

## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/D	ISCOVERY (CI)			
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLA	AINT NO:			
A TOO TO !! 00500 ( C D )	TTT 04/45/2005	ADDWE	DED A DE			
AIRS ID#: 0050066 DATE: <u>04/17/2007</u> ARRIVE: DEPART:						
FACILITY NAME: PANAMA CITY CONCRETE PLANT						
FACILITY LOCATION: 1601 MAPLE AVENUE						
	PANAMA CITY	32405				
RESPONSIBLE OFFIC	TAL: JAMES FORBES		<b>PHONE:</b> (678)746-2295			
CONTACT NAME:		PHONE:				
REMITTANCE YEAR:	ENT	FITLEMENT PERIOD: 9	9/16/2005 / 9/16/2010 ffective date) (end date)			
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)						
IN COMPLIAN	CE MINOR Non-C	COMPLIANCE SIG	NIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))						
Stack Emissions  1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter						
62-297, F.A.C.)?						
controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity? \( \sqrt{Y}\) Yes \( \sqrt{N}\) No  3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted						
at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,						
unless such rate is unachievable in practice?						
to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then						
skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.)						
			e of the normal batching rate and	IYes □ No		
5. If emissions from	the weigh hopper (batcher)	operation are controlled by	a dust collector, which is separate	105 🗀 110		
from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?   Yes  No						
				ll.		

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)  1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	Yes No
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form	]Yes
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	∐Yes □ No
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)  4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed?	∐Yes □ No
_	
·	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))  1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i> )  2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? ( <i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	Yes ⊠ No Yes □ No Yes □ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)						
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))						
<u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)						
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take re	easonable precautions to control unconfined					
emissions by:						
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yard						
	piles, and yards? \times Yes \to No					
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-supp						
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other pay						
	luce airborne particulate matter?					
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind b						
	Yes No					
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate em	issions at the drop point to the truck? \( \subseteq \text{r es} \) ino					
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES - Rul	e 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.					
A. New or Modified Process Equipment						
1. Since the last inspection has there been						
a) installation of any new process equipment?						
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replace						
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially differen						
recent notification form?						
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owne						
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or						
local program office? Yes No						
Richard S. Brookins Jr.	04/17/2007 & 05/07/2007					
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection					
inspector s rame (rease rring)	Date of hispection					
	04/08					
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection					
<b>COMMENTS:</b> Facility is used infrequently as a backup to the main batch plant on Panama City Beach. currently it is operated						

**COMMENTS:** Facility is used infrequently as a backup to the main batch plant on Panama City Beach. currently it is operated approximately 1 day every other (or third) month.