

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)						
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:						
AIRS ID#: 0950135 DATE: <u>11/9/2010</u> ARRIVE: <u>08:15AM</u> DEPART:	12:30PM					
FACILITY NAME: TARMAC/WINTER GARDEN						
FACILITY LOCATION: 100 N HENNIS RD						
WINTER GARDEN 32787						
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: TERRY LANCASTER Email: tlancaster@titanamerica.com CONTACT NAME: KELLY FOLSOM Email: Mobile: (954)242-013						
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 5/6/2006 / 5/6/2011 (effective date) (end date)						
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	(check only one box for each question)					
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Brief Notes:						
2. Is the Authorized Representative still TERRY LANCASTER?	⊠ Yes □No					
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still KELLY FOLSOM? If no, who is?:	☐ Yes ☐No ☐No					
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?						

Emissions Unit Section 1 -CEMENT SILO subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION 1. Date of last inspection: 1/27/2009 2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing	
operation? N/A d. Date of last VE test: 1/27/2009	☐ Yes ☐ No
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing? g. What was the actual silo loading rate? 40.0 tons/hour	
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing? j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test If not, what was the problem (if known)?	t? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	(check ☑ only one
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	
 a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0.0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. 	
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	Yes No
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the sil that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? ⊠ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A − silo not	
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?f. What was the silo loading rate? 26.75 tons/hour	
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? If YES, then continue on to questions $g.11 - g.3$) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.11 - g.3$) and $g.11 - g.3$	
1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	Yes No
2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batchin duration?	
3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? r h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector w	which is separate
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and durat 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? m	tion? X Yes No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average.	
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?d. What was the process rate? 26.75 tons/hour.	

Emissions Unit Section 4-TRUCK LOAD OUT subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
Date of last inspection: 1/27/2009 Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing	- X Yes	☐ No ☐ No
operation?	Yes	☐ No
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing? g. What was the actual silo loading rate? tons/hour		☐ No ☐ No
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing? j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour	Yes Yes	□ No □ No
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test?- If not, what was the problem (if known)?	- X Yes	☐ No
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	🛛 Yes	☐ No
 a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0.0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. 	X Yes	☐ No
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	X Yes	☐ No
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo of that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? ✓ Yes No N/A – silo not loading rate?	aded during ins	spection.
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice? f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour		∐ No
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$) and go to	to h.	∐ No
 Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching 	rate and	☐ No
duration?3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? min		☐ No
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector whi from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust co		
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duratio 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? min	n? 🛛 Yes	☐ No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0.0 % for the highest six-minute average.	🛛 Yes	☐ No ☐ No
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.	X Yes	□ No

Facility Section (continued)

CO	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(-11- 17	only one
		box for each	
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	∑ Yes ∑ Yes	No No No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gener permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	☐ No
4.	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal proparate 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal proparate Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum	ne/yr	
	for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	· L Yes	⊠ No
<u>GI</u>	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗸 box for each	only one question)
	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	- X Yes	☐ No
3.	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?	- 🛭 Yes	☐ No
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	_	(check 🗹	-	
1. Is the facility: stationary \(\); relocatable \(\); or consisting of both stationary and relocatable \(\) box for each question) concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip the following question 2.</i>)				
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)		Yes	☐ No	
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or I e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day j b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification 	orior to changing location?		☐ No	
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busine c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifica	ss days following a relocation?tion Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)	Yes	□ No	
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five			☐ No	
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate a and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purp If YES, what was the purpose?	in that separate permit: loose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)		☐ No	
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it co-located at the permitted facility?		- Yes Yes	□ No □ No	
CHANGES Administrative Changes:		(check ☑ box for each o		
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of t associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admir If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 	of the facility or any emissions uninistrative change at the facility?	ts or Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No	
Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?			<u></u>	
c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantial. A change in ownership?	antially different?	-	⋈ No⋈ No⋈ No⋈ No	
	antially different? notially different? notially different?	-	No No	
d. A change in ownership?4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration	antially different? notially different? notially different?	- Yes - Yes - Yes - Yes	No No No	
d. A change in ownership?4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration	antially different? notially different? notially different?	- Yes - Yes - Yes - Yes	No No No	
d. A change in ownership? 4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration 30 days prior to the change?	nntially different? nntially different? n form and the appropriate fee sub	- Yes - Yes - Yes - Yes	No No No	
d. A change in ownership?	n form and the appropriate fee sub	- Yes - Yes - Yes - Yes	No No No	

COMMENTS: Facility has four emission units, which are required to be tested annually for visible emissions. Emission units 1, and 4 were done 0n 11/9/2010. and emission unit 3 was done 11/11/2010. The loading rates were acceptable and the observed opacity was 0.0%.