

## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) ⊠ COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) □					
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:					
<b>FACILITY:</b> Home Building Materials,	DISTRICT:				
DBA/Site Name: Carroll's Building Materials Southwest		Southwest			
		CONTACT PHONE:			
St. Petersburg, FL		727-822-3370			
ARMS NO:	PERMIT NO: Expiration Date: 2/3/2015				
1030280 001	1030280-006-AG	<b>Renewal Date:</b> 1/4/2015			
		<b>Test Date:</b> 11/30/2000			
EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION: Cement Silo controlled by a Stephens Model SV-170 Baghouse					
INSPECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check  only one box)				
2/23/2011	☐ In Compliance; ☐ Minor Non-Compliance; ☐ Significant Non-Compliance				
	PART I: General Review:				
1. Permit File Review		∑Yes ☐ No			
2. Introduction and Entry		⊠Yes □ No			
Comments: This inspection was performed in order to determine if facility has been operating within applicable regulations.  Mr. Kennedy (manager) was present during the facility inspection of the emission unit.					
3. <i>Is</i> the Authorized Representative sti		⊠Yes □ No			
Comments: Mark Carroll still the Aut					
4. Is the facility contact still Mark Car		⊠Yes □ No			
Comments: Mark Carroll still the faci	•				
5. If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]	ne facility provide an administrative update	within 30 days? Yes No			
	<u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296 ex(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would				
(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)  Compliance Demonstration					
1. New Facilities / New Process Equipment— (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? Yes No					
2. \( \subseteq \textbf{Existing Facilities} - \text{(permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits)} \) In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted on each dust collector exhaust point within 365 days (annually thereafter) of the previous visible emissions compliance test?\sum Yes \( \subseteq \text{No} \)					
Test Reports  3. Do the submitted visible emission tests demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent opacity limit?					
4. Was the department notified at least 15	days prior to the test? [62-297.310(4)(a)9. F.	A.C.]			
5. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? [62-297.310(8)(b)					
6. Was the facility visible emissions test(s) conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-297.401(9)(c), F.A.C] Yes					
7. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,					

DADT II. TESTING DEGLIDEMENTS Dula 62 206 414 E A C				
PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☐ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
	unless such rate is unachievable in practice? [62-296.414(3), F.A.C.]	X Yes	$\bigcap$ No	
	uness such rule is unuenterable in practice. [02 250.414(5), 1.11.0.]	<u> </u>		
	Are emissions from a weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer			
	to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 8.a) and 8.b) below. If answer is "No" then	□ v	N.	
	skip to question 9.)a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test? [62-296.414(3( $c$ )), F.A.C.]		No $No$	
	b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and	L Ies		
	duration? [62-296.414(3)(c), F.A.C.]	□ Yes	$\square$ No	
0				
	9. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector while batching			
	at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(d), F.A.C.]	□ Yes	$\bigcap$ No	
	•			
	10. Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according to EPA Method 9? Yes No			
	<ul> <li>a) The visible emission test resulted in an opacity ofn/a% for the highest six minute average.</li> <li>b) Did the test indicate the facility is operating in compliance with the 5% opacity standard?</li></ul>	$\square V_{ac}$	$\square$ No	
	b) Dia the test thatcute the facility is operating in compilance with the 37% opacity standard:	res		
	PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.310(5)(b), F.A			
	(check - appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)			
1.	Is this facility: 1) a 🛮 stationary; 2) a 🗌 relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, 🗋 stationary and relocatable	le		
	concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check $\Box$ only one box.)			
2.	For any combination of stationary or relocatable concrete batching plants, located with other concrete batching	gplants		
	or nonmetallic mineral processing plants:	, 1		
	a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.a., F.A.C.]	Yes	☐ No	
	b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to the fuel usages	_		
	listed below: [62-210.310(5)(b)4.b., F.A.C.]	\[ \textit{Yes}	$\square$ No	
	1) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel – usage equals gallons			
	2) 23,000 gallons of gasoline – usage equals gallons			
	3) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas – usage equals cubic feet 4) 1.3 million gallons of propane – usage equals gallons			
	4) 1.3 million gallons of propane — usage equals gallons 5) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite — usage equals % of all	fuels		
_		jucus		
3.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant submitting this registration maintain records to			
	account for site-wide fuel consumption for each calendar month and each consecutive twelve (12) months, and are these records available for Department inspection for a period of at least five (5) years?			
	[62-210.310(5)(b)4.d., F.A.C.]	☐ Yes	$\square$ No	
		163		
,	Relocation Notification - (Rule 61-210.310(5)(b)3.b., F.A.C.)			
1.	Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or	□ v	□ M-	
	stabilization?—(if your answer is YES, please proceed to 1. a) thru 1.b) below)a) Did the owner or operator notify the Department by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication	L Yes	□ No	
	at least one (1) business day prior to changing location?	\( \tag{Y}_{\rho}\)	$\square$ No	
	b) Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6))	103		
	to the Department no later than five (5) business days following a relocation?	Yes	$\square$ No	
	Married and the second of the			
2	If your answer to number 1. above is NO, proceed to 2. below  Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) at			
۷.	least five (5) business days prior to relocation?	Yes	$\square$ No	
	teasifyire (5) outsiness days prior to resocution.			
	PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u>			
	(check $\square$ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)			
1.	Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control			
	unconfined emissions	⊠ Yes	$\square$ No	
	Which of the following methods are used:			
	a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the followin	g:	_ ,,	
	1) Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	⊠ Yes	□ No	
	2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	⊠ Yes	$\square$ No	
	emissions?	🖂 1es	□ 100	
	re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	X Yes	$\bigcap$ No	

PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u>				
(check \( \preceq\) appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)  4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of				
particulate matter from stock piles?				
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Yes 🔲 No				
PART V: General Procedure Requirements and Conditions				
(check $\square$ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility Yes No  2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Yes No				
Permit Effective Period – [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.]  1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No				
2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 days prior to permit expiration?				
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership				
1. Since the last registration form submittal has there been $[62-210.310(2)(b)2]$				
a) installation of any new process equipment?				
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?				
recent notification form? 🗌 Yes 🛛 No				
d) Change in ownership $\square$ Yes $\boxtimes$ No If any of the answers to $1a - 1$ d is Yes, a new registration form and appropriate fee should				
have been submitted 30 days prior to the change No				
Noncompliance Notice: - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.]  1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable to comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the air general permit?				
PART VI: Comments				
<u>O&amp;M Plan</u>				
The pollution control equipment shall be operated and maintained in accordance to the operation and maintenance (O&M) plan. The O&M plan shall include, but is not limited to:  (1) Operating parameters of the pollution control device; (2) Time table for the routine maintenance of the pollution control device as specified by the manufacturer; (3) Time table for routine periodic observations of the pollution control device sufficient to ensure proper operation; (4) A list of the type and quantity of the required spare parts for the pollution control device which are stored on the premises of the permit applicant; (5) A record log which will indicate, at a minimum:  a. When maintenance and observations were performed; b. What maintenance and observations were performed; and c. Who performed said maintenance and observations. d. Acceptable parameter ranges for each operational check.  [Pinellas County Code, Subsection 58-128]				
Comments: Reviewed records for the months of 2/01/10 through 2/23/2011 indicated emission unit in compliance.				
Mr. Kennedy stated that their concrete business has been very sluggish lately. I was not able to perform visible emissions test,				

because no pneumatic loading of the silo at the time. I told Mr. Kennedy to let me known when they plan to pneumatic loading

the silo.	
Exit Interview: I informed Mr. Kennedy, that it appears to	he facility is in compliance.
Mike Ojo Thomas	
Inspector's Name	Date of Inspection
	2 400 V
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection
H:\users\wpdocs\airqual\Air_Compliance\AQI\	

4 of 3 Revised 01/05/06