

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

> Northwest District Office 2353 Jenks Avenue Panama City, Florida 32405-4389

Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard, Jr. Secretary

April 27, 2011

BY ELECTRONIC MAIL suecu@readymixusa.com

Ms. Sue Cummings Environmental Manager Ready Mix USA, LLC Post Office Box 101868 Birmingham, Alabama 35210

Dear Ms. Cummings:

On March 30 2011, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected the Ready Mix USA Blountstown Concrete Batch Plant ID 0130007. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact C. Mark Sumner at 850/767-0046, or *mark.c.sumner@dep.state.fl.us*.

Sincerely,

Sally M. Čooey Panama City Branch Administrator

SMC/ms

Enclosure

 c: Ms. Mary Beth Curle, FDEP Pensacola (<u>mary.beth.curle@dep.state.fl.us</u>) Ms. Carol Melton, FDEP Pensacola (<u>carol.melton@dep.state.fl.us</u>) Mr. Wiley Willoughby, Operations Manager (<u>wileyw@readymixusa.com</u>)

	-	L MOIECIO	1	-
er age		VA	C.	1
F	LOR	IDA		

**CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT** 



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISC ARMS COMPLAIN				
AIRS ID#: 0130007 DATE: <u>3/30/2011</u>	ARRIVE: <u>10:08</u>	DEPART: <u>10</u>	) <u>:55</u>		
FACILITY NAME: BLOUNTSTOWN READY MIX	PLANT				
FACILITY LOCATION: 17501 NW SAWMILL	RD				
BLOUNTSTOWN 3	2424-8512				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Suc Email: suecu@readymic.com CONTACT NAME: WILEY WILLOUGHBY Email: wileyw@readymix.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 3/6/2010 / 3/6/2015 (effective date) (end date)	Mo PH	IONE:       (205)986-4830         obile:       (205)639-6786         IONE:       (850)785-1934         obile:       (850)258-1634			
Facility Section					
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box)         IN COMPLIANCE       MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE         SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
PART II: <u>ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING</u>			(check ☑ only one ox for each question)		
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>Mike Bedwell</u>					
Brief Notes: <u>I met Mike Bedwell on-site at 10:08 an</u>	· · ·				
<ol> <li>Is the Authorized Representative still Sue Cummings If no, who is?: <u>NA</u></li> </ol>	?		YesNo		
If different, did the facility provide an administrative 3. Is the facility contact still WILEY WILLOUGHBY? If no, who is?: <u>NA</u>	update within 30 days?	N/A [	☐ Yes ☐No ⊠ Yes ☐No		
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least	inspection? 15 days in advance?	[ [	Yes ⊠No Yes □No		
Note: Part II 4. is not applicable for this facility at					

## **Emissions Unit Section**

1 – CCB Plant-2silos ea w/b-house, weighopper/mxr, truckloadout subject to Reasonable Precautions			
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION			
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>2/25/2009</u></li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> <li>Note: Part I 2. (a)(b)(c) are not applicable for this facility at this time.</li> </ol>	Yes	□ No □ No □ No	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		i	
<u>raki II: Field Observations – Rule 02-270.414(2), F.A.C.</u>			
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u>			
<u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>			
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfine emissions by:	;d		
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the formation of maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to</li> </ul>	Yes Yes	□ No	
control emissions?	Yes	🗌 No	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	Yes	🗌 No	
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	Yes Yes	🗌 No	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes Yes	🗌 No	
<ul> <li>2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:</li> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ul>	Yes Yes	D No No	
Note: Part II 2. (a)(b)(c) are not applicable for this facility at this time.		1	

## Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY		
	(check 🗹 box for each	
	box for each	question)
1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:		<b>—</b>
a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?	- 🛛 Yes	
b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?	$\boxtimes$ Yes	No No
c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	Yes	∐ No
2. Deserthis facility includes		
2. Does this facility include:	. of	
a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or	101	
Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	🗌 Yes	🖂 No
If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? <u>NA</u>		
11125, which for exchapt times of det ( $1001$ , $1012$ )		
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene	eral	
permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?	- 🗌 Yes	🛛 No
If YES, what other general permit units or activities? <u>NA</u>		
3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:		
a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?		
b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline?		
<ul> <li>c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas?</li> <li>d. 1.3 million gallons of propane?</li> </ul>		∐ No □ No
e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?		$\square$ No
e. Of an equivalent profated amount if multiple fuels are used offsite (use equation below)?		
gal diesel/yr +gal gasoline/yr +MM SCF nat. gas/yr +MM gal prop	ane/vr < 1.00	79
275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propa		
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consur	nption	
for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		🗌 No
Note: Permit Eligibility Part 3. (a)(b)(c)(d)(e) and Part 4 are not applicable for this facility at th	is time.	

G	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	🗌 Yes	🖂 No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?		
3.	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?		🗌 No
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check 🗹	•
1. Is the facility: stationary 🖾; relocatable 🛄; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable 🔲 concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>If only stationary, skip the followin</i>	box for each <i>g question 2.</i> )	question)
<ul> <li>2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?</li></ul>	- 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
<ul> <li>a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location?</li> <li>b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(</li> </ul>	6)]	□ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6 to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation?	j)]	∐ No □ No
<ol> <li>If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation per and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:</li> <li>a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage</li> </ol>		🗌 No
If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?		
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	🗌 Yes	No
CHANGES	(check 🗹 box for each	
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representation		1
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions un operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?	iits or - ⊠ Yes	No
<ol> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?</li> <li><u>New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership</u>:</li> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been</li> </ol>	🛛 Yes	No
<ul> <li>a. Installation of any new process equipment?</li> <li>b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?</li> </ul>	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No
<ul><li>c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?</li><li>d. A change in ownership?</li></ul>	🗌 Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee sub 30 days prior to the change?	mitted 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
Note: Changes Part 4 is not applicable for this facility at this time.		

C. Mark Sumner

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Mark Sen

Inspector's Signature

March 30, 2011

Date of Inspection

March 2012

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:** Mike Bedwell, Plant Manager was on site. Lisa Swain, environmental consultant last conducted an EPA Method 9 visual emission test of the plant's cement silo, fly ash silo, and weigh hopper (batcher) on August 5, 2010. No visual emissions were observed at the time of this test. During this test 26.37 tons of fly ash and 27.0 tons of cement were loaded into the facilities two silos. The results of this VE test were submitted to the Department and reviewed on August 12, 2010.

This facility has a separate dust collector for each silo, and a spray bar for the weigh hopper. The spray bar for the weighhopper has new piping and spray heads to ensure the dust from batching is controlled. According to the manager the batching area is soon to be enclosed with a shroud to further control dust. Each dust collecter vent was observed at the time of this inspection, and no signs of excessive emissions were observed. The facility has well maintained records for fuel consumption by the vehicles (the plant is powered by the electricity power grid), and material batched on a monthly basis.

Dust control logs, bag house inspections logs, and BMP daily inspection logs were also available for review. The facility has wind breaks/binblock enclosures for the aggregate, and all stockpiles were stacked at the height of the enclosures to prevent wind erosion/entrainment of aggregate material. This plant has been shut down most of the last two years, but has batched several hundred yards this month.