

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:						
AIRS ID#: 0950134 DATE: <u>11/3/10</u> ARRIVE: <u>10:05 AM</u> DEPART:	<u>12:42 PM</u>					
FACILITY NAME: EAST ORLANDO READY-MIX PLANT						
FACILITY LOCATION: 7244 NARCOOSSEE RD						
ORLANDO 32812						
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: SIGURD BO PHONE: (407)841-840 Email: Mobile: (407)312-711 CONTACT NAME: rusty Richards, Plant Manager PHONE: (407)948-693 Email: Mobile: (407)312-711 ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 10/12/2008 / 10/12/2013 (end date)	19 31					
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Sigurd Bo Brief Notes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)					
2. Is the Authorized Representative still SIGURD BO? If no, who is?:	⊠ Yes □No					
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still SIGURD BO? If no, who is?:	YesNo YesNo					
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?						

Emissions Unit Section 2 –CCB Plant split silo, compartment #2, w/silo-top baghouse subject to 5% Opacity Limit

1.	Date of last inspection: 11/19/10 Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation?	(check ☑ box for each ☐ Yes	only one question) No No No No No
	i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing? j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)?		□ No
PA	ART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	⊠ Yes	□ No
	d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo contact that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo not load e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	ded during insp	
	f. What was the silo loading rate? 39.81 tons/hour g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
	If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$) and go to 1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	h.	□ No
	2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching raterial duration?	te and	
	3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration? minuth. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which	ites	☐ No
	from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust coll conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration	ector	☐ No
2.	2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	es. Yes	□ No □ No
	 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0 % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? d. What was the process rate? 39.81 tons/hour. 	⊠ Yes	□ No

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹	
	box for each	question)
Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2. Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gener permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	NoNoNoNoNoNo
gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propared 1.3 MM g	$\frac{\text{ane/yr}}{\text{e/yr}} \le 1.00$?
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	nption - 🛛 Yes	☐ No
GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	•
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?		⊠ No
2. Does the owner or operator:	_	_
a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	· 🔀 Yes	∐ No
terms and conditions of the air general permit?	Yes	☐ No
permit and Department rules?	X Yes	☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(1	check 🗹 only one			
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both st	tationary and relocatable box	for each question)			
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If		estion 2.)			
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and	_	.			
soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)		」Yes □ No			
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or L	ocal Air Program by telephone,				
e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day p	prior to changing location?] Yes 🔲 No			
b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? Yes					
c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifical		」Yes □ No			
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five	business days prior to relocation?	Yes No			
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate a					
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purp		∃ Yes □ No			
If YES, what was the purpose?	iose (i.e, there is no repeated usage).] 103 140			
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it] V N.			
co-located at the permitted facility?					
11 1 25, were any periods more dam's months in duration.		, 1 4 5 146			
<u>CHANGES</u>		check 🗹 only one			
Administrative Changes:	box	x for each question)			
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the					
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation					
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admir 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of		」Yes ⊠ No Tyes □ No			
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	of the change:	J Tes No			
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been	_				
a. Installation of any new process equipment?		Yes No			
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?		Yes No			
c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substated. A change in ownership?	antially different? [] Yes ⊠ No Yes ⊠ No			
		_			
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registratio					
30 days prior to the change?		Yes No			
Norma Ali	11/03/10				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
	11/03/11				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspect	ion			
COMMENTS: Orange County EPD increasor Norma ali met with m	sety Richards and Kaya Arlington and	sultant to audit the VE			
COMMENTS: Orange County EPD inspector, Norma ali, met with rusty Richards and Kaye Arlington, consultant to audit the VE compliance test, on 11/03/10. After less than two minutes, a leak on the bottom of the baghouse from the fly ash silo, was observed.					
Mrs. Kay Arlington, stopped the tanker driver and talked to Rusty Richards. Who contacted the facility's mechanic and asked him					
to fix the problem. According to Mr. Hartle, mechanic, a valve from the baghouse needed to be replaced. Which he did and we					
were able to continue with the test.					
Observed Opacity = 0%					
Loading rate = 39.81 TPH at ~7psi. 26.54 tons of Flyash was loaded in 40 minutes.					