

SURFACE COATING OPERATIONS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:
AIRS ID#: 0990345 DATE: 3.12.09 ARRIVE: DEPART:
FACILITY NAME: PUBLIC WORKS FACILITY
FACILITY LOCATION: 1749 3rd Avenue South
LAKE WORTH 33460-
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: LAURA HANNAH PHONE: (561)586-1630
CONTACT NAME: Jim Clark PHONE: (561)586-1720
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 3/19/2007 / 3/19/2012 (effective date) (end date)
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box)
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))
1. Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the surface coating operations and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.)
DARREST CONTROL CORD A DINICARA INTELNIANO DE DECLIDEMENTO D. L. CO 110 200 E A C
PART III: <u>CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTANANCE REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))
 Is/Are the surface coating operation(s) subject to a VOC Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) emission limiting standard of Chapter 62-296.500, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.b., F.A.C.)

PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE R	REQUIREMENTS = Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. = (continued)	
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	Continued)	
cycles? 2) recycling cleaning solvents?	freducing VOC emissions by: fective application with a minimum of overspray? ve coating? aterborne, ultra-violet cured, or powder coatings)? ent spillage? Yes No Yes No	
<u> </u>		
PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURE</u> A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>	<u>S</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.	
Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment without c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4 local program office?	ut replacement? different than that noted on the most	
Faith A. Martin	3.12.09	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	
COMMENTS:		
The total quantity of VOCs used by the facility on a monthly b	pasis is well below the permitted limit of 44 lbs/day.	
The usage logs were available upon request by the spray booth	ı operator.	
Two days of spraying were reported since the last inspection.		
The spray booth filters were clean and there were no signs of overspray on-site.		
There were no objectionable odors at the time of the site visit a by the facility.	and no complaints have been received in the past year re: odors caused	
Satisfactory annual compliance inspection performed on 3.12.0	09.	