

ANIMAL CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISC	COVERY (CI)		
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAIN	NT NO:		
AIRS ID#: 1270109 DA	TE: <u>12/21/09</u>	ARRIVE:	DEPART:	-	
FACILITY NAME: AL	LL GOD'S CREATURES				
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 2110 W POINSETTIA I	DR			
	PORT ORANGE 3212	28			
OWNER/AUTHORIZE	CD REPRESENTATIVE: CUE	RTIS CRAIG P	HONE: (386)253-8391		
CONTACT NAME: C	Curtis Craig	P	HONE: (386)253-8391		
ENTITLEMENT PERI	OD: 11/1/2007 / 11/1/2012 (effective date) (end date)	2			
☐ IN COMPLIAN	CE MINOR Non-COMI	-	IFICANT Non-COMPLIANC	Е	
PART II: TESTING/RE	CORDKEEPING REQUIRE	MENTS _ Rule 62-296 4	401 F A C		
(check ☑ appropria	. //				
 (check ☑ appropria Were there any ob Was a visible emi 	te box(es)) pjectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this	site visit according to El	PA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter	☐ Yes	
 (check ☑ appropria Were there any ob Was a visible emines 62-297, F.A.C.)?- In order to demone days prior to the Angles 	te box(es)) pjectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this astrate individual source complian AGP Notification form submission	site visit according to El	PA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter e emissions test conducted 60 rior to each anniversary date? (☐Yes	⊠ No
 (check ☑ appropria Were there any ob Was a visible emine 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demone days prior to the 262-296.401(6)(j), In order to demone 	te box(es)) pjectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this astrate individual source complian	site visit according to El nce, was an annual visibl on, and within 60 days pr	PA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter e emissions test conducted 60 rior to each anniversary date? (☐Yes (Rule ☐Yes	
 (check ☑ appropria Were there any objective Was a visible emit 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demondays prior to the acceptance of the following prior to demond completed within a) Carbon Monogvolume, dry basis 	te box(es)) pjectionable odor(s) detected? assions test conducted during this astrate individual source complian AGP Notification form submission F.A.C.)	site visit according to Elemente, was an annual visible on, and within 60 days pronce were the remaining a lication form submission? below the requirements of a vareage basis and teste	PA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter e emissions test conducted 60 rior to each anniversary date? (pplicable standards testing (Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) of 100 parts per million by ed according to EPA Method	☐Yes Rule ☐Yes ☐Yes ☐Yes	No No □
 (check ☑ appropria Were there any ob Was a visible emine 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demone days prior to the 262-296.401(6)(j), In order to demone completed within a) Carbon Monocompleted within a) Carbon Monoco	pjectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this astrate individual source complian AGP Notification form submission F.A.C.)	site visit according to Elemente, was an annual visible on, and within 60 days pronce were the remaining a fication form submission? below the requirements of a variage basis and testerood 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-2 qual to or below the requirements)	e emissions test conducted 60 rior to each anniversary date? (explicable standards testing (Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) of 100 parts per million by an according to EPA Method (297, F.A.C.)?	☐Yes Rule ☐Yes ☐Yes ☐Yes ☐Yes	No No No □No
(check ☑ appropria 1. Were there any of 2. Was a visible emi 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demon days prior to the 62-296.401(6)(j), 4. In order to demon completed within a) Carbon Mono volume, dry basis 10 (Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test pe c) Particulate ma dry standard cubi (Ref.: Chapter62- 5. Was all emissions	pjectionable odor(s) detected? ssisions test conducted during this astrate individual source complian AGP Notification form submission F.A.C.)	site visit according to Elemente, was an annual visible on, and within 60 days pronce were the remaining a feation form submission? below the requirements of a vareage basis and tested according to 60 and 60	PA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter e emissions test conducted 60 rior to each anniversary date? (pplicable standards testing (Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) of 100 parts per million by ed according to EPA Method (297, F.A.C.)? irements of 0.080 grains per rding to EPA Method 5	☐Yes [Rule ☐Yes ☐Yes ☐Yes ☐Yes ☐Yes ☐Yes ☐Yes ☐Ye	NoNoNoNoNo
(check ☑ appropria 1. Were there any of 2. Was a visible emi 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demon days prior to the 62-296.401(6)(j), 4. In order to demon completed withir a) Carbon Mono volume, dry basis 10 (Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test p c) Particulate ma dry standard cubi (Ref.: Chapter62- 5. Was all emissions capacity? 6. Was CO & PM co 7. Was the Department	pjectionable odor(s) detected? assions test conducted during this astrate individual source complian AGP Notification form submission F.A.C.)	site visit according to Elemente, was an annual visible on, and within 60 days proceed were the remaining a fication form submission? The below the requirements of the last form to the date of the last form to the last form t	PA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter e emissions test conducted 60 rior to each anniversary date? (explicable standards testing f (Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) of 100 parts per million by ed according to EPA Method f 297, F.A.C.)? irements of 0.080 grains per reding to EPA Method 5 facturers recommended fran identical crematory unit? francal compliance test?	Yes	No No No No No No No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
1. Is there Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to record tem		
primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber comb		
	Yes	∐ No
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?	_ Yes	□ No
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the record	ding of	such
measurements, maintenance, reports and records?	_	_
1) All measurements (including CEMS)		No
2) Monitoring device		☐ No
3) Performance Testing Measurements		∐ No
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation		☐ No
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks	∐Yes	☐ No
	∐Yes	☐ No
7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	∐Yes	☐ No
8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	√Yes	☐ No
2. Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one ☑ box)		
a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4)		
b) ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4)		
3. If constructed BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the:		
	Yes	□ No
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F		
	Yes	□ No
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature		
	Yes	□ No
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to	1 05	
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the		
	Yes	☐ No
4. If constructed ON or AFTER August 30, 1989 is the:		
a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence time		
	¬v _{oo}	□ No
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	∐Yes	∐ No
	737	□ N 1.
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	_ Y es	⊠ No
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the cremation	73.7	
r · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_Yes	⊠ No
5. Are appropriate leak-proof containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated	-	
plastics used during the cremation of dead animals?	_Y es	∐ No
a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that they		
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of	_	
	Yes	∐ No
b) If plastic bags are used for the cremation of animals are they non-chlorinated and no less than 3 mils		
	Yes	☐ No
c) Are dead animals, which have been used for medical or commercial experimentation, or other		
materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, F.A.C.), incinerated at this location?	Yes	☐ No
	Yes	☐ No
	Yes	☐ No
a) Are copies of the training certificates all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the duration	_	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Yes	☐ No

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURE A. New or Modified Process Equipment	<u>SS</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.		
 Since the last inspection has there been installation of any new process equipment? alterations to existing process equipment without replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form? If you answered YES to any of the above, did the notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-local program office?	☐Yes☐Yes☐Yes☐Yes☐Yes☐Yes☐Yes☐Yes	No No No No No No	
Allen Rainey Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspect	ion	

COMMENTS:

- 1. I performed an INS2 compliance inspection for the Crawford Equipment & Engineering crematory, model C700, serial #1C795331196. The unit is fueled by natural gas. Curtis Craig, Owner and Operator, escorted me to the crematory and provided requested records.
- 2. The crematory was not in operation. Mr. Craig stated it had been off for 15 minutes before my arrival after finishing a cremation. Since the unit was still hot, I requested it be turned back to verify the temperature of the secondary chamber thermocouple. After the unit was switched on, the program logic controller displayed an "ER-16" code but no temperature. Mr. Craig was aware of the code. I advised Mr. Craig that the unit needs to be taken out of service and not used again until it is repaired, and I read for him Rule 62/296.401(6)(e), F.A.C. The "Out 1" LED was blinking. The thermocouple temperature was not checked.
- 3. Only individual, private cremations are done for pets and animals delivered by veterinarians.
- 4. I requested temperature charts from December 2007 to the present and was given all charts dating back to the unit's first use in 1996. I concentrated my review on those from December 2007 to the present. There are as many as 33 cremations recorded on one chart covering more than a month's timeframe. A separate logbook is maintained for the dates and times of the cremations. Most of the cremations have temperatures that drop below 1,600 degrees F. at the begining of the primary chamber combustion process. Some cremations begin before temperatures reach 1,600 degrees F. Beginning November 1, 2009, there were 17 dates on one chart with no temperature marking at all. Mr. Craig indicated that timeframe corresponds with the first appearance of the "ER-16" code. The chart without temperature markings was on the unit, and the last date is today's date for the cremation that ended 15 minutes before my arrival. Since December 2007, none of the charts have Mr. Craig's initials/name or an indication of when cremation in the primary chamber began. Requested and received copies of temperature charts for Department file. (January and February 2008 are missing from the copies received.)
- 5. Mr. Craig is the only operator.
- 6. Some of the animals delivered by veterinarians are cremated in bags. A Material Safety Data Sheet for the bags was not made available upon request. Mr. Craig stated he will request the documentation from the veterinarian who most often delivers animals in bags.
- 7. Adjustment, calibration and corrective maintenance records are written on the charts.
- 8. Questions 3 8 in Part II, 3 and 7 in Part III, 1d, 2 and 3 in Part IV are not applicable. Question 5 in Part III is unknown. Ouestions 1a and 4a will be determined at a later date.