

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

FACILITY NAME: ORLANDO NORTH YARD FACILITY LOCATION: 3910 FORYSTH RD WINTER PARK 32792 OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: MICHAEL MAHONEY PHONE: (561)478-9980 Mobile: CONTACT NAME: LISA ANDREWS PHONE: (407)654-4001 Email: mmahoney@prestige-gunite.com CONTACT NAME: LISA ANDREWS PHONE: (407)654-4001 Mobile: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 1/17/2008 / 1/17/2013 (effective date) (end date) PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box) IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check paptropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)? 2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity? 3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice? 4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to questions 5.) 3. Were visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration? Yes \ No b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration? Yes \ No 5. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate		ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVE	`
FACILITY NAME: ORLANDO NORTH YARD FACILITY LOCATION: 3910 FORYSTH RD WINTER PARK 32792 OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: MICHAEL MAHONEY PHONE: (561)478-9980 Mobile: CONTACT NAME: LISA ANDREWS PHONE: (407)654-4001 Mobile: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 1/17/2008 / 1/17/2013 (effective date) (end date) PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box) IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check of appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)? 2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity? 3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice? 4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to questions 5.) a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test? Yes No	F	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO	v:
WINTER PARK 32792 OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: MICHAEL MAHONEY PHONE: (561)478-9980 Email: mmahoney@prestige-gunite.com CONTACT NAME: LISA ANDREWS PHONE: (407)654-4001 Email: Mobile: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 1/17/2008 / 1/17/2013 (effective date) (end date) PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box) IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check papropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)? Yes No 2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity? Yes No 3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice? Yes No 4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to questions 5.p Yes No b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration? Yes No 5. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate	AIRS ID#: 0950144 DAT	E: <u>09/10/10</u>	ARRIVE: <u>1:00 PM</u>	DEPART: <u>2:05 PM</u>
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: MICHAEL MAHONEY PHONE: (561)478-9980 Email: mmahoney@prestige-gunite.com Mobile: CONTACT NAME: LISA ANDREWS PHONE: (407)654-4001 Email: Mobile: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 1/17/2008 / 1/17/2013 (effective date) (end date) PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REOUREMENTS — Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?	FACILITY NAME: ORL	ANDO NORTH YARD		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: MICHAEL MAHONEY Email: mmahoney@prestige-gunite.com CONTACT NAME: LISA ANDREWS Email: Mobile: PHONE: (407)654-4001 Mobile: PHONE: (407)654-4001 Mobile: PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS — Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)? ☐ Yes ☐ No 2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity? ☐ Yes ☐ No 3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice? ☐ ☑ Yes ☐ No 4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 5.) ☐ ☑ Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test? ☐ ☐ ☑ Yes ☐ No b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration? ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	FACILITY LOCATION:	3910 FORYSTH RD		
Email: LISA ANDREWS		WINTER PARK 3279	2	
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check paperopriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?———————————————————————————————————	Email: mmahoney@pr CONTACT NAME: LIS Email:	restige-gunite.com SA ANDREWS D: 1/17/2008 / 1/17/2013	Mobile: PHONE Mobile:	E: (407)654-4001
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check paperopriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?———————————————————————————————————	PART. INCRECTION			
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REOUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☐ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)? ————————————————————————————————————			<u> </u>	NEW COMPLIANCE
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?	IN CUMPLIANCE	E MINOR Non-COMI	PLIANCE SIGNIFICAT	NT Non-CUMPLIANCE
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?				
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			<u>MENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.	A.C.
from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? Yes No	1. Were visible emission 62-297, F.A.C.)? 2. Are emissions from controlled to the ext 3. During visible emission at a rate that is represented in the emissions from to this question is skip 4.a) and 4.b) are a) Was the batching b) During the visible duration? 5. If emissions from the from the silo dust control of the emissions from the from the silo dust control of the emissions from the emission from the em	a silos, weigh hoppers (batchers tent necessary to limit visible essions tests of the silo dust collegentative of the normal silo lonachievable in practice?	s), and other enclosed storage are missions to 5 percent opacity?- ector exhaust points was the loa pading rate, or at least at the min peration controlled by the silo dustions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If ansign the visible emissions test? hing rate representative of the near are controlled by a dust considerate tests of the weigh hopper (based on the storage are considerated as a second storage are controlled by a dust considerate tests of the weigh hopper (based on the storage are controlled by a dust considerate are controlled are controlled by a dust cons	nd conveying equipment

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)	
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)	
1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of t	tho
annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	⊠Yes □ No
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)	
2. Did this facility demonstrate:	
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?	□Yes □ No
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form	
submittal date?	· ∐Yes ∐ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)	
3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior	to
the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	⊠Yes □ No
the AGI Profiledion form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date.	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)	
4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after	the
test was completed?	⊠Yes □ No
test mas completed.	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
 (check appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary (2) a relocatable (3) both, stationary and relocatable 	le 🗌
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	le 🗌
 (check appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ; 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check only one box.</i>) 	
 (check propriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ; 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check only one box.</i>) 2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants? 	
 (check appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ; 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check only one box.</i>) 	ing
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	ing □Yes ⊠ No
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	ing □Yes ⊠ No □Yes ⊠ No
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	ing
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	ing
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	ing
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) 2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	ing
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	ing
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) 2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	ing Yes No No Yes No No Yes No No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	ing

2 - 22 A CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR OF CHIDE	The state of the s	* /
PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIRE</u> (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	EMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)	<i>l</i>)
(check v appropriate box(es))		I
<u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)		!
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant	at take reasonable precautions to control unconfined	I
emissions by:	•	ן!
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, ar	and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:	J'
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas	as, stock piles, and yards? 🖂Yes 🗌] No
2) application of water or environmentally safe du	lust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control	ין
] No
	other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to	'
	eas to reduce airborne particulate matter? \bigsymbol{\times} Yes \bigsymbol{\text{\tin}\text{\tex{\tex	」No ∣'
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of	wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	
		∐ No □ No
b) use of spray par, chute, or partial enclosure to mitig	tigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? \big Yes \big	∐ No
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES	$-\overline{S}$ - Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.	
A. New or Modified Process Equipment	-]'
		I
1. Since the last inspection has there been		_
a) installation of any new process equipment?		⊠ No
b) alterations to existing process equipment without		⊠ No
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially		K INTO
d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the		⊠ No
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4		!
		□No
local program office:		INO
Norma Ali	9/10/10	
Norma An	7/ 1U/ 1U	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
	9/10/11	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	
COMMENTS: Inspector Norma Ali, met with Jason Hill, Pla	ant Manager and Noah Handley, consultant from Arlington	
Environmental Services, Inc. A VE compliance test was condu	lucted on this date, on the EU01 - Cement Silo. The facility typ	pically
limits line pressure to 6 psi. On today's loading the psi used wa	/as between 8-10 psi.	
_ 		

Opacity observed was 0%. Loading rate was ~ 25.6 tph. Roads were partially wet, roads are not paved. No objectionable odors or PM were observed leaving the facility at the time of inspection.