖾 No 🗌 N/A			
 b) documentation of parts ordered to repair leak and leak repaired w/in 2 days and parts installed w/in 5 days of receipt? 	☐ Yes ⊠ No ☐ N/A			
4. Maintain calibration data? (for applicable direct reading instruments)	Yes No N/A			
5. Maintain exhaust duct monitoring data on perc concentrations?	Yes No N/A			
6. Maintain a startup/shutdown/malfunction plan?	- 🛛 Yes 🗌 No			
7. Maintain deviation reports?	- 🗌 Yes 🗌 No 🖾 N/A			
a) Problem corrected?	- Yes No N/A			
8. Maintain a compliance plan, if applicable?	- Yes No N/A			

PART VI: <u>LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC

1. Does the responsible official conduct a weekly (for small sources, bi-weekly) leak

(check ☑ only one box for each question)

detection and repair inspection?	Xes No
2. Does the facility maintain a leak log?	Xes No
 3. Does the responsible official check the following areas for leaks? a) Hose connections, fittings, couplings, and valves Yes No N/A g) Muck cool b) Door gaskets and seating Yes No N/A h) Stills c) Filter gaskets and seating Yes No N/A i) Exhaust da d) Pumps Yes No N/A j) Diverter va e) Solvent tanks and containers Yes No N/A k) Cartridges f) Water separators Yes No N/A 	Image: Second
4. Which method(s) of detection (is/are) used by the responsible official?	
 a) Visual examination (condensed solvent on exterior surfaces) b) Physical detection (airflow felt through gaskets) c) Odor (noticeable perc odor) d) Use of direct-reading instrumentation (FID/PID/calorimetric tubes) e) Halogen leak detector 	b)⊠ c)⊠ d)□**(see below)
 **If using direct-reading instrumentation, is the equipment:	n? 1) Yes No only)? 2) Yes No 3) Yes No 4) Yes No
ROBERT J. STEWART	3/10/2008
Inspector's Name (Please Print) Da	ate of Inspection
	03/17/2008

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: Facility was reinspected to determine if the dry cleaning machine had been serviced to correct a malfunction that was causing strong PERC odors to escape from the machine. At the time of the inspection, the dry cleaning machine was in operation and strong PERC odors were noted coming from the rear of the machine. The attendant was questioned about whether any the machine had been looked at by a service repairman and he stated that a service technician had been at the facility to inspect and look at the machine. The attendant, who speaks very little or broken English, said that the machine needed two valves to be repaired. He then called the owner of the facility on the phone. The owner, Mr. Kleber, said that the service tech had been at the facility and looked at the machine, but had not installed any parts. The technician was supposed to return in the next two weeks to repair the machine. When asked if he had any documentation or receipt for the technician's visit, Mr. Kleber said that the technician had not given him any receipt or paperwork. Mr. Kleber was asked if he had received the Department's warning letter of Feb. 18, 2008 requesting he attend a meeting tomorrow on March 11, 2008 at the Department's Ft. Myers office. Mr. Kleber was signed by a representative at the facility on Feb. 20, 2008. Mr. Kleber was told that the issues surrounding the machine would be discussed at the meeting. At the end of the inspection, a copy of the Department's warning letter of Feb. 18 was left at the facility.