

PERCHLOROETHYLENE DRY CLEANERS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/D ARMS COMPLA	•	CI)		
AIRS ID#: 0250701 DA	ГЕ: 09/25/2012	ARRIVE: <u>12:55P</u>	<u>M</u>	DEPART: <u>1:20PM</u>		
FACILITY NAME: SAI	DRY CLEANERS					
FACILITY LOCATION	2184 NE 123RD ST					
	NORTH MIAMI 33181	1-2902				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED Email: CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIC	DREPRESENTATIVE: SUN DD: 10/15/2009 / 10/15/2019 (effective date) (end date)		PHONE: (3 Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	05)801-4493		
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☑ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
A. 1. Existing smal dry-to-dry onl transfer only, both types, x (constructed by the constructed by the const	I area source ly, $x < 140$ gal/yr $x < 200$ gal/yr < 140 gal/yr oefore $12/9/91$) a area source ly, $140 \le x \le 2,100$ gal/yr $200 \le x \le 1,800$ gal/yr	transfer only, both types, x (constructed of types). 4. New large are dry-to-dry only, both types, 14	ly, x < 140 gal/ x < 200 gal/yr < 140 gal/yr on or after 12/9	/91)		
facility exceed	t of business/petroleum / ds above limits volume of all perchloroethylene (was 40 gallons.	(perc) purchases made	e in each of the	previous 12 months by this dry		

PART III: GENERAL CONTROL REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC			check 🗹	only one question)
1. Is all perc, and wastes containing perc, in tightly sealed & impervious containers?	\boxtimes	Yes	☐ No	N/A
2. Are all perc. containers leak free ?	\boxtimes	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
3. Are all machine doors kept closed and secured except during loading/unloading?		Yes	☐ No	
4. Are cartridge filters d rained in their housing or in sealed containers for at least 24 hours prior to disposal?	\boxtimes	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
5. Has each dry cleaning system installed after December 21, 2005 at an area source, routed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream contained within each dry cleaning machine through a refrigerated condenser and passed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream from inside the dry cleaning machine drum through a non-vented carbon adsorber or equivalent control device immediately before the door of the dry cleaning machine is opened? The carbon adsorber must be desorbed in accordance with manufacturer's instructions.		Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A
Is solvent-to-carbon ratios and steam pressure for carbon adsorber beds maintain according to the manufacturer's specifications?		Yes	☐ No	N/A
PART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (Refer to Part II-A.14. Classification: page 1 of 4, this form) 1. If the f acility classification is an existing small area source, no controls are required. I	Proce	ed to P	art V.	
2. If the facility classification is a <u>new small area source</u> , the machine should be equipped condenser. Complete section A. below.	with	a refrig	gerated	
3. If the fa cility classification is an <u>existing large area source</u> , the machine should be equ refrigerated condenser or a carbon adsorber. Complete both sections A and B below. <i>Must have been installed prior to September 22, 1993</i>				
4. If the facility classification is a <u>new large area source</u> , the machine should be equipped condenser. Complete both sections A and B below.	with	a refriş	gerated	
A. Has the responsible official of all existing large area & new sources:			check 2 ox for each	
1. Equipped all machines with the appropriate vent controls?		Yes	☐ No	
2. Equipped dry-to-dry machines with a closed-loop vapor venting system?	\boxtimes	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
3. Equipped the condenser with a diverter valve so airflow will be directed away from the condenser upon opening the door?	\boxtimes	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
4. Measured and recorded the temperature of the outlet exhaust stream of a refrigerated condenser on a weekly basis?	\boxtimes	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
5. Repaired or adjusted the equipment within 24 hours if the exhaust temperature of the condenser exceeded 45° F?		Yes	☐ No	N/A
6. Conducted all temperature monitoring after an appropriate cool-down period and after verifying that the coolant had been completely charged?		Yes	☐ No	

\mathbf{P}^{P}	ART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)					
В.	For all existing large or new large area sources: Is the exhaust temperature on the outlet side of the condenser located on dry-to-dry, reclaimer, and dryer machines measured and recorded on a weekly basis?		Yes	☐ No		
2.	Is the washer exhaus t temperature at the condenser inlet and outlet measured and recorded weekly?		Yes	☐ No		N/A
	a) Is the temperature differential equal to, or greater than 20° F?		Yes	☐ No		N/A
3.	Is the perc concentration in the exhaust stream inlet and outlet measured weekly at the end of the final drying cycle while the machine is venting to the adsorber, if machines are equipped exclusively with a carbon adsorber?		Yes	☐ No		N/A
	a) Is the perc concentration equal to, or less than 100 ppm?		Yes	☐ No		N/A
4.	Is the sampling port on the carbon adsorber exhaust for measuring perc concentrations at least 8 duct diameters downstream of any bend, contraction, or expansion; is at least 2 duct diameters upstream from any bend, contraction, or expansion; and downstream from no other inlet?		Yes	☐ No		N/A
5.	Are transfer machines equipped (dryers, reclaimers, and washers) with individual condenser coils?		Yes	☐ No		N/A
6.	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?		Yes	☐ No		N/A
PA	ART V: <u>RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC			check 🗹	only o	
	ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased?			*	•	
1.			bo	ox for each	•	
1. 2.	Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased?		Yes	ox for each	•	
1. 2.	Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? Are rolling monthly total s of yearly perc consumption maintained?	\boxtimes	Yes	ox for each	•	
1. 2.	Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? Are rolling monthly total s of yearly perc consumption maintained? Are leak detection inspection and repair reports maintained for the following:	\boxtimes	Yes Yes	No No	questio	on)
1. 2. 3.	Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? Are rolling monthly total s of yearly perc consumption maintained? Are leak detection inspection and repair reports maintained for the following: a) Of any leaks repaired w/in 24 hrs? or; b) Of any parts ordered to repair leak and leak repaired w/in 2 days	\boxtimes	Yes Yes Yes	No No No	questio	on) N/A
1. 2. 3.	Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased?		Yes Yes Yes	No No No	questio	n) N/A N/A
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased?		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No No No	questio	N/A N/A N/A
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased?		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No No No No	questio	n) N/A N/A N/A
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased?		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No No No No No	questio	N/A N/A N/A N/A

P	ART VI: <u>LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC		•	only one
1.	What type of leak detection equipment is used to detect leaks?	bo	ox for each	question)
	Halogenated hydrocarbon detector PCE gas analyzer None used			
2.	Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer operated according to			
	the manufacturer's instructions (manual was available and RO could demonstrate			
	procedure) ?	Yes	☐ No	
3.	For major sources is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer			
	operated according to EPA Method 21 ?	Yes	☐ No	N/A
4.	Is the vapor leak inspection conducted by placing the probe inlet at the surface of			
	each component interface where leakage could occur and moving it slowly along			
	the interface periphery? $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $	Yes	☐ No	
5.	Is the PCE gas analyzer a flame ionization detector, photo ionization detector, or			
	infrared analyzer capable of detecting vapor concentrations of PCE of 25 parts per			
	million by volume (based on documented specifications) ?	Yes	☐ No	N/A
6.	Is the <u>halogenated hydrocarbon detector</u> capable of detecting vapor concentrations			
	of PCE of 25 parts per million by volume (based on documented specifications) and			
	indicating a concentration of 25 parts per million by volume or greater by emitting			
	an audible or visual signal that varies as the concentration changes?	Yes	☐ No	N/A
7.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected weekly for perceptible leaks (sight, sn	nell or t	touch) whi	le the
	system is in operation (§63.322(k))?			
	(Inspection with a halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer also fulfills the requirement for insp	pection (of perceptib	ele leaks)
	b) Door gaskets and seating Yes No N/A h) Stills S		 No No No No No No	 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A
8.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected monthly for vapor leaks using a halog	enated	hydrocarb	on detector
	or PCE gas analyzer while the system is in operation? (Any inspection conducted according to this parag	graph sh	all satisfy ti	he
	requirements to conduct an inspection for perceptible leaks under §63.322(k) or (l))			
	b) Door gaskets and seating Yes No N/A h) Stills Yes No N/A i) Exhaust dampers	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	NoNoNoNoNoNo	 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A

PART VI: LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)					
9. What evidence suggests that leak checks are performed as required? ☐ Leak log documentation ☐ RO Assurances ☐ On-site observation ☐ other Explain other:					
MARUFUL MALIK	9/25/2012				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
	10/02/2012				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection				

COMMENTS: On September 25, 2012 I visited this facility to conduct the annual compliance inspection. On site I met Sunil Kurani, the owner of the facility. Leak was detected in the back of the Dry Cleaning Machine. Perc purchase receipts and yearly perc consumption records were available. Halogen leak detector was available in working condition. An FNOV was issued for detecting leak in the back of the Dry Cleaning Machine. Sunil Kunani mentioned that he would fixed the leak as soon as possible.

REVIEWED

By Ray Gordon at 3:32 pm, Oct 01, 2012