| A AND |
|---------|
| FLORIDA |

HUMAN CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

| INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, RE-INSPECTION | | |
|--|--|----------------------|
| AIRS ID#: 0950276 DATE: <u>1/12/2007</u> FACILITY NAME: WOODLAWN MEMO | ARRIVE:7:50 AMDEPART:10;00 AMDRIAL PARK & FUNERAL HOME | |
| FACILITY LOCATION: 400 WOOD | DLAWN CEMETERY RD | |
| GOTHA 3 | 4734- | |
| RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: Les Dyer | PHONE: (407)293-1361 | |
| CONTACT NAME: Thomas Knight | PHONE: (407)293-9216 | |
| REMITTANCE YEAR: | ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 3/24/2005 / 3/24/2010 (effective date) (end date) | |
| PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE IN COMPLIANCE ININOR | Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE | |
| PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING</u> (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) | REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. | |
| 2. Was a visible emissions test conducte 62-297, F.A.C.)? | detected? Yes d during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter Yes wrce compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60 | ⊠ No □ No |
| days prior to the AGP Notification for (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 4. In order to demonstrate individual sou completed within 60 days prior to the | rm submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? The submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? The submission constraints applicable standards testing the AGP Notification form submission? (Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) Yes equal to or below the requirements of 100 parts per million by | □ No □No |
| volume, dry basis, corrected to 7% O 10 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)? b) Oxygen test performed according c) Particulate matter emissions test w | 2 on an hourly average basis and tested according to EPA Method The to EPA Method 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)? | □ No □ No |
| (Ref.: Chapter.62-297, F.A.C.)? | with the source operating at the manufacturers recommended | □ No □ No |
| 6. Was CO & PM compliance demonstra 7. Was the Department notified at least 1 8. Was the required test report filed with | ted by submission of a test report for an identical crematory unit? Yes 5 days prior to the date of the last formal compliance test? Yes the Department as soon as practical, but no longer than 45 days after Yes | ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No |

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))

| 1. Is there Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to record primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber of | |
|---|------------------------------|
| accordance with the manufacturer's instructions? | |
| a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed? | |
| b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the re | |
| measurements, maintenance, reports and records? | corunig of such |
| | ⊠Yes □ No |
| All measurements (including CEMS) Monitoring device | |
| | $\bigvee Yes \square No$ |
| 3) Performance Testing Measurements 4) CEMS Performance Evaluation | \boxtimes Yes \square No |
| | $\bigvee Yes \square No$ |
| 5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks | \boxtimes Yes \square No |
| 6) Adjustments | \bowtie Yes \square No |
| 7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices | Yes No |
| 8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices | Yes 🗌 No |
| 2. Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one ☑ box) | |
| a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4) | |
| b) ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4) | |
| 3. If constructed BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the: | |
| a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F? | Yes No |
| b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F | |
| throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? | Yes No |
| c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature | |
| is equal to or greater than 1400°F? | Yes No |
| d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to | |
| record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the | |
| secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions? | Yes No |
| · | |
| 4. If constructed <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the: | |
| a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence tir | ne |
| @ 1800° F? | 🛛 Yes 🗌 No |
| b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than $1600^{\circ}F$ | |
| throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? | 🛛 Yes 🗌 No |
| c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the crematic | |
| process begins in the primary chamber? | 🛛 Yes 🗌 No |
| 5. Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated | |
| plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies? | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No |
| a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that the | |
| are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of | f |
| their use and for at least two years after their use? | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No |
| b) Are there any other materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, FAC) incinerated at | |
| this location? | 🗌 Yes 🖾 No |
| 6. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program? | 🛛 Yes 🔲 No |
| a) Are copies of the training certificates for all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the du | ration |
| of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment? | 🛛 Yes 🗌 No |

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.

| A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u> | | |
|--|------------|----|
| 1. Since the last inspection has there been | | |
| a) installation of any new process equipment? | Yes | No |
| b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? | Yes | No |
| c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form? | Yes | No |
| d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete | | |
| notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or | | |
| local program office? | Yes | No |
| 2. If a crematory unit has been modified to the extent that a Department air construction permit | | _ |
| was required, have all operators been retrained to operate the modified unit? | Yes | No |
| 3. In the case of new or modified equipment, where a Department air construction permit was | _ | _ |
| required, has the owner submitted copies of all operator training certificates? | Yes | No |
| a) submitted within the 15 day required window following the training? | Yes | No |
| | | |

Ilka Bundy

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

1/12/2007 Date of Inspection

1/12/2008

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: The inspector, Ilka Bundy, met with Thomas Knight, Certified Crematory Operator, on 1/12/2007. The consultant, Bill Arlington from Arlington Environmental Services, Inc. was present for the compliance test. Crematory 1 is located on the east side of the building and crematory unit 2 is located west of unit 1. Both units were compliance tested during this inspection. Unit 1 was charged with a 177 pound body and unit 2 was charged with a 175 pound body for the tests. The inspector conducted a 30 minute VE while the consultant, Bill Arlington, conducted a 60 minute VE. Both crematory units were simultaneously tested. The inspector stayed for the duration of the compliance test.

Both cremation units were preheated to about 1675° F afterburner temperature before the test, and operated at 1600° F or higher during the test. The observed opacitiy for both units was zero percent.

Bodies cremated in both units were in cardboard boxes. No plastic containers are used at this facility. The training certificate for the operator, Thomas Knight, and other staff was available. The CEMS equipment was operating and maintenance records were available for review.