

## **HUMAN CREMATORY**



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)								
AIRS ID#: 0950276 DATE: <u>10/20/2010</u> ARRIVE: <u>11:05 AM</u> DEPART:	12:50 PM							
FACILITY NAME: WOODLAWN CREMATORY								
FACILITY LOCATION: 400 WOODLAWN CEMETERY RD								
GOTHA 34734								
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: THOMAS KNIGHT Email: thomas.knight@sci-us.com CONTACT NAME: THOMAS KNIGHT Email: thomas.knight@sci-us.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 3/1/2010 / 3/1/2015 (effective date) (end date)  PHONE: (407)293-921 Mobile: PHONE: (407)293-921 Mobile:								
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE								
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING  1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Thomas Knight  Brief Notes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)							
2. Is the Authorized Representative still THOMAS KNIGHT?	⊠ Yes □No							
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days?  3. Is the facility contact still THOMAS KNIGHT?  If no, who is?:	☐ Yes ☐No ☐ Yes ☐No							
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	<ul><li>∑ Yes</li><li>∑ Yes</li><li>☐No</li></ul>							

## Emissions Unit Section 1 – Human Crematory-two units w/opac&temp monitors, 200lbs/hr

PA	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check <b>☑</b>	only one
		box for each	
		00A 101 Cucii	question,
1.	a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or	<b>∇/ x</b> 7	
	after August 30, 1989?	⊠ Yes	□No
	b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the		
	secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	⊠ Yes	□No
2	Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007?	Yes	□No
	Date of last inspection: 10/14/2009	1 CS	≥3140
	Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:		
١.	a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	Yes	□No
	b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	Yes	⊠No
	c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing		<u></u>
	operation?	☐ Yes	□No
	d. Date of last VE test: 10/14/2009		
	e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?	⊠ Yes	□No
	f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test?	Yes	□No
	If no, what was the problem (if known)?		
DA	ART II: VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING		
I P	ART II: VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING	(check <b>☑</b>	only one
		box for each	question)
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	⊠ Yes	□No
	a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one adult-sized cadaver?		□No
	b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		□No
	c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0 % for the highest six minute average.		
	d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?		□No
	(5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes	in any one-hour)	
_			
2.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?		∐No
	a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one (1) adult-sized cadaver?		□No
	b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0 % for the highest six minute average.	⊠ Yes	∐No
	d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	Ves	□No
3	Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standa		
٥.	is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the First and 00 standards	Yes	⊠No
	If yes, what reason?		<u></u>
	<b>~,</b>		
_			7
PA	ART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(check 🗹	only one
		box for each	question)
1		box for cacif	1 /
1.	Ware there any objectionable edges detected?	_	
	Were there any objectionable odors detected?  An unwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were:	Yes	∑No
	An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were:	Yes	
		_	
2.	An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were:  Downwind odor level detected-  Wind direction -  Upwind odor level detected-	Yes	
	An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were:  Downwind odor level detected-  Wind direction - Upwind odor level detected-  Continuous Monitoring Systems -	Yes	
	An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were:  Downwind odor level detected-  Wind direction -  Upwind odor level detected-	Yes	
a	An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were:  Downwind odor level detected- Wind direction - Upwind odor level detected-  Continuous Monitoring Systems —  Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	Yes (1-10)	⊠No
a	An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were:  Downwind odor level detected-  Wind direction - Upwind odor level detected-  Continuous Monitoring Systems -  Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?  Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence time at \( \sqrt{1},800^1 \) \( \sqrt{1},600^2 \) degrees was determined?	Yes (1-10)	⊠No
a	An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were:  Downwind odor level detected- Wind direction - Upwind odor level detected-  Continuous Monitoring Systems —  Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions? ————————————————————————————————————	<ul><li>☐ Yes</li><li>(1-10)</li><li>☑ Yes</li></ul>	∴.No

PA	ART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS (continued)		
c.	Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years?		
	1) All temperature measurements	Yes	□No
	2) all continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements; monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations	⊠ Yes	ПNо
	3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on ( )	⊠ Yes	□No
	4) Adjustments	Yes	□No
	5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	⊠ Yes	□No
	6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	⊠ Yes	∐No
d.	Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of	<b>□</b> • ·	
Д	when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings		∐No ⊠No
C.	(1) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatical		23140
	control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	Yes	□No
	(2) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity		
	exceeds 15% opacity?  (3) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in	∐ Yes	∐No
	accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	☐ Yes	□No
<b>P</b> /	ART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	(check 🗹	only one
1 1	TATIV. BECOMDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	box for each	•
1.	If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F		
	throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?		∐No
	b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the cremati process begins in the primary chamber?	Yes	□No
2.	If the application to construct <b>ON</b> or <b>AFTER</b> August 30, 1989 is the:		
	a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	⊠ Yes	ПNо
	throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than <b>1600°F</b> before the crematic		□N0
	process begins in the primary chamber?	⊠ Yes	□No
_			
D	ART V: ALLOWED MATERIALS	(check <b>☑</b>	only one
I F	ART V. ALLOWED MATERIALS	box for each	
			,
1.	Other than human or fetal remains with appropriate containers or clothing, are any materials,	□ Vag	⊠ No
	including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?	∐ Yes	⊠No
2.			
	Do cremation containers contain no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated	_	
	Do cremation containers contain no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer?	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No

		_	•			
PART VI: EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE		(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)			
1. Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the ma	nufacturer's specifications?	X Yes	□No			
2. Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating shutdown and malfunction?		<del>_</del>	□No			
3. Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame c	characteristics?	X Yes	□No			
If no, skip a. – b.  a. Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least on b. Was the flame adjusted when necessary?			□No □No			
DADELVII. EN INCIDENTION COMPLIANCE STATIS	(1 1 <b>1</b> 1 1 )					
PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS	(check 🗹 only one box)					
IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIA	NCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COM	PLIANCE				
Facility Section (continued)						
SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES		(check <b>v</b> box for each	•			
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone nun associated with a change in ownership or with a physical re operations comprising the facility; or any other similar mine	location of the facility or any emissions or administrative change at the facility?	ınits or Yes	⊠No			
2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30			□No			
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been		□ Vas	⊠ No			
a. Installation of any new process equipment?		Yes Yes	⊠No ⊠No			
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without	replacement?	Yes	⊠No			
c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipmen d. A change in ownership?			⊠No ⊠No			
If the any answer to 3a. – d. is Yes, was a new regist		_				
submitted 30 days prior to the change?		Yes	□No			
Ilka Bundy	10/20/2010					
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection					
	10/20/2011					
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next I	nspection				

**COMMENTS:** Ilka Bundy met with Bill Arlington, consultant, and Thomas Knight, facility R.O. on 10/20/2010, to audit the compliance test on the two human cremation units. The two units are Mathews IE43-SPP. The units are rated at 200 lbs/hr. The process rate, per the application, is 150 pounds of human remains per hour. No plastic containers are used during the cremation process. The operators check the opacity monitor every 2 weeks for accuracy. The flame is checked for visible characteristics during each cycle. Records are maintained on site for at least 5 years. Both units were charged with ~180 pound male bodies. The observed opacity for both units was zero percent. Thomas Knight stated he has a maintenance contract with Matthews Cremation. The units are serviced and checked regularly per the manufacturer's manual. The temperatures were checked to see if the digital panel and the strip chart were close. The eastmost unit's digital panel read 1750° F and the strip chart read ~1750° F. The westmost

unit's digital panel read 1748° F and the strip chart read ~1750° F. The Fluke 714 meter was not used during this inspection. The facilty appears to be in compliance with their permit conditions at this time.