

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVE	RY (CI)		
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO	:		
AIRS ID#: 0950134 DA	TE: <u>1/31/2007</u>	ARRIVE: <u>7:15 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>9:15 AM</u>		
FACILITY NAME: RINKER/EAST ORLANDO					
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 7244 NARCOOSSEE R	RD.			
	ORLANDO 32812				
RESPONSIBLE OFFIC	IAL:	PHONE	2: (561)820-8415		
CONTACT NAME: PHONE:		::			
REMITTANCE YEAR:	ENTITL	LEMENT PERIOD: 4/15/2004 (effective date			
PART I: INSPECTION	COMPLIANCE STATUS (ch	neck v only one box)			
IN COMPLIAN	CE MINOR Non-COMI	PLIANCE SIGNIFICAN	NT Non-COMPLIANCE		
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))					
2. Are emissions fro controlled to the e	sions tests conducted during this m silos, weigh hoppers (batchers extent necessary to limit visible exissions tests of the silo dust colle		nd conveying equipment		

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of t annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	□Yes □ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	le 🗌
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing ☐Yes ☑ No ☐Yes ☐ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)					
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))					
Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.) 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take re emissions by: a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-supple emissions?	s, which shall include one or more of the following piles, and yards?ressant chemicals when necessary to controlombre areas under control of the owner/operator uce airborne particulate matter?	⊠Yes □ No l ⊠Yes □ No r to □			
particulate matter from stock piles?					
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emi	issions at the drop point to the truck?	⊠Yes □ No			
PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>	e 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.				
1. Since the last inspection has there been		□Yes ⊠ No			
a) installation of any new process equipment?					
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most					
recent notification form?					
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete					
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FA local program office?	□Yes □ No				
Ilka Bundy	1/31/2007				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
	1/31/2008				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	_			

COMMENTS: The inspector met with Bill Arlington, consultant, on 1/31/2007. Visible emission testing was conducted on the truck load-out dust collector, the flyash dust collector, and the two dust collectors for the cement silo. All emission points had an opacity of zero percent. The loading rate for the flyash silo was 26.55 tph and 26.57 tph for both of the cement silos. The facility is swept once a week with a sweeper. The yard is paved. No unconfined or uncontrolled emission were observed. No objectionable odors were detected.