

CHROMIUM ELECTROPLATING/ANODIZING



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS	I, INS2) 🖂 COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) 🔲 📮 2	
RE-INSPECTIO	N (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	
AIRS ID#: 1030306 DA7	TE: 5/14/12 TIME IN: 2:50pm TIME OUT: 3:30pm	
EACH POV NAME.		
·	Metal Finishing Inc. Bth Avenue North	
	ter, FL, 33760	
	Eidschun Phone No.: 727-573-2464	
PERMIT NO. 1030306-004-AG	EXP. DATE: 5/28/2015	
CONTACT: Keith E. Eidschun	PHONE: 727-573-2464	
	<u> </u>	
PART I: NOTIFICATION		
(check appropriate box)	Facility Compliance Status: IN	
1. New facility notified DARM 30 days pr	ior to startup	
2. Facility failed to notify DARM to use a	general permit SNC	
PART II: CLASSIFICATION		
PART II: <u>CLASSIFICATION</u> – Rule 62		
Facility type(s)/applicable standard as in	dicated on notification form:	
1. Hard Chromium Plating		
a. Existing Large (0.015 mg/dscm) b. Existing Small Decorative Chrome		
2. Decorative Chromium Plating/And	dizing	
a. <u>Chromic Acid Bath</u>	 Emissions of ≤ 0.01/mg/dscm (4.4x10⁻⁶ gr/dscf) Surface tension of ≤ 45 dynes/cm (3.1x10⁻³ lb-f/ft) (May only be selected if a wetting agent is used.) 	
b. Trivalent Chromium Bath	1) With wetting agent	
c. <u>Chromium</u> <u>Anodizing</u>	1) Emissions of ≤ 0.01 mg/dscm $(4.4x10^{-6} \text{ gr/dscf})$	

PART III: <u>CONTROL TECHNOLOGY</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC	
(Select control	DEVICE IN LICE?
<u>device</u>)	<u>DEVICE IN USE</u> ?
1. Composite Mesh Pad	□Yes □No
2. Fiber Bed Mist Eliminator	Yes No
3. Packed Bed Scrubber	Yes No
4. Packed Bed Scrubber/Composite Mesh Pad	Yes No
5. Foam Blanket Fume Suppressant	☐Yes ☐No
6. Fume Suppressant w/ Wetting Agent	⊠Yes □No
Has the facility conducted an initial performance test to establish monitoring parameters?	
(Not required for sources using a wetting agent or 1-inch foam blanket thickness	
DARTIN, DECORDIZEDING/DEDORUNG DECUMEMENTS. DL. (4.412.20)	2/2
PART IV: <u>RECORDKEEPING/REPORTING</u> <u>REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300	J(3)
Has the responsible official maintained the following records?	
rias die responsible official mandaned die following records.	
1. Quarterly inspection records for add-on air pollution control devices and	
monitoring equipment. (applicable only to a facility using a packed bed scrubbe	r. fiber-bed
mist eliminator, or composite mesh pad)	
2. Operations and Maintenance Plan (OMP). (applicable only to a facility using	
scrubber, fiber-bed mist eliminator, or composite mesh pad)	·
3. Maintenance records for the source, add-on pollution control devices, and	
monitoring equipment (equipment identified, date performed, description)	⊠Yes □No
4. Records of date of occurrence, duration, cause, and corrective action of each	
malfunction of process, add-on pollution control device, and monitoring equipme	nt. □Yes ⊠No
5. Results of all performance tests	
6. Records of monitoring data. (not applicable to trivalent chromium baths using	
agent)	- □Yes □No ⊠N/A
Composite Mesh Pad	
Measure the pressure drop across the CMP daily	- Yes No
<u>Packed Bed Scrubber</u> Measure the pressure drop across the PBS and the inlet velocity daily	- Yes No
Fiber-Bed Mist Eliminator	
Measure the pressure drop across the FBME and the upstream device daily	Yes No
Packed Bed Scrubber/Composite Mesh Pad	
Measure the pressure drop across the CMP daily	Yes No
Foam Blanket Fume Suppressant	
Measure the foam blanket thickness at the appropriate interval	□Yes □No
Fume Suppressant w/ Wetting Agent	
Measure the surface tension at the appropriate interval	
7. Purchase records of wetting agent components	
8. Records of the date and time that fume suppressants are added to the bath	
9. Records of rectifier capacity, if used to determine facility size	
10. Records of the total process operating time	
11. Records identifying specific periods of excess emissions	- ☐Yes ⊠No
12. Startup, Shutdown & Malfunction Plan	- ⊠Yes □No

PART V: ADDITIONAL SITE INFORMATION

I met with the authorized Representative Keith E. Eidschun, Mike Flannigan and Chemist John Kripinski to tour the facility.

Mr. Eidschun stated they are not using the decorative chrome tank. He stated the Decorative Chromium tank has not been used for over a year since 11/10/10 has been shutdown. They will be sending contents to hazardous wastes disposal. The records for the tanks showed the operation time to be 1.5 hour since January 2011. There have been no changes in plating processes. They continue to use the Benchbrite 1800 for suppressant.

The chromium Anodizing tank is used more frequently, but was not in operation at this time. No parts being processed. I observed the Chromium anodizing and Decorative chrome tanks and they were not in use at this time. (See photos) The facility is required to maintain tanks below 45 Dynes/cm $(3.1x10^{-3} \text{ lb-f/ft})$. I reviewed records and this was in compliance with the rule requirement. Mr. Kripinski gave copy of their records for the surface tension checks. (See attached copies) The records were observed at the work stations as are kept by the operators for hours in use and information is transfer into the labs computer. The records were reviewed from January 3, 2011 – April 2012, the highest Dynes for the tank was 44.6 /cm $(3.1x10^{-3} \text{ lb-f/ft})$ February 2012.

The highest reading for the Chromium Anodizing tank was $44.2 \text{ Dynes/cm} (3.1x10^{-3} \text{ lb-f/ft})$ in Oct13, 2010. The highest reading for the Decorative Chrome tank was $42.8 \text{ Dynes/cm} (3.1x10^{-3} \text{ lb-f/ft})$ in April 2011. They added Benchbrite CR 1800 in Feb and lowered the surface tension to $43.7/\text{cm} (3.1x10^{-3} \text{ lb-f/ft})$. 40 CFR Part 63, 63.342 c(5)(ii) (B) compliance provisions - states if no exceedance during monitoring the surface tension test should be performed once every 40 hours or 2400 minutes. The facility performs their monitoring of tanks every 1500 - 1700 minutes to prevent exceedance of surface tension and to stay within the rule under 2400 minutes. The records reviewed showed no exceedance from June 2011 - May 2012. The records were reviewed the highest time the tanks were in use prior to testing was ???? minutes, which is below the limitation and in compliance with the rule. The facility had no exceedance at this time as records show was below the limitation and in compliance with the rule. The Last surface test was performed on 6/17/2011.

The facility operation last year added 3 spray booths for paint coating, and 1 powder coating booth and oven. I reviewed the material usage records for the paints and solvents. This facility is monitoring the paint usage and maintaining records to determine if the painting volume will require the air operations permit be changed, and if the RACT applies.

The facility records showed that the paint and solvent usage was 3303.8 gallons for the 12 month Period of January 3, 2011 - December 5, 30^{th} 2011. The VOC contents ranges were 2.5 - 7.3 lbs per gallon for paint, and 6.6 - 36.8 lbs per gallon for solvents. The total VOC emissions were 2565.2 lbs or 1.28 ton. The parts work they are spraying is for aerospace and commercial, metal substrates. The facility at this time is above the RACT limitation of 750 gallons usage per year and above one ton of VOC emissions.

This facility may be subject to 40 CFD 62 Subpart HUHHUH	National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air		
This facility may be subject to <u>40 CFR 63 Subpart HHHHHHH – National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants: Paint Stripping and Miscellaneous Surface Coating Operations at Area Sources and <u>40 CFR 63 Subpart WWWWWW – National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants: Area Source Standards for Plating and Polishing Operations</u>. Subpart HHHHHH is applicable to facility's surface coating operations, while</u>			
Subpart WWWWWW is applicable to the chromate conversion process tank that is part of the chemical film process line. As of the effective date of this permit, these two Subparts have not been adopted by Florida Department of Environmental Protection.			
Inspector=s Name (Please Print) Shea Jackson	Date of Inspection		
Inspector=s Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection		

5095 113th Avenue North, Clearwater



Project Id: 80781 **Permit No:** 1030306-004-AG **Arms Number:** 0306

Inspector: Shea Jackson Inspection Date / Time: 5/14/2012 / ____

Source (EU): Existing Decorative Chromium Electroplating & Anodizing Facility with Two Tanks. Fume Suppressant with a

Wetting Agent is Used as a Control in Both Tanks

Description: [This is the tank area for the facility processes]

5095 113th Avenue North, Clearwater

Tank # 48
Decorative Chrome
Operation Log in Minutes D
Operation Log in Minutes - Do Not Process if Total Minutes exceed 1700 minutes
Date Time on State Time on State Troo minutes
Date Time On Time Off It Minutes Total Minutes Charace
5/9/12 7:50 9:25 Tension Run (TR
5/9/12 7:50 9:25 100 100 ID
5/10/28:05 9:43 100 200 50
1-11 1.93 Y 8 m 3 C C
111111111111111111111111111111111111111
5/11/12 9:05 10:45 100m 348 JD
1790 30

Project Id: <u>80781</u> **Permit No:** 1030306-004-AG **Arms Number:** <u>0306</u>

Inspector: Shea Jackson Inspection Date / Time: 5/14/2012 / _____

Source (EU): Existing Decorative Chromium Electroplating & Anodizing Facility with Two Tanks. Fume Suppressant with a

Wetting Agent is Used as a Control in Both Tanks

Description: [The operators maintain the tank usage records at their work station]

5095 113th Avenue North, Clearwater



Project Id: <u>80781</u> **Permit No:** 1030306-004-AG **Arms Number:** <u>0306</u>

Inspector: Shea Jackson **Inspection Date / Time:** 5/14/2012 /

Source (EU): Existing Decorative Chromium Electroplating & Anodizing Facility with Two Tanks. Fume Suppressant with a

Wetting Agent is Used as a Control in Both Tanks

Description: [This is the chromic anodize tank which is used for short times sporadically during the week. .

No parts were being dipped at this time.]

5095 113th Avenue North, Clearwater



Project Id: <u>80781</u> **Permit No:** 1030306-004-AG **Arms Number:** <u>0306</u>

Inspector: Shea Jackson Inspection Date / Time: 5/14/2012 / _____

Source (EU): Existing Decorative Chromium Electroplating & Anodizing Facility with Two Tanks. Fume Suppressant with a

Wetting Agent is Used as a Control in Both Tanks

Description: [This is the decorative tank which has not been used for over a year. No parts were being

dipped at this time.]

5095 113th Avenue North, Clearwater



Project Id: <u>80781</u> **Permit No:** 1030306-004-AG **Arms Number:** <u>0306</u>

Inspector: Shea Jackson **Inspection Date / Time:** 5/14/2012 /

Source (EU): Existing Decorative Chromium Electroplating & Anodizing Facility with Two Tanks. Fume

Suppressant with a Wetting Agent is Used as a Control in Both Tanks

Description: [The facility installed spray booths last year for spraying parts. Paint usage and VOC

records are being maintained.]

1 of 4 Revised 07/28/00