

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

> Northwest District Office 2353 Jenks Avenue Panama City, Florida 32405-4389

Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard, Jr. Secretary

February 22, 2011

BY ELECTRONIC MAIL stephen.simonsen@lafarge-na.com

Mr. Steve Simonsen Lafarge Building Materials, Inc. 12735 Morris Road Extension, Suite 300 Alpharetta, Georgia 30004

Dear Mr. Simonsen:

On February 9, 2011, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected the Lafarge – Panama City Concrete Batch Plant in Bay County ID 0050066. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in minor non-compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report. Please notify this office within 15 days of receipt of this letter as to what steps you have taken to correct the deficiencies listed in the report.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact C. Mark Sumner at 850/767-0046, or *mark.c.sumner@dep.state.fl.us*.

Sincerely,

Sal

Sally M. Cooey Panama City Branch Administrator

SMC/ms

Enclosure

 c: Ms. Mary Beth Curle, FDEP Pensacola (<u>mary.beth.curle@dep.state.fl.us</u>) Ms. Carol Melton, FDEP Pensacola (<u>carol.melton@dep.state.fl.us</u>) Mr. Andy Workman, Lafarge (<u>andy.workman@lafarge-na.com</u>)

	-	L MOIECIO	1	-
er age		VA	C.	1
F	LOR	IDA		

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)			
AIRS ID#: 0050066 DATE: <u>2/9/2011</u>	ARRIVE: <u>10:49 AM</u> DEPART: <u>11:52 AM</u>			
FACILITY NAME: PANAMA CITY CONCRETE PLA	ANT			
FACILITY LOCATION: 1604 MAPLE AVE				
PANAMA CITY 3240	05-6048			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE:STEVE SIMONSENPHONE:(678)746-2184Email:stephen.simonsen@lafarge-na.comMobile:(770)356-7285CONTACT NAME:Andy WorkmanPHONE:(850)235-9600Email:andy.workman@lafarge-na.comMobile:(850)698-6211ENTITLEMENT PERIOD:10/16/2010 / 10/16/2015 (effective date)10/16/2015				
Facility Section				
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (c)	heck 🗹 only one box)			
IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Andy Workman	(check \checkmark only one box for each question)			
Brief Notes: Mr. Workman provided access to the facility and all requested records.				

2.	Is the Authorized Representative still STEVE SIMONSEN?	Xes Yes	No
3.	If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? Is the facility contact still Andy Workman? If no, who is?: <u>NA</u>	☐ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No
4.	Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	Yes Yes	⊠No □No
	Facility personnel have not changed and no VE testing was performed at the time of this inspectio	on.	

Emissions Unit Section

1 -CCB Plant-silo(1-120Tsplit-cment/f-ash&1-30Tcement)w/cent.dc subject to Reasonable Precautions			
PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>			
 Date of last inspection: <u>3/8/2010</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: (<u>NA</u>)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? <u>NA</u> 		□ No ⊠ No □ No	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.)	
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and			
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards			
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfin emissions by:	ed		
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the f 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally sets dust suppressent chamicals when proceeding to the proceeding of the procee		🗌 No	
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No	
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the			
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No	
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	Yes	🗌 No	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	🛛 No	
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: (<u>NA</u>)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? X N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? <u>NA</u> 	Yes Yes	⊠ No □ No	

PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2)1.b., **F.A.C.** It was observed that the partial enclosure shroud for the drop point to the truck is worn and is tearing at the corners. This curtain should be repaired or replaced to ensure proper operation of the dust control system at the drop point to the truck.

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹 only one box for each question)
 Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c. 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? 	🖾 Yes 🔲 No
 Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the excaunits and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? <u>NA</u> 	or
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? If YES, what other general permit units or activities? <u>NA</u>	
 3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? 	Yes No Yes No Yes No
gal diesel/yrgal gasoline/yrMM SCF nat. gas/yr+MM gal275,000 gal diesel/yr23,000 gal gasoline/yr44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr1.3 MM gal gas	
 4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel conformation for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years? Note: Permit Eligibility Part 3 (a)(b)(c)(d)(e) and Part 4 are not applicable for this facility. 	Yes No
Note: Permit Eligibility Part 3. (a)(b)(c)(d)(e) and Part 4 are not applicable for this facility	at this time.

G	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	_	
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	🗌 Yes · 🕅 Yes	⊠ No □ No
3.	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?		🗌 No
5.	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check 🗹	•
1. Is the facility: stationary 🖾; relocatable 🛄; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable 🔲 concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip the followin</i>	box for each ag question 2.)	question)
 2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	□ No
 to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6 to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation? 	5)]	∐ No □ No
 If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation per and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage 		🗌 No
If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No
Note: Relocatable Plant Part 2. (a)(b)(c) and Part 3. (a)(b)(c) are not applicable for this facility a		
CHANGES	(check 🗹	
Administrative Changes:	box for each	question)
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representation		
 associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions ur operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	- 🗌 Yes	⊠ No □ No
 Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	🗌 Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No
 4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee sub 30 days prior to the change? 	mitted	
Note: Changes Part 2 and 4 are not applicable for this facility at this time.		

C. Mark Sumner

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Mark Sa

Inspector's Signature

February 9, 2011

Date of Inspection

February 2012

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: Mr. Andy Workman the plant manager was on site at the time of this inspection.

The last VE test for this facility was performed on 6/10/2010; it was received by the Department on 6/18/2010; and the test reported a 0 % opacity.

According to the operator to ensure the dust collector operates as designed the maximum loading pressure of the silos is 10 PSI.

Emissions from the batcher are controlled by the silo dust collector. The batcher is equipped with a partial enclosure curtain and there are two vacuum inlets to draw dust to the collector. At the time of this inspection the enclosure curtain appeared worn and may need replacement soon. Note the worn curtain was noted on the previous inspection and no repairs have been performed.

Records are maintained for the fuel consumption by the delivery trucks and materials processed on a monthly basis. This plant has been idle for most of the past year and very little material has been used. No fuel is used to operate the plant since it is run entirely off the electricity grid.

Approximatly 50% of the facility has been paved, and the facility is washed down weekly during times it is operating to control dust. A log of the wash downs is maintained along with a weekly baghouse inspection/maintenance log.

The Stock piles are maintained below the height of the binblocks to prevent wind entrainment of particulate matter.