	WENTAL PROTECTION	
No.	Ner N	
FL	ORIDA	
1		

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISC ARMS COMPLAIN			
AIRS ID#: 0950135 DATE: <u>8/4/2014</u>	ARRIVE: <u>7:46</u>	DEPART: <u>10:30</u>		
FACILITY NAME: WINTER GARDEN READY-MIX	(RMC) PLANT			
FACILITY LOCATION: 100 Hennis Rd				
WINTER GARDEN 34	4787-2401			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: CINI Email: CONTACT NAME: KELLY FOLSOM* Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 4/2/2011 / 4/2/2016 (effective date) (end date)	M	HONE: obile: HONE: obile:		
Facility Section				
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (ch	eck 🗹 only one box)			
IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMP	LIANCE SIGNI	FICANT Non-COMPLIANCE		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
PART II: <u>ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING</u>		(check 🗹 only one		

1.	Name(s) of facility representative(s): Chris Fitch	box for each o	2
	Brief Notes:		
2.	Is the Authorized Representative still CINDY BURNS*?	Xes Yes	No
3.	If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? Is the facility contact still KELLY FOLSOM*?	☐ Yes ⊠ Yes	⊠No □No
4.	Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?		□No □No

1 – CCB Plant-silo (cement) w/silotop baghouse subject to 5% Opacity Lin
--

2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?)
operation? □ N/A Yes No d. Date of last VE test: 9/16/2013 No e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? Yes No f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing? Yes No g. What was the actual silo loading rate? <u>39.75</u> tons/hour Yes No h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state Yes No i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing? N/A Yes No j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour N/A Yes No j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour Yes No j. What was the problem (if known)? Yes No If not, what was the problem (if known)? Yes No PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other (check I only on)))))
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?))
whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A Yes No i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing? Yes No j. What was the actual batching rate?)
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? ✓ Yes ✓ No If not, what was the problem (if known)?)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No)
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? \bigotimes Yes \Box No)
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0.0 % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? X Yes No If not, what was the problem (if known)?)
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate	
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? \bigotimes Yes \square No \square N/A – silo not loaded during inspection. e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice? \square Yes \bigotimes No)
f. What was the silo loading rate? <u>35.53</u> tons/hour g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? Yes No	
If YES, then continue on to questions $g(1) - g(3)$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g(1) - g(3)$ and go to h.	
 Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and 	
duration? Yes 3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration? h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which is separate)
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector	
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? \Box Yes \boxtimes No 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? <u>~6</u> minutes.	
)
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? Xes Section 2. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? Xes Yes Xes No.)

2 – CCB Plant-splitsilo(flyash)eastcompartmentw/silotop baghouse subject to 5% Opacity Limit
--

	ART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
	Date of last inspection: $9/16/2013$		-
2.	Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:		,
	a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	Yes	
	b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	Yes	🖂 No
	c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing		_
	operation? 🖾 N/A	Yes	🗌 No
	d. Date of last VE test: $9/16/2013$		
l	e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?	Yes	No No
l	f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?		No
	g. What was the actual silo loading rate? 42.4 tons/hour		
	h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state		
	whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? \square N/A	Yes	🗌 No
	i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?		\square No
l	j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour	∇ Vac	
l	k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test?	🛛 Yes	∐ No
l	If not, what was the problem (if known)?		
D	ADT II. OT A OV EMISSIONS from a sile weigh hoppon(hotohon) on other		
P P	ART II: <u>STACK EMISSIONS</u> from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other		only one
	enclosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each	question)
			-
1.	. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	🗌 No
I	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	🛛 Yes	∐ No
I	b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0.0 % for the highest six-minute average.	_	_
I	c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	🛛 Yes	No No
I	If not, what was the problem (if known)?		
I			
	d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo co		
	that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? \bigotimes Yes \Box No \Box N/A – silo not load		pection.
	e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	· 🛛 Yes	∐ No
	f. What was the silo loading rate? 27.56 tons/hour		
I	g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	Yes	🛛 No
	If YES, then continue on to questions $g(1) - g(3)$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g(1) - g(3)$ and go to	h.	
I	1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	Yes	🗌 No
I	2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate	te and	
	duration?	· 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
	3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minu	ites	
	h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which		
	from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust colle	-	
	conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?		No No
	2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? $\underline{\sim 6}$ minutes.		L, 1
2.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?	X Yes	🗌 No
2.	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	=	
	b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0.0 % for the highest six-minute average.		
	 c. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? 	Xes	🗌 No
	d. What was the process rate? <u>27.56</u> tons/hour.		
	\mathbf{u}_{i} what was the director face $i = 2 f_{i} d \mathbf{u}_{i}$ (0.000/0.000).		

<u>3 – CCB Plant-splitsilo(flyash)westcompartmentw/silotop baghouse subject to 5% Opacity Limit</u>				
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION 1. Date of last inspection: 9/26/2013	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)		
 2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of comparison was a VE test performed within 30 days of companying 	Yes Yes	□ No ⊠ No		
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation?	Yes	🗌 No		
 d. Date of last VE test: <u>9/26/2013</u> e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing? g. What was the actual silo loading rate? <u>23.44</u> tons/hour 	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□ No □ No		
 h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?	Yes Yes	□ No ⊠ No		
 k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)? 	🛛 Yes	🗌 No		
PART II: <u>STACK EMISSIONS</u> from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)		
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No		
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No		
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0.0 % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	Yes	🗌 No		
 d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo co that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? ∑ Yes ∑ No ∑ N/A – silo not load e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	ded during insp			
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? If YES, then continue on to questions $g(1) - g(3)$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g(1) - g(3)$ and go to	$\square Yes h.$	🛛 No		
 Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate 	Yes	🗌 No		
duration?	- 🗌 Yes	🗌 No		
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which	n is separate			
 from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust coll conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? <u>~6</u> minutes. 		🗌 No		
 Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? 	Yes	🗌 No		
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0.0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.	Xes Yes	🔲 No		
 c. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	Yes	🗌 No		

PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check 🗹	only one
1 Data of last improve 0/16/2012	box for each c	question)
 Date of last inspection: <u>9/16/2013</u> Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: 		_
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	Xes	No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	\square Yes	\square No
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing		
operation? X N/A	Yes	□ No
d. Date of last VE test: 9/16/2013		
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?	Xes	□ No
f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?	\square Yes	\bowtie No
g. What was the actual silo loading rate? tons/hour	L	
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state		
whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? $\square N/A$	Yes	□ No
i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?	Yes	No
j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour	_	
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
If not, what was the problem (if known)?	_	_
PART II: <u>STACK EMISSIONS</u> from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	(check 🗹	only one
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each c	•
		1 ·
1 W		
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	🛛 Yes	∐ No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0.0 % for the highest six-minute average.		
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	🛛 Yes	No No
If not, what was the problem (if known)?		
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo con-		
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? \Box Yes \Box No \boxtimes N/A – silo not load		
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	∐ Yes	∐ No
f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour		
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? If VES, then continue on to quantize a_1 , a_3 below. If answer NO, then skip a_1 , a_3 and a_2 to	\square Yes	🛛 No
If YES, then continue on to questions $g(1) - g(3)$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g(1) - g(3)$ and go to $f(1)$ Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?		□ No
2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rat		
2 Duffing the visible emissions test, was the batening rate representative of the normal batening rate	a and	
duration?	\square Yes	\square No
duration?	Yes	🗌 No
duration?	Yes Yes	🗌 No
duration?	Yes tes is separate	🗌 No
 duration?	Yes tes is separate ector	
 duration?	Yes tes is separate ector	□ No
 duration?	☐ Yes tes is separate ector ⊠ Yes	
 duration?	Yes tes is separate ector	No
 duration?	☐ Yes tes is separate ector ⊠ Yes ∑ Yes	□ No □ No
 duration?3) What was the batching rate?tons/hour . What was the batching duration?minute h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector dust collected while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? <u>~6</u> minutes. 2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? 	☐ Yes tes is separate ector ⊠ Yes ∑ Yes	□ No □ No
 duration?3) What was the batching rate?tons/hour . What was the batching duration?minut h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector onducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? <u>~6</u> minutes. 2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0.0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. 	☐ Yes tes is separate ector ⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□ No □ No □ No

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.	
	(check ☑ box for each	
		question)
 Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? 	🛛 Yes	□ No □ No □ No
 Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception) 	on of	
units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	🗌 Yes	🛛 No
 b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general the permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? If YES, what other general permit units or activities? 		🛛 No
 3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? 	🛛 Yes 🖾 Yes 🖾 Yes	□ No □ No □ No □ No □ No
gal diesel/yr +gal gasoline/yr +MM SCF nat. gas/yr+MM gal proposition275,000 gal diesel/yr23,000 gal gasoline/yr44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr1.3 MM gal prop		0?
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consu for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		🗌 No

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	•
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	🗌 Yes	🖂 No
2. Does the owner or operator:a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?		No
b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, acces		🗌 No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check 🗹	only one
1. Is the facility: stationary \boxtimes ; relocatable \square ; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable \square	box for each	question)
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip the followi</i>	ng auestion ?)
concrete batening and/or nonnetanic nineral processing plants: (if only stationary, skip the jouowing question 2.)		
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and		
soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	🗌 Yes	No No
(If YES, answer 2. a and 2 .b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)		
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone,		
e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location?		🗌 No
b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900		_
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation?		∐ No
c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(—
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation?	🗋 Yes	∐ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation pe and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:	rmit,	
a. Was the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate perint.	$a)^2 \Box \mathbf{V}_{ac}$	No No
If YES, what was the purpose?		
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was		
co-located at the permitted facility?	🗌 Yes	□ No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	TYes	No
	_	
CHANGES		1
	(check 🗹	
Administrative Changes:	box for each	question)
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represent	ative not	
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions u		
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?	🗌 Yes	🛛 No
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:		
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been	_	_
a. Installation of any new process equipment?	Yes	No No
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?		No No
c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?	Yes	No No
d. A change in ownership?	🗌 Yes	🛛 No
4. If the answer to any question $3a - d$ is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee su	bmitted	
30 days prior to the change?		□ No
	1 1 1 0 3	

Assefa Hailemariam

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Date of Inspection

Inspector's Signature

COMMENTS: Inspector, Assefa Hailemariam, met Dean Meyers, consultant for General Environmental Engineering Inc., on August 4,2014, to audit emission units 001,002,003 and 004. All loading rate are above the minimum loading rate of 25 tons per hour. No visible emissions were observed during the compliance test. No objectionable odors were noted.

8/4/2014

~8/4/2015

Approximate Date of Next Inspection