- CON	ENTIAL PROTECTION	
A BHON	Ver	
FLC	RIDA	

SURFACE COATING OPERATIONS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:						
AIRS ID#: 0990356 DATE: 7.23.10 ARRIVE: DEPART: FACILITY NAME: PALM BEACH POST/ RACK SHOP FACILITY LOCATION: 901 Sansbury's Way ROYAL PALM BEACH 33411 OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: CHARLES GERARDI PHONE: (561)820-4125 CONTACT NAME: Alvero Goiricelaya/Liz Haas PHONE:						
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 4/25/2008 / 4/25/2013 (effective date) (end date)						
(effective date) (end date) PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box) IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE PART II: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check appropriate box(es)) 1. Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the surface coating operations and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)(a.a., F.A.C.) Yes No 2. Does the owner/operator of the facility maintain records to document the VOC content of the coatings and the quantity of the coatings used?						
PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTANANCE REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is/Are the surface coating operation(s) subject to a VOC Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) emission limiting standard of Chapter 62-296.500, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.b., F.A.C.) □Yes ☑No 2. Does the facility cause, suffer, allow or permit the discharge of air pollutants which cause or contribute to an objectionable odor? (Rule 62.296.320(2), F.A.C.) □Yes ☑No						

PART III: <u>CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. – (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

3.	Does the owner/operator encourage pollution prevention through such measures as training employees
	involved in surface coating operations on methods of reducing VOC emissions by:

a)	maintaining spray coating equipment to ensure effective application with a minimum of overspray?	\boxtimes Yes \square No
	monitoring the coating thickness to avoid excessive coating?	Xes 🗌 No
c)	considering the use of low-VOC coatings (e.g., waterborne, ultra-violet cured, or powder coatings)?	Yes 🛛 No

- d) implementing inventory control practices to prevent spillage?----- [Yes] No
- e) implementing management practices to reduce VOC emissions during cleanup by:
 - spraying light colored coatings before dark colored coatings to reduce the number of cleaning cycles?------ □Yes □ No
 recycling cleaning solvents?------ □Yes □ No

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDUR</u> A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>	RES – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.			
 Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? Yes No b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? Yes No c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form? Yes No d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or local program office? 				
Faith A. Martin	7.23.10			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
	7.30.10			

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: Satisfactory annual compliance inspection.

Inspector's Signature

Filters required changing. Booth operator stated he would change filters at the end of the day.

No objectionable odors or signs of overspray. VOC logs available upon request.