



ANIMAL CREMATORY

COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST



INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) ☒ COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) ☐
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ☐ ARMS COMPLAINT NO:

AIRS ID#: 0950121 **DATE:** 8/25/2010 **ARRIVE:** 08:06 **DEPART:** 09:40

FACILITY NAME: PINE CASTLE PET CREMATORY

FACILITY LOCATION: 460 WEST LANDSTREET
ORLANDO 32809

OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JAMES CRAWFORD

PHONE: (407)851-0993

Email:

Mobile:

CONTACT NAME: Terry McGlashan

PHONE: (407)620-2897

Email:

Mobile:

ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 5/20/2007 / 5/20/2012
(effective date) (end date)

Facility Section

PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☒ only one box)

☒ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING

(check ☒ only one box for each question)

1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Terry McGlashan

Brief Notes: operator Mr. McGashan

2. Is the Authorized Representative still JAMES CRAWFORD? ----- ☒ Yes ☐..No

If no, who is?: _____

If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? ----- ☐ Yes ☐..No

3. Is the facility contact still ? ----- ☒ Yes ☐..No

If no, who is?: _____

4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection? ----- ☒ Yes ☐..No

If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 days in advance? ----- ☒ Yes ☐..No

Emissions Unit Section
1 -PATHOLOGICAL INCINERATOR (ANIMAL CREMATOR) MODEL C-500P

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION

(check ☒ only one
box for each question)

1. a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or after August 30, 1989? ----- ☒ Yes ☐..No
- b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit? ----- ☒ Yes ☐..No
2. Manufacturer's recommended capacity: 75 ☐ lbs for batch unit ☒ lbs/hr for ram-charged unit.
3. Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007? ----- ☐ Yes ☒..No
4. Date of last inspection: 6/12/2008
5. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:
- a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? ----- ☒ Yes ☐..No
- b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? ----- ☐ Yes ☒..No
- c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation? ----- ☒ N/A ☐ Yes ☐..No
- d. Date of last VE test: 8/5/2009
- e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? ----- ☒ Yes ☐..No
- f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test? ----- ☒ Yes ☐..No
- If no, what was the problem (if known)? _____

PART II: VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING

(check ☒ only one
box for each question)

1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit? ----- ☒ Yes ☐..No
- a. Operating capacity during test? 0 ☐ lbs for batch unit ☒ lbs/hr for ram-charged unit
- b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity? ----- ☐ Yes ☒..No
- c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations? ☒ Yes ☐..No
- d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? ----- ☒ Yes ☐..No
- e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0 % for the highest six minute average.
- f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? ----- ☒ Yes ☐..No
- (5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes in any one-hour)
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit? ----- ☒ Yes ☐..No
- a. Operating capacity during test? 45 ☐ lbs for batch unit ☒ lbs/hr for ram-charged unit
- b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity? ----- ☐ Yes ☒..No
- c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations? ☒ Yes ☐..No
- d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? ----- ☒ Yes ☐..No
- e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0.0 % for the highest six minute average.
- f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? ----- ☒ Yes ☐..No
- (5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes in any one-hour)
3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standards? ----- ☐ Yes ☒..No
- If yes, what reason? _____

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS(check ☒ only one
box for each question)

1. Were there any objectionable odors detected? ----- ☐ Yes ☒..No
An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were:
Wind direction - south Downwind odor level detected- 1 Upwind odor level detected-1 Scale: 1-10 (worst)
2. Continuous Monitoring Systems –
- a. Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions? ----- ☒ Yes ☐..No
- b. Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence time at ☒ 1,800¹ ☐ 1,600² degrees was determined? ----- ☒ Yes ☐..No
(Application or initial notification: ¹ received on or after 8/30/89; ² received before 8/30/89)
- c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years?
- (1) All temperature measurements ----- ☒ Yes ☐..No
- (2) All continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements; monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations ----- ☒ Yes ☐..No
- (3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on 8/2009) ----- ☒ Yes ☐..No
- (4) Adjustments ----- ☒ Yes ☐..No
- (5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices ----- ☒ Yes ☐..No
- (6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices ----- ☒ Yes ☐..No
- d. Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings ----- ☒ Yes ☐..No
- e. Was the crematory unit installed **after 2/1/07**? If no, skip e.(1) – (3) ----- ☐ Yes ☒..No
- (1) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatically control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement? ----- ☐ Yes ☐..No
- (2) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity exceeds 15% opacity? ----- ☐ Yes ☐..No
- (3) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule? ----- ☐ Yes ☐..No

PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES(check ☒ only one
box for each question)

1. If the application to construct was **BEFORE** August 30, 1989 is the:
- a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than **1400°F** throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? ----- ☐ Yes ☐..No
- b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than **1400°F** before the cremation process begins in the primary chamber? ----- ☐ Yes ☐..No
2. If the application to construct **ON** or **AFTER** August 30, 1989 is the:
- a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than **1600°F** throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? ----- ☒ Yes ☐..No
- b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than **1600°F** before the cremation process begins in the primary chamber? ----- ☒ Yes ☐..No

PART V: ALLOWED MATERIALS(check ☒ only one
box for each question)

1. Besides animal remains and, if applicable, the bedding associated with the animals and appropriate containers, are any other materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit? ----- ☐ Yes ☒..No
If yes, what other materials? _____
2. Do containers contain no more than 0.5 percent by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer? ----- ☒ Yes ☐..No
If yes, is the certifying documentation from the manufacturer kept on file for at least 2 years from use? ☒ Yes ☐..No

PART VI: EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE(check ☒ only one
box for each question)

1. Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications? ----- ☒ Yes ☐..No
2. Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup,
shutdown and malfunction? ----- ☒ Yes ☐..No
3. Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics? ----- ☒ Yes ☐..No
- If no, skip a. – b.
- a. Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each operating shift? ----- ☒ Yes ☐..No
- b. Was the flame adjusted when necessary? ----- ☒ Yes ☐..No

PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☒ only one box)☒ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE**Facility Section (continued)****SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES**(check ☒ only one
box for each question)Administrative Changes:

1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? ---- ☐ Yes ☒..No
2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? ----- ☐ Yes ☐..No

New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:

3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been ----- ☐ Yes ☒..No
- a. Installation of any new process equipment? ----- ☐ Yes ☒..No
- b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? ----- ☐ Yes ☒..No
- c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? ----- ☐ Yes ☒..No
- d. A change in ownership? ----- ☐ Yes ☒..No
- If the any answer to 3a. – d. is Yes , was a new registration form and the appropriate fee
submitted 30 days prior to the change? ----- ☐ Yes ☐..No

Assefa Hailemariam

8/25/2010

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Date of Inspection

~8/2011

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: A 45 LB animal was cremated. No visible emissions or objectionable odors were detected during the test. Observed opacity =0%. Reviewed temperature charts all appear to be acceptable. No chlorinated bags used. Terry McGlashan was present for the records review. Facility is clean . Fluke meter readings taken on 8/25/2010 showed a temperture difference of approximatly 700 degree fahrenheit. A retest of the thermocouple temperature reading was request by Orange County EPD. Since Terry mcGlashan travels a lot, he was able to have the inspectors come back on 9/22/2010. The inspectors, Ilka Bundy, Bill Rhodes, and Assefa Hailemariam, were present during the retesting of the thermocouple using the Fluke meter. Readings on the Fluke meter were ~980 degree ferehait, while the strip chart and digital readout were~1670-1700 degree fahrenheit. The readings are still off by ~700degree fahrenheit. There was no smoke coming out of the stack. It appears that is an electrical problem with the wiring or strip chart set points. Ilka requested to Mr. McGlashan to repair or fix electrical and call for a retest.