

HUMAN CREMATORY COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST



		Environment Compliance					
INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)							
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:						
FACILITY: Anderson-McQueen Funer	DISTRICT:						
DBA/Site Name:		Southwest					
ADDRESS: 7820 38th Avenue N	orth	CONTACT PHONE:					
St. Petersburg, FL		727-822-2059					
ARMS NO:	PERMIT NO:	Expiration Date: 10/15/11					
1030282 003	1030282-006-AG	Renewal Date: 9/15/11					
1050202 005		Test Date: 7/25/00					
rate of 150 pounds per hour, a maximum	<i>EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION:</i> Animal crematory: B&L Cremation Systems, Inc. Model BLP500. Nominal process rate of 150 pounds per hour, a maximum charge weight of 500 pounds. Controlled by an afterburner (secondary chamber) with a minimum secondary chamber temperature of 1,600°F.						
INSPECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (cl	heck only one box)					
1/9/2009	In Compliance; Minor Non-Compl	•					
	PART I: General Review:						
1. Permit File Review		Yes No					
2. Introduction and Entry		Yes No					
	is inspected to determine the annual comp & O' Neal for the inspection of the facility						
3. <i>Is the Authorized Representative st</i>		Yes No					
—	Authorized Representative for the facility.						
4. Js the facility contact still William B. McQueen? Image: William B. McQueen? Comments: Mr. McQueen stills the facility contact. Image: Wreak and the facility contact.							
5. If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did t [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]	the facility provide an administrative update	within 30 days? Yes No					
PART II. TI	ESTING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296. 40	01(5) F A C					
	(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would i						
Compliance Demonstration [62-296.401(5 1. New Facility / New Process Equ	()(h), F.A.C.]						
 Existing Facilities Was the annual visible emissions compl (annually thereafter) of the previous vi 	liance, test conducted on each crematory unit wis sible emissions compliance test?	ithin 365 days 🛛 Yes 🔲 No					
minute average, except that visible emission six minutes in any one-hour period? [6]	t(s) demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent of ssions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allow 52-296.401(5)(b)1., F.A.C.]	$\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Vec} \left[\begin{array}{c} V \\ V $					
	erating at a capacity of one (1) adult-sized cada						
<i>3.</i> Was the department notified at least 15	days prior to the test? [62-297.310(4)(a)9. F.A.	.C.] 🛛 Yes 🔲 No					
	the department as soon as practical, but no later						

	PART II: TESTING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296. 401(5), F.A.C.							
-	(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncomplianc							
5.	Was the facility visible emissions test(s) conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-297.401(9)(c), F.A.C]	🛛 Yes 🗌 No						
6.	Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according to EPA Method 9? a) The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\0\%$ for the highest six minute average.	Xes 🗌 No						
	b) Did the test indicate the facility is operating in compliance with the opacity standard?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No						
7.	Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standards?	🗌 Yes 🖾 No						
	PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS							
	(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncomplianc	e)						
1.	Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?	🗌 Yes 🖂 No						
	An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were: Downwind odor level detected- 0; Wind direction - South_ Upwind odor level detected-0 (1-10)							
2.	Continuous Monitoring System – [62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.]							
	a) Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the							
	secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No						
	b) Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence							
	time at \Box 1,800 ¹ \boxtimes 1,600 ² degrees was determined?	🛛 Yes 📋 No						
	c) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the							
	recording of such measurements, maintenance, reports and records? 1) All temperature measurements	\bigvee Vas \Box No						
	2) All continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements;							
	monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations	$\neg \neg \neg $ Yes \Box No						
	3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on (9/26/08)	🛛 Yes 🗌 No						
	4) Adjustments	🛛 Yes 🗌 No						
	5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	🛛 Yes 🔲 No						
	6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	🖂 Yes 🔝 No						
	7) Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of							
	when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings	$\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}$						
	9) Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07? If yes go to 10)a) – c)							
	<i>a)</i> Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatically							
	control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	Yes No						
	b) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity							
	exceeds 15% opacity ?	🗌 Yes 🔲 No						
	c) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in							
	accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	🗌 Yes 📋 No						
2	1 – Application received on or after $8/30/89$; 2 – Application received prior to $8/30/89$							
3.	 Was this crematory unit application to construct: [62-296.401(5)(c), F.A.C.] (check only one box) a) □ <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #4 and skip #5) b) ○ <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #4 and continue on to #5) 							
4.	If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the:							
	a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F?	🗌 Yes 🔲 No						
	b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than $1400^{\circ}F$							
	throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No						
	c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature							
	is equal to or greater than $1400^{\circ}F$?	🗋 Yes 🔝 No						
5.	If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the:							
	a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence time							
	@ 1800° F?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No						
	b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?							
	c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the cremation	🛛 Yes 📋 No						

	PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
	process begins in the primary chamber? Xes 🗌 No					
6.	 Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies, as demonstrated by MSD sheet? X Yes No [62-296.401(5)(d), F.A.C.] a) If the answer to question 6 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that they are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of 					
	their use and for at least two years after their use?					

PART IV: <u>Equipment Maintenance</u> (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)

Equipment Maintenance: - [62-296.401(5)(e), F.A.C.]

1.	Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications?
2.	Are there maintenance/repair/adjustment records kept onsite for at least 2 years? 🖾 Yes 🔲 No
3.	shutdown and malfunction? Xes 🗌 No
4.	Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics? \boxtimes Yes \square No If yes go to a) – b) a) Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each operating shift? \boxtimes Yes \square No b) Was the flame adjusted when necessary? \boxtimes Yes \square No

PART V: Special Conditions And Procedures (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility □ Yes □ No 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] □ Yes □ No					
Permit Effective Period - [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] 1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period? Yes Yes 2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 prior to permit expiration? Yes Yes					
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership					
C Since the last registration form submittal has there been [62-210.310 (2)(b)2, F.A.C a) Installation of any new process equipment?					
Noncompliance Notice: - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.] 1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the air general permit? 1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the air general permit? 1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the air general permit? 1. Did the answer is Yes, proceed to a) and b). a) Did the owner or operator provide immediate notification to the Department? b) Did the notification include: 1. A description of and cause of noncompliance?-					

2. The period of noncompliance,	including dates and tir	nes; or if not corrected,	, the anticipated time	the noncompliance	is expected to
continue, and steps being taken t	o reduce, eliminate, ar	nd prevent recurrence og	f the noncompliance?	🛛	Yes 🗌 No

PART VI: Comments

An AQD VE test was performed during this site visit. An opacity of 0% was observed.

Reviewed temperature charts for the months of 2/2/2008 through 12/30/2008.

The facility recorded instances where they had upset conditions due to power failures. The facility provide immediate notification

to AQ Division office. See permit file.

Exit Interview: During the closing conference, I informed Mr. Patrick O' Neal, facility appears to be in compliance at

1/9/2009

this time.

Mike Ojo Thomas

Inspector's Name

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

Date of Inspection

H:\users\wpdocs\airqual\Air_Compliance\AQI\1030282 003 66799.doc

Inspector's Signature

4 of 3