

HUMAN CREMATORY COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST



INS	INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)					
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:						
FACILITY: Anderson-McQueen Funeral Home		DISTRICT:				
DBA/Site Name:		Southwest				
ADDRESS: 7820 38th Avenue No		orth	CONTACT PHONE:			
St. Petersburg, FL			727-822-2059			
AR	MS NO:	PERMIT NO:	Expiration Date:	8/9/2013		
1030282 001		1030282-007-AG	Renewal Date:	7/10/2013		
			Test Date:	8/12/2000		
	<i>AISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION:</i> Hu rees F)	Iman Crematory: B&L Cremation Systems	, Inc. Phoenix II; 35	0 lb/batch (1,600		
INS	PECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check 🗆 only one box)				
2/	/7/11	🛛 In Compliance; 🔲 Minor Non-Compl	liance; 🗌 Significant	Non-Compliance		
		PART I: General Review:				
1.	Permit File Review			Yes No		
2.	Introduction and Entry			Yes No		
		s inspected to determine the annual comp nder for the inspection of the facility and		t with the		
3.	Is the Authorized Representative stil Comments:	Il John T. McQueen?		Yes No		
4.	<i>Is</i> the facility contact still <u>John T. M</u> <i>Comments:</i>	IcQueen?		Yes No		
5.	If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did th [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]	he facility provide an administrative update v	within 30 days?	Yes No		
		<u>STING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296. 40 x(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would in		ce)		
1.	pliance Demonstration [62-296.401(5) New Facility / New Process Equi	(h), F.A.C.]				
	2. Existing Facilities Was an annual visible emissions compliance test conducted on each crematory unit for each calendar year: 🛛 Yes 🗌 No					
1. 1 1	minute average, except that visible emissions is minutes in any one-hour period? [62]	(s) demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent of sions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allow $2-296.401(5)(b)1$, F.A.C.]	red for up to	🛛 Yes 🗌 No		
2.	Was the test conducted with the unit ope	erating at a capacity of one (1) adult-sized cada	wer? [62-296.401(5)(g)] 🛛 Yes 🗌 No		
3.	Was the department notified at least 15	days prior to the test? [62-297.310(4)(a)9. F.A.	<i>C.</i>]	Xes 🗌 No		
		he department as soon as practical, but no later				
5.	Was the facility visible emissions test(s)	conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-29	97.401(9)(c), F.A.C]	Xes No		

PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296. 401(5), F.A.C. (check [] appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
6. Was a visible emission test (s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according to EPA Method 9? Yes X No a) The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average.					
b) Did the test indicate the facility is operating in compliance with the opacity standard?	🗌 Yes 🔲 No				
7. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standards?	🗌 Yes 🖂 No				
PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u>	-)				
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance					
1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected? An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were: Downwind odor level detected- 0; Wind direction - S Upwind odor level detected-0 (1-10)	🗌 Yes 🔀 No				
2. Continuous Monitoring System – [62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.]					
a) Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	🖂 Yes 🗌 No				
b) Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence					
time at \Box 1,800 ¹ \boxtimes 1,600 ² degrees was determined?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No				
c) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the recording of such measurements, maintenance, reports and records?					
1) All temperature measurements	🛛 Yes 🗌 No				
2) All continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements;					
monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations	$\begin{array}{c c} \boxtimes Yes \square No \\ \boxtimes Yes \square No \end{array}$				
4) Adjustments	🛛 Yes 🔲 No				
5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	$ \boxtimes Yes \square No$				
 6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices 7) Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of 	🛛 Yes 📋 No				
when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings	🛛 Yes 🗌 No				
8) Are all the above records available for at least 2 years?					
a) Date range for records reviewed: From: _10/1/10 To:2/2/11 9) Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07? If yes, go to 9) a) – c)	$\Box Vas \square Na$				
<i>a) Is the crematory unit</i> equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatically					
control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No				
<i>b) Is the</i> system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity exceeds 15% opacity ?	Xes No				
<i>c)</i> Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in					
accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No				
1 – Application received on or after $8/30/89$; 2 – Application received prior to $8/30/89$					
 3. Was this crematory unit application to construct: [62-296.401(5)(c), F.A.C.] (check only one □ box) a) □ <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #4 and skip #5) b) ⊠ <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #4 and continue on to #5) 					
4. If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the:					
a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F?	🗌 Yes 🔲 No				
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	🗌 Yes 🦳 No				
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature					
is equal to or greater than 1400°F?	🗌 Yes 🔲 No				
5. If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the:					
a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1800° F?					
(a) 1800° F?	🛛 Yes 📋 No				
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No				
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the cremation process begins in the primary chamber?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No				

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> (check check check

6.	Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies, as demonstrated by MSD sheet? X Yes No [62-296.401(5)(d), F.A.C.]
	a) If the answer to question 6 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that they are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of their use and for at least two years after their use?
	 b) Are there any other materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, FAC) incinerated at this location? Tes Yes No

PART IV: Equipment Maintenance

(check [] appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)

Equipment Maintenance: - [62-296.401(5)(e), F.A.C.]

1.	Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications? 🖾 Yes 🔲 No
2.	Are there maintenance/repair/adjustment records kept onsite for at least 2 years? 🖾 Yes 🔲 No
3. 4.	shutdown and malfunction? Xes No Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?
	If yes go to $a - b$) $a)$ Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each operating shift? \boxtimes Yes \square No $b)$ Was the flame adjusted when necessary? \boxtimes Yes \square No

PART V: Special Conditions And Procedures (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
 <u>Administrative Changes:</u> 1. Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility □ Yes □ No 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] □ Yes □ No 					
Permit Effective Period - [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] 1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period? Xes Yes 2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 days prior to permit expiration? Yes No No No No New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership - [62-210.310 (2)(b)2, F.A.C] No					
 C Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment?					
Noncompliance Notice: - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.] 1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the air general permit?					

PART VI: Comments

An AQD VE test was not performed because the emission unit was not in operation.

Reviewed temperature charts for the months of 10/1/10 through 2/2/11.

Certifying documentation from the manufacturer that they are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastic was kept

onsite. The emission unit was calibrated on 2-2-10. See attached calibration data sheets.

I met with the new crematory manager, Mr. John Ander for the inspection of the facility and emission unit. He stated Mr. Patrick O' Neal pervious crematory manager longer with the company. I explained rule requirement to

John Anders the new crematory manager. He stated he would review the rule requirement more often, so he could

master the rules requirements. He thanked me for help him to understand the rules requirement.

Exit Interview: During the closing conference, I informed Mr. John Anders, facility appears to be in compliance at

this time.

Mike Ojo Thomas

Inspector's Name

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

Inspector's Signature H:\users\wpdocs\airqual\Air_Compliance\AQI\1030282 001 75719.doc **Date of Inspection**

2/7/2011