STRUMENT POLICION
FLORIDA

PERCHLOROETHYLENE DRY CLEANERS



## **COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST**

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)
AIRS ID#: 0830105 DATE: <u>9/19/13</u>	ARRIVE: <u>10:30</u> DEPART: <u>11:10</u>
FACILITY NAME: PADDOCK PARK CLEANERS	
FACILITY LOCATION: 3101 SW 34TH AVE STE	E 104
OCALA 34474	
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: DONO Email: CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 5/31/2007 / 5/31/2012 (effective date) (end date)	Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (che         IN COMPLIANCE         IN COMPLIANCE	
PART II:       FACILITY CLASSIFICATION (check I only one box in A)       - Rule 62-2	213.300 FAC
A. 1. Existing small area source dry-to-dry only, $x < 140$ gal/yr transfer only, $x < 200$ gal/yr both types, $x < 140$ gal/yr (constructed before 12/9/91)3. Existing large area source $\Box$ dry-to-dry only, $140 \le x \le 2,100$ gal/yr transfer only, $200 \le x \le 1,800$ gal/yr both types, $140 \le x \le 1,800$ gal/yr (constructed before 12/9/91)5. Ineligible for General Permit $\Box$ d rop store/out of business/petroleum / facility exceeds above limits	2. New small area source dry-to-dry only, $x < 140$ gal/yr transfer only, $x < 200$ gal/yr both types, $x < 140$ gal/yr (constructed on or after 12/9/91) 4. New large area source dry-to-dry only, $140 \le x \le 2,100$ gal/yr transfer only, $200 \le x \le 1,800$ gal/yr both types, $140 \le x \le 1,800$ gal/yr (constructed on or after 12/9/91)
<b>B</b> . The sum of the volume of all perchloroethylene (p	perc) purchases made in each of the previous 12 months by this dry

cleaning facility was unknown.

PA	ART III: <u>GENERAL CONTROL REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	check x for e		only o uestio	
1.	Is all perc, and wastes containing perc, in tightly sealed & impervious containers?	$\boxtimes$	Yes		No		N/A
2.	Are all perc. containers leak free ?	$\boxtimes$	Yes		No		N/A
3.	Are all machine doors kept closed and secured except during loading/unloading?	$\boxtimes$	Yes		No		
4.	Are cartridge filters d rained in their housing or in sealed containers for at least 24 hours prior to disposal?	$\boxtimes$	Yes		No		N/A
	Has each dry cleaning system installed after December 21, 2005 at an area source, routed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream contained within each dry cleaning machine through a refrigerated condenser and passed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream from inside the dry cleaning machine drum through a non-vented carbon adsorber or equivalent control device immediately before the door of the dry cleaning machine is opened? The carbon adsorber must be desorbed in accordance with manufacturer's instructions.		Yes		No	$\boxtimes$	N/A
6.	Is solvent-to-carbon ratios and steam pressure for carbon adsorber beds		17.00		NT.		<b>NT/A</b>
	maintain according to the manufacturer's specifications?		Yes		No	M	N/A
	ART IV: <u>PROCESS VENT CONTROLS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC efer to Part II-A.14. Classification: page <u>1</u> of <u>4</u> , this form)						
	1. If the facility classification is an existing small area source, no controls are required. Pr	rocee	ed to P	art V.	,		
	2. If the facility classification is a <u>new small area source</u> , the machine should be equipped v condenser. Complete section A. below.	with	a refrig	erated	l		
	3. If the fa cility classification is an <u>existing large area source</u> , the machine should be equipped with either a refrigerated condenser or a carbon adsorber. Complete both sections A and B below. Carbon adsorber must have been installed prior to September 22, 1993						
	4. If the facility classification is a <u>new large area source</u> , the machine should be equipped with a refrigerated condenser. Complete both sections A and B below.						
— А.	Has the responsible official of all <u>existing large area &amp; new sources</u> :			check x for e		only o uestio	
1.	Equipped all machines with the appropriate vent controls?	$\square$	Yes		No		
2.	Equipped dry-to-dry machines with a closed-loop vapor venting system?	$\boxtimes$	Yes		No		N/A
3.	Equipped the condenser with a diverter valve so airflow will be directed away from the condenser upon opening the door?	$\boxtimes$	Yes		No		N/A
4.	Measured and recorded the temperature of the outlet exhaust stream of a refrigerated condenser on a weekly basis?	$\boxtimes$	Yes		No		N/A
5.	Repaired or adjusted the equipment within 24 hours if the exhaust temperature of the condenser exceeded $45^{\circ}$ F?		Yes		No	$\boxtimes$	N/A
6.	Conducted all temperature monitoring after an appropriate cool-down period and after verifying that the coolant had been completely charged?		Yes		No		

PA	ART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)			
<b>B.</b> 1.	For all existing large or new large area sources: Is the exhaust temperature on the outlet side of the condenser located on dry-to-dry, reclaimer, and dryer machines measured and recorded on a weekly basis?	Yes	🗌 No	
2.	Is the washer exhaus t temperature at the condenser inlet and outlet measured and recorded weekly?	Yes	🗌 No	□ N/A
	a) Is the temperature differential equal to, or greater than 20° F?	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
3.	Is the perc concentration in the exhaust stream inlet and outlet measured weekly at the end of the final drying cycle while the machine is venting to the adsorber, if machines are equipped exclusively with a carbon adsorber?	Yes	□ No	□ N/A
	If machines are equipped exclusively with a carbon adsorber (	res		$\square$ IN/A
	a) Is the perc concentration equal to, or less than 100 ppm?	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
4.	Is the sampling port on the carbon adsorber exhaust for measuring perc concentrations at least 8 duct diameters downstream of any bend, contraction, or expansion; is at least 2 duct diameters upstream from any bend, contraction, or expansion; and downstream from no other inlet?	Yes	🗌 No	□ N/A
5.	Are transfer machines equipped (dryers, reclaimers, and washers) with individual condenser coils?	Yes	🗌 No	□ N/A
6.	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?	Yes	🗌 No	N/A

PA	ART V: <u>RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	check ☑ x for each c	only one juestion)
1.	Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	🗌 No	
2.	Are rolling monthly total s of yearly perc consumption maintained ?		Yes	🛛 No	
3.	Are leak detection inspection and repair reports maintained for the following:				
	a) Of any leaks repaired w/in 24 hrs? or;		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
	b) Of any parts ordered to repair leak and leak repaired w/in 2 days and parts installed w/in 5 days of receipt?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
4.	Is calibration data maintained for applicable direct reading instruments?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
5.	Is exhaust duct monitoring data on perc concentrations maintained?		Yes	🛛 No	N/A
6.	Is a startup/shutdown/malfunction plan maintained for each machine?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	🗌 No	
7.	Are deviation reports maintained?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
	a) Problem corrected?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
8.	Is a compliance plan maintained, if applicable?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	🗌 No	N/A

P	ART VI: LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC	(check 🗹	only one
1.	What type of leak detection equipment is used to detect leaks?	box for each o	question)
	Halogenated hydrocarbon detector PCE gas analyzer None used		
2.	Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer operated according to		
	the manufacturer's instructions (manual was available and RO could demonstrate		
	procedure) ?	Yes 🗌 No	
3.	For major sources is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer		
	operated according to EPA Method 21 ?	Yes 🗌 No	N/A
4.	Is the vapor leak inspection conducted by placing the probe inlet at the surface of		
	each component interface where leakage could occur and moving it slowly along		
	the interface periphery? 🖂	Yes 🗌 No	
5.	Is the PCE gas analyzer a flame ionization detector, photo ionization detector, or		
	infrared analyzer capable of detecting vapor concentrations of PCE of 25 parts per		
	million by volume (based on documented specifications) ?	Yes 🗌 No	N/A
6.	Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector capable of detecting vapor concentrations		
	of PCE of 25 parts per million by volume (based on documented specifications) and		
	indicating a concentration of 25 parts per million by volume or greater by emitting		
	an audible or visual signal that varies as the concentration changes? $\boxtimes$	Yes 🗌 No	N/A
7.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected weekly for perceptible leaks (sight, sr	nell or touch) while	e the
	system is in operation (§63.322(k))?		
	(Inspection with a halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer also fulfills the requirement for insp	pection of perceptible	e leaks)
	b) Door gaskets and seating Xes No N/A h) Stills Xes No		N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A
	f) Water separators $\square$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ N/A		
8.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected monthly for vapor leaks using a halog	genated hydrocarbo	n detector
	or PCE gas analyzer while the system is in operation? (Any inspection conducted according to this parage	graph shall satisfy the	2
	requirements to conduct an inspection for perceptible leaks under $(63.322)$ or (l))		
	b) Door gaskets and seating       Yes       No       N/A       h)       Stills         c) Filter gaskets and seating       Yes       No       N/A       i)       Exhaust dampers	Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A

PART VI: LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)						
<ul> <li>9. What evidence suggests that leak checks are performed as required?</li> <li>Leak log documentation RO Assurances On-site observation other</li> <li>Explain other :</li> </ul>						
Daniel K. Hall	September 19, 2013					
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection					
Janiel K.Thel						

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:** Paddock Park Cleaners was inspected as a conditionally exempt small quantity generator of hazardous waste and as a dry cleaner under the air and dry cleaner standards regulations. The facility was found to be out of compliance with air, hazaroud waste, dry cleaners standards regulations. Specifically regarding air, the facility was found to be operating without a valid air general permit, not maintaining a rolling monthly total of yearly perc purchases, and not logging leak checks or temperature checks. Please see the hazardous waste report for additional information regarding findings for that program.

On September 19, 2013 the facility was provided with a blank copy of the air general permit application as well as the dry cleaner calendar for maintaining perc purchases and logging leaks and temperature checks. During a phone conversation regarding permit renewal the facility confirmed that perc purchases and leak and temperature logging were now being maintained. A permit application was submitted to the Department October 16, 2013 and a new permit was issued November 16, 2013.