

## HUMAN CREMATORY COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST



				Environmenta				
IN	INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)							
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:							
FA	ACILITY: Anderson-McQueen Funera	al Home	DISTRICT:					
DF	BA/Site Name: St. Petersburg-B&L	L animal Crematory	Southwest					
		CONTACT PHONE	2 <b>:</b>					
L	St. Petersburg, FL		813-752-5014					
AF	RMS NO:	PERMIT NO:	<b>Expiration Date:</b>	8/9/2013				
	1030282 001	1030282-007-AG	Renewal Date:	7/10/2013				
		<u> </u>	Test Date:	8/17/2000				
<i>EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION:</i> Human Crematory: B&L Cremation Systems, Inc. Phoenix II; 350 lb/batch (1,600 degrees F)								
IN	SPECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (ch	eck 🗌 only one box)					
	3/25/2013	In Compliance; I Minor Non-Compli	iance; 🗌 Significant N	Non-Compliance				
		PART I: General Review:						
1.	Permit File Review			Yes No				
2.	Introduction and Entry			⊠Yes □No				
		s inspected to determine the annual comp nder for the inspection of the facility and		with the				
3.	Is the Authorized Representative st			Yes No				
	Comments:							
4	The e-mail address is:	1'						
4.	Is the facility contact still: Lynn rol <i>Comments:</i>	binson, P.E.?		Yes No				
	The e-mail address is: lrobinson@se	sesfla.com						
5.		he facility provide an administrative update w	vithin 30 days?	Yes No				
	PART II: TE	ESTING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296. 40	)1(5) FAC					
		ox(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would in		e)				
<u>Co</u> 1.	mpliance Demonstration [62-296.401(5)	)(h), F.A.C.]						
2.	<b>Existing Facilities</b> Was an annual visible emissions compli	iance test conducted on each crematory unit for a	each calendar year:	🛛 Yes 🗌 No				
1.	Test ReportsDoes the submitted visible emission test(s) demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent opacity, six- minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes in any one-hour period? $[62-296.401(5)(b)1., F.A.C.]$							
2.		erating at a capacity of one (1) adult-sized cadav	-					
3.	Was the department notified at least 15	days prior to the test? [62-297.310(4)(a)9. F.A.C	С.]	🖂 Yes 🗌 No				
4.		the department as soon as practical, but no later		🛛 Yes 🗌 No				

	PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296. 401(5), F.A.C.	)	
5.	(check		No
5.			
6.	Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according to EPA Method 9?	- 🛛 Yes	No
	<ul> <li>a) The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of0% for the highest six minute average.</li> <li>b) Did the test indicate the facility is operating in compliance with the opacity standard?</li> </ul>	- 🛛 Yes	No
7.	Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standards?	- 🗌 Yes	No No
	PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS		
	(check  appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance	)	
1.	Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?	- 🗌 Yes	No No
	An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were:		
	Downwind odor level detected- 0; Wind direction - E Upwind odor level detected(1-10)		
2.	Continuous Monitoring System – [62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.]		
	a) Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the		
	secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	$- \boxtimes Yes$	∐ No
	b) Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence time at $\boxed{1,800^1}$ $\boxed{1,600^2}$ degrees was determined?	- 🖂 Yes	No
	c) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the		
	recording of such measurements, maintenance, reports and records?	_	_
	1) All temperature measurements	$- \boxtimes Yes$	No No
	<ol> <li>All continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements; monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations</li> </ol>	$- \bigvee V_{as}$	No
	3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on <u>(8-6-12</u> )		
	4) Adjustments	- 🛛 Yes	□ No
	5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	- 🛛 Yes	$\square$ No
	6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	$- \boxtimes Yes$	∐ No
	7) Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings	$- \square Y_{\rho s}$	No
	8) Are all the above records available for at least 2 years?	$- \boxtimes Yes$	$\square$ No
	<ul> <li>a) Date range for records reviewed: From:2/1/12 To:3/22/13</li> <li>9) Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07? If yes, go to 9) a) - c)</li> </ul>		
		- 🗌 Yes	$\boxtimes$ No
	a) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatically control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	$\bigtriangledown$ Vac	No
	<i>b) Is the</i> system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity exceeds 15% opacity ?	- Xes	No
	c) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in		
	accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	$- \boxtimes Yes$	$\square$ No
	1 - Application received on or after 8/30/89; $2 - $ Application received prior to 8/30/89		
3.	<ul> <li>Was this crematory unit application to construct: [62-296.401(5)(c), F.A.C.] (check only one □ box)</li> <li>a) □ <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #4 and skip #5)</li> <li>b) ○ <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #4 and continue on to #5)</li> </ul>		
4.	If the application to construct was <b><u>BEFORE</u></b> August 30, 1989 is the:		
	a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F?	- 🗌 Yes	No No
	b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than $1400^{\circ}F$	<b>— •</b>	
	<ul><li>throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?</li><li>c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature</li></ul>	$- \square Yes$	∐ No
	c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature is equal to or greater than <b>1400°F</b> ?	- 🗌 Yes	No No
5.	If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the:		
	a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence time	_	
	(a) $1800^{\circ}$ F?	- 🖂 Yes	<u> </u>
	b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than <b>1600°F</b> throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	- X Ves	$\square N_0$
	c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than <b>1600°F</b> before the cremation		

	PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> (check  appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)	
	process begins in the primary chamber?	Yes 🗌 No
6.	<ul> <li>Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies, as demonstrated by MSD sheet?</li></ul>	Yes No

## PART IV: <u>Equipment Maintenance</u>

(check [] appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)

Equipment Maintenance: – [62-296.401(5)(e), F.A.C.]

1.	Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications? 🖂 Yes 🔲 No
2.	Are there maintenance/repair/adjustment records kept onsite for at least 2 years? 🖂 Yes 🔲 No
3.	Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction? X Yes No Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?
4.	Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No If yes go to a) – b)
	<ul> <li>a) Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each operating shift? X Yes No</li> <li>b) Was the flame adjusted when necessary? X Yes No</li> </ul>

## **PART V:** Special Conditions And Procedures (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)

## **Administrative Changes:**

<ol> <li>Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility Yes No</li> <li>If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Yes No</li> </ol>		
Permit Effective Period       - [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.]         1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period?		
2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 days prior to permit expiration? 🗌 Yes 🔲 No		
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership - [62-210.310 (2)(b)2, F.A.C]		
C Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment?		
<ul> <li>Noncompliance Notice: - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.]</li> <li>1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the air general permit? □ Yes ○ No If the answer is Yes, proceed to a) and b).</li> <li>a) Did the owner or operator provide immediate notification to the Department? □ Yes □ No b) Did the notification include:</li> </ul>		

<ol> <li>A description of and cause of noncompliance? Yes No</li> <li>Dates and times of noncompliance; or if not corrected, the anticipated time noncompliance is expected to continue and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance? Yes Yes No</li> </ol>
PART VI: Comments
Facility operated a newly installed Resomation Machine onsite. According to Mr. Robbins the Environmental Coordinator stated the
Resonation Machine has been exempted from permitting by DEP. An AQD VE test was performed during this site visit. An opacity
of 0% was observed. Reviewed temperature charts for the months of 2/1/12 through 3/22/13.
Certifying documentation from the manufacturer that they are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastic was kept
onsite. The emission unit was calibrated on 8-6-12. See attached calibration data sheets.
Exit Interview: During the closing conference, I informed Mr. John Anders, facility appears to be in compliance at
this time.

Mike Ojo Thomas

Inspector's Name

3/25/13

Date of Inspection

Inspector's Signature Approximate Date of Next Inspection H:\USERS\WPDOCS\Airqual\Air\_Compliance\AQI\1030282 001 84725.doc