NUMERIAL PROTECTION	
San Van	
FLORIDA	

PERCHLOROETHYLENE DRY CLEANERS



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)
AIRS ID#: 0710137 DATE: <u>08/03/2010</u>	ARRIVE: <u>12:55 p.m.</u> DEPART: <u>1:40 p.m.</u>
FACILITY NAME: WILTSHIRE CLEANERS	
FACILITY LOCATION: 2017 MONROE ST	
FT MYERS 33901-3626	
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: TAMN Email: CONTACT NAME: JEFF DIERKSHEIDE Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 8/21/2008 / 8/21/2013 (effective date) (end date)	MY DIERKSHEIDE PHONE: (239)334-1353 Mobile: PHONE: (239)334-1353 Mobile:
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS       (check         IN COMPLIANCE       MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE	
PART II:       FACILITY CLASSIFICATION (check ☑ only one box in A)       - Rule 62-21	13.300 FAC
A. 1. Existing small area source dry-to-dry only, $x < 140$ gal/yr transfer only, $x < 200$ gal/yr both types, $x < 140$ gal/yr (constructed before 12/9/91)3. Existing large area source $\Box$ dry-to-dry only, $140 \le x \le 2,100$ gal/yr transfer only, $200 \le x \le 1,800$ gal/yr both types, $140 \le x \le 1,800$ gal/yr (constructed before 12/9/91)5. Ineligible for General Permit $\Box$ d rop store/out of business/petroleum / facility exceeds above limits	2. New small area source dry-to-dry only, $x < 140$ gal/yr transfer only, $x < 200$ gal/yr both types, $x < 140$ gal/yr (constructed on or after 12/9/91) 4. New large area source dry-to-dry only, $140 \le x \le 2,100$ gal/yr transfer only, $200 \le x \le 1,800$ gal/yr both types, $140 \le x \le 1,800$ gal/yr (constructed on or after 12/9/91)

**B**. The sum of the volume of all perchloroethylene (perc) purchases made in each of the previous 12 months by this dry cleaning facility was 75.00 gallons.

PA	ART III: <u>GENERAL CONTROL REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC		```	check ☑ x for each c	only or questior	
1.	Is all perc, and wastes containing perc, in tightly sealed & impervious containers?		Yes	🗌 No		N/A
2.	Are all perc. containers leak free ?		Yes	🗌 No		N/A
3.	Are all machine doors kept closed and secured except during loading/unloading?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	🗌 No		
4.	Are cartridge filters d rained in their housing or in sealed containers for at least 24 hours prior to disposal?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	D No		N/A
5.	Has each dry cleaning system installed after December 21, 2005 at an area source, routed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream contained within each dry cleaning machine through a refrigerated condenser and passed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream from inside the dry cleaning machine drum through a non-vented carbon adsorber or equivalent control device immediately before the door of the dry cleaning machine is opened? The carbon adsorber must be desorbed in accordance with manufacturer's instructions.		Yes	🗌 No		N/A
6.	Is solvent-to-carbon ratios and steam pressure for carbon adsorber beds maintain according to the manufacturer's specifications?		Yes	🗌 No		N/A

PART IV:	PROCESS VI	<u>ENT CONTROLS</u> -	– Rule 62-213.300 FAC	
(Refer to P	art II-A 1 -4	Classification: nao	re 1 of 4 this form)	

(Refer to Part II-A.1.-4. Classification: page <u>1</u> of <u>4</u>, this form)

1. If the f acility classification is an existing small area source, no controls are required. Proceed to Part V.

2. If the facility classification is a <u>new small area source</u>, the machine should be equipped with a refrigerated condenser. Complete section A. below.

3. If the fa cility classification is an **existing large area source**, the machine should be equipped with either a refrigerated condenser or a carbon adsorber. **Complete both sections A and B below.** *Carbon adsorber must have been installed prior to September 22, 1993* 

4. If the facility classification is a <u>new large area source</u>, the machine should be equipped with a refrigerated condenser. Complete both sections A and B below.

A.	Has the responsible official of all existing large area & new sources:			check ☑ x for each c	only one question)
1.	Equipped all machines with the appropriate vent controls?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	🗌 No	
2.	Equipped dry-to-dry machines with a closed-loop vapor venting system?	$\square$	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
3.	Equipped the condenser with a diverter valve so airflow will be directed away from the condenser upon opening the door?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
4.	Measured and recorded the temperature of the outlet exhaust stream of a refrigerated condenser on a weekly basis?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
5.	Repaired or adjusted the equipment within 24 hours if the exhaust temperature of the condenser exceeded $45^{\circ}$ F?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
6.	Conducted all temperature monitoring after an appropriate cool-down period and after verifying that the coolant had been completely charged?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	🗌 No	

PA	ART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)	 		
	For all existing large or new large area sources: Is the exhaust temperature on the outlet side of the condenser located on dry-to-dry, reclaimer, and dryer machines measured and recorded on a weekly basis?	Yes	🗌 No	
2.	Is the washer exhaus t temperature at the condenser inlet and outlet measured and recorded weekly?	Yes Yes	□ No □ No	N/A N/A
3.	Is the perc concentration in the exhaust stream inlet and outlet measured weekly at the end of the final drying cycle while the machine is venting to the adsorber, if machines are equipped exclusively with a carbon adsorber?	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
	a) Is the perc concentration equal to, or less than 100 ppm?	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
4.	Is the sampling port on the carbon adsorber exhaust for measuring perc concentrations at least 8 duct diameters downstream of any bend, contraction, or expansion; is at least 2 duct diameters upstream from any bend, contraction, or expansion; and downstream from no other inlet?	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
5.	Are transfer machines equipped (dryers, reclaimers, and washers) with individual condenser coils?	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
6.	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?	Yes	🗌 No	N/A

PA	ART V: <u>RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC			check ☑ x for each c	only one [uestion]
1.	Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	🗌 No	
2.	Are rolling monthly total s of yearly perc consumption maintained ?		Yes	🛛 No	
3.	Are leak detection inspection and repair reports maintained for the following:				
	a) Of any leaks repaired w/in 24 hrs? or;		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
	b) Of any parts ordered to repair leak and leak repaired w/in 2 days and parts installed w/in 5 days of receipt?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
4.	Is calibration data maintained for applicable direct reading instruments?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
5.	Is exhaust duct monitoring data on perc concentrations maintained?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
6.	Is a startup/shutdown/malfunction plan maintained for each machine?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	🗌 No	
7.	Are deviation reports maintained?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
	a) Problem corrected?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A
8.	Is a compliance plan maintained, if applicable?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A

P	ART VI: <u>LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC		(check 🗹	only one
1.	What type of leak detection equipment is used to detect leaks?	bo	ox for each	question)
	Halogenated hydrocarbon detector PCE gas analyzer None used			
2.	Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer operated according to			
	the manufacturer's instructions (manual was available and RO could demonstrate			
	procedure) ?	Yes	D No	
3.	For major sources is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer			
	operated according to EPA Method 21 ?	Yes	D No	N/A
4.	Is the vapor leak inspection conducted by placing the probe inlet at the surface of			
	each component interface where leakage could occur and moving it slowly along			
	the interface periphery?	Yes	🗌 No	
5.	Is the PCE gas analyzer a flame ionization detector, photo ionization detector, or			
	infrared analyzer capable of detecting vapor concentrations of PCE of 25 parts per			
	million by volume (based on documented specifications) ?	Yes	No No	N/A
6.	Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector capable of detecting vapor concentrations			
	of PCE of 25 parts per million by volume (based on documented specifications) and			
	indicating a concentration of 25 parts per million by volume or greater by emitting			
	an audible or visual signal that varies as the concentration changes? $\square$	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
7.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected weekly for perceptible leaks (sight, sn	nell or	touch) whi	le the
	system is in operation (§63.322(k))?			
	(Inspection with a halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer also fulfills the requirement for insp	pection	of perceptik	ole leaks)
	<ul> <li>a) Hose connections, fittings, couplings, and valves</li> <li>b) Door gaskets and seating</li> <li>c) Filter gaskets and seating</li> <li>d) Pumps</li> <li>e) Solvent tanks and containers</li> <li>f) Water separators</li> <li>g) Yes [] No [] N/A k</li> <li>h) Muck cookers</li> <li>k) Yes [] No [] N/A k</li> <li>k) Cartridge filter housings []</li> </ul>	Yes Tes	□ No □ No □ No □ No □ No	<ul> <li>□ N/A</li> <li>□ N/A</li> <li>□ N/A</li> <li>□ N/A</li> <li>□ N/A</li> </ul>
8.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected monthly for vapor leaks using a halog	enated	hydrocarb	on detector
	or PCE gas analyzer while the system is in operation? (Any inspection conducted according to this parag	graph sl	hall satisfy t	he
	requirements to conduct an inspection for perceptible leaks under §63.322(k) or (l))			
	b) Door gaskets and seating       Yes       No       N/A       h) Stills       Yes         c) Filter gaskets and seating       Yes       No       N/A       i) Exhaust dampers       Yes         d) Pumps       Yes       No       N/A       j) Diverter valves       Yes	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	<ul> <li>□ No</li> <li>□ No</li> <li>□ No</li> <li>□ No</li> <li>□ No</li> </ul>	□ N/A □ N/A □ N/A □ N/A □ N/A

PART VI: LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS – Rule 62-213	3.300 FAC (continued)	
<ul> <li>9. What evidence suggests that leak checks are performed as requi</li> <li>☑ Leak log documentation □ RO Assurances □ Or Explain other :</li> </ul>	ired? n-site observation  other	
ROBERT J. STEWART	08/03/2010	
ROBERT J. STEWART       08/03/2010         Inspector's Name (Please Print)       Date of Inspection         08/2011       08/2011		
	08/2011	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	
<b>COMMENTS:</b> Facility's rolling monthly totals of yearly PERC calendar in use at the facility from July 2009 through July 2010. D	consumption was not being maintained in the Phoenix PERC uring the inspection, the rolling monthly totals accounting pro-	

for PERC usage were discussed and the rolling monthly PERC totals for each month for the missing period were filled in by the owner. The PERC rolling total for the month of August 2010 was annotated in the Phoenix calendar starting at 75 gallons.