



**CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**  
**COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST**



**INSPECTION TYPE:** ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) ☐ COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) ☐  
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ☐ ARMS COMPLAINT NO: \_\_\_\_\_

**AIRS ID#:** 1030280 001      **DATE:** 1/24/2007      **ARRIVE:** 1:00 PM      **DEPART:** 3:30 PM

**FACILITY NAME:** Home Building Materials, Inc.

**FACILITY LOCATION:** 2001 13th Avenue North

St. Petersburg, FL

**RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL:** James R. Carroll?

**PHONE:** 727-822-3370

**CONTACT NAME:** James R. Carroll?

**PHONE:** 727-822-3370

**REMITTANCE YEAR:** N/A      **ENTITLEMENT PERIOD:** 1/30/2005 / 1/30/2010  
(effective date) (end date)

**PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS** (check ☒ only one box)

☐ IN COMPLIANCE      ☒ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE      ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

**PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.**

(check ☒ appropriate box(es))

**Stack Emissions**

1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?----- ☐ Yes ☒ No
2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?----- ☒ Yes ☐ No
3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice?----- ☒ Yes ☐ No
4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.)----- ☐ Yes ☐ No
  - a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test?----- ☐ Yes ☐ No
  - b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration?----- ☐ Yes ☐ No
5. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?----- ☐ Yes ☒ No

**PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)**

(check ☒ appropriate box(es))

**Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)**

1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)----- ☒ Yes ☐ No

**New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)**

2. Did this facility demonstrate:
- a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?----- ☐ Yes ☐ No
- b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?----- ☐ Yes ☐ No

**Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)**

3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60 days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?----- ☒ Yes ☐ No

**Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)**

4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed?----- ☒ Yes ☐ No

**PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.**

(check ☒ appropriate box(es))

1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (*Please check ☒ only one box.*)
2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (*If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.*)----- ☐ Yes ☒ No
- a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?----- ☐ Yes ☒ No
- b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per calendar year?----- ☐ Yes ☐ No
- c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?----- ☐ Yes ☐ No
- d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less?----- ☐ Yes ☐ No
3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for:
- a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis?----- ☐ Yes ☐ No
- b) material processed on a monthly basis?----- ☐ Yes ☐ No
- c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?----- ☐ Yes ☐ No

**PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)**

(check ☒ appropriate box(es))

**Unconfined Emissions** – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
- a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:
    - 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?----- ☒ Yes ☐ No
    - 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?----- ☒ Yes ☐ No
    - 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?----- ☒ Yes ☐ No
    - 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?----- ☒ Yes ☐ No
  - b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?----- ☒ Yes ☐ No

**PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.**

**A. New or Modified Process Equipment**

1. Since the last inspection has there been
- a) installation of any new process equipment?----- ☐ Yes ☒ No
  - b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?----- ☐ Yes ☒ No
  - c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?----- ☐ Yes ☒ No
  - d) If you answered **YES** to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or local program office?----- ☐ Yes ☐ No

Mike Ojo Thomas

1/24/07

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Date of Inspection

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:** I reviewed records for the months of 3/1/06 through 1/24/07. I looked over their O&M plan onsite, revealed Home Building Materials, Incorporated failed to perform the visual checks during silo filling in numerous occasions in accordance with the O&M Plan. I showed Mr. Carroll the O&M plan on file. I asked Mr. Carroll as to why the visual checks during silo filling were not recorded nor performed in numerous occasions. He stated he performs visual checks during silo filling, but did not document & record inspection always. The log did not show monthly inspections. The source did not have O&M logs for months as follows: June, July, August 2006 and December 2006. The monthly inspections checks were not perform for June, July, August 2006 and December 2006. I informed Mr. Carroll, this was a possible violation and there was a possibility of penalties.



# CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT

<b>FACILITY:</b> Home Building Materials, Inc. Carroll's Building Materials		<b>Per_ID:</b> 389	<b>DISTRICT:</b> Southwest
<b>ADDRESS:</b> 2001 13th Avenue North St. Petersburg, FL		<b>CONTACT:</b> James Carroll Phone No: 727-822-3370	
<b>ARMS No.:</b> 1030280 001	<b>PERMIT NO.:</b> 1030280-005-AG	<b>EXPIRATION DATE:</b> 1/30/2010	
<b>EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION:</b> Cement Silo controlled by a Stephens Model SV-170 Baghouse			
<b>INSPECTION DATE:</b> 1/24/07	<b>ARMS INSPECTION TYPE:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> INS2 or <input type="checkbox"/> INS_____	<b>COMPLIANCE STATUS:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> IN <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MNC <input type="checkbox"/> SNC	
Type of Inspection: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Initial <input type="checkbox"/> Re-inspection <input type="checkbox"/> Complaint <input type="checkbox"/> Drive-by <input type="checkbox"/> Quarterly			
<b>A. General Review:</b>			
1.	Permit File Review		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
2.	Introduction and Entry		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Comments:</b> This inspection was performed in order to determine if this facility has been operating within applicable regulations. Mr. Carroll (owner) was present during the facility inspection of the emission unit.			
3.	<b>Is the Authorized Representative still: <u>James R. Carroll?</u></b> <b>Comments:</b> Mr. Carroll still Authorized Representative.		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
4.	<b>Is the facility contact still: James R. Carroll?</b> <b>Comments:</b> Mr. Carroll still the facility contact.		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

I N C	M N C	S N C	
<b>B. Specific Conditions</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The owner or operator of any relocatable concrete batching plant proposing to change location shall submit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP Form No.62-21 0.900(6)) to the Department at least 30 days prior to relocation; [62-210.300(4)(c)2.c. , F.A.C.]  <b>Comments:</b> This facility is not a relocatable concrete batch plant.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The owner or operator of a stationary concrete batching plant using an air general permit may operate, or allow the operation of, one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location as the concrete batching plant provided the resultant facility contains no additional nonexempt units, the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants is less than 240,000 gallons per calendar year, the material processed is less than 10 million tons per calendar year, and the fuel oil sulfur content does not exceed 0.5%, by weight. The owner or operator of the concrete batching plant shall maintain a log book to account for fuel consumption and material processed on a monthly basis. Fuel supplier certifications shall be maintained to account for the sulfur content of the fuel being bummed. [62-210.300(4)(c)2.e., F.A.C.]  <b>Comments:</b> The facility does not operate operated a nonmetallic mineral processing plant on-site under general. _____n/a_____. Reviewed the records for the months of _____n/a_____, _____n/a_____, and _____n/a_____. The maximum 12 month totals of _____n/a_____gallons/year of fuel and _____n/a_____tons/year of material processed. Pressure relief pop-up valve(s) – is there a accumulation of cement around the pop-off valves? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Silo housings & duct work – are there any apparent leaks? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

I N C	M N C	S N C	B. Specific Conditions
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Unconfined Emissions. The owner or operator shall take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions from hoppers, storage and conveying equipment, conveyor drop points, truck loading and unloading, roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards as required by Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C. For concrete batching plants the following shall constitute reasonable precautions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, and yards.</li> <li>2. Application of water or environmentally safe dust- suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions.</li> <li>3. Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner or operator to mitigate re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter.</li> <li>4. Reduction of stock pile height or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles.</li> </ul> </li> <li>(b) Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck.</li> </ul> <p>[62-296.414(2)]</p> <p><i>Comments: The yard was wet; there were no unconfined emissions, from site activity at the time of the inspection.</i></p>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Visible emissions tests of silo dust collector exhaust points shall be conducted while loading the silo at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate. The minimum loading rate shall be 25 tons per hour unless such rate is unachievable in practice. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are also controlled by the silo dust collector, the batching operation shall be in operation during the visible emissions test. The batching rate during the emissions test shall be representative of the normal batching rate and duration. Each test report shall state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing and, if applicable, whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing. [62-296.414(3)(c), F.A.C.]</p> <p><i>Comments: The last test, on 11/30/07, was conducted at a process rate of 25 tph. Based on that test, the facility process rate was limited to 25 tph.</i></p>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which is separate from the silo dust collector, visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector exhaust point shall be conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration. Each test report shall state the actual batching rate during emissions testing. [62-296.414(3)(d), F.A.C.]</p> <p><i>Comments: Emissions from the weigh hopper are not controlled by a separate dust collector. A separate test n/a was n/a was not conducted at the appropriate rate.</i></p>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Each dust collector exhaust point shall be tested annually. New facilities permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits, shall demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation, and annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date. Existing facilities permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits, shall demonstrate compliance within 60 days prior to submitting an air general permit notification form and within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date. [62-296.414(4), F.A.C.]</p> <p><i>Comments: The test should be completed between 10/30/06 and 12/30/06. The last test was conducted on 11/30/06, and the test results were submitted on 12/04/06.</i></p>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Test Reports. - The required test report shall be filed with the PCDEM as soon as practical but no later than 45 days after the test is completed. [Rules 62-213.440 and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.]</p> <p><i>Comments: The last test was conducted on 11/30/06, and the test results were submitted on 12/04/06.</i></p>

I N C	M N C	S N C	
<b>B. Specific Conditions</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>The pollution control equipment shall be operated and maintained in accordance to the operation and maintenance (O&amp;M) plan. The O&amp;M plan shall include, but is not limited to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) Operating parameters of the pollution control device;</li> <li>(2) Time table for the routine maintenance of the pollution control device as specified by the manufacturer;</li> <li>(3) Time table for routine periodic observations of the pollution control device sufficient to ensure proper operation;</li> <li>(4) A list of the type and quantity of the required spare parts for the pollution control device which are stored on the premises of the permit applicant;</li> <li>(5) A record log which will indicate, at a minimum:               <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. When maintenance and observations were performed;</li> <li>b. What maintenance and observations were performed; and</li> <li>c. Who performed said maintenance and observations.</li> <li>d. Acceptable parameter ranges for each operational check.</li> </ol> </li> </ol> <p>[Pinellas County Code, Subsection 58-128]</p> <p><i>Comments: I reviewed records for the months of 3/1/06 through 1/24/07. I looked over their O&amp;M plan onsite, revealed Home Building Materials, Incorporated failed to perform the visual checks during silo filling in numerous occasions in accordance with the O&amp;M Plan. The visual checks during filling log did not show for months as follows: January, February, May, June, July, August, November, and December 2006. I inquired as to how many times he pneumatic loading silo for 2006. Mr. Carroll stated the silo was pneumatically loaded 203 times in 2006. I showed Mr. Carroll the O&amp;M plan on file. I asked Mr. Carroll as to why the visual checks during silo filling were not recorded nor performed in numerous occasions. He stated he performs visual checks during silo filling, but did not document &amp; record inspection always. Also the monthly inspections checks were not perform for June, July, August 2006 and December 2006. See attached O&amp;M record sheets.</i></p>
<b>C. General Procedure Requirements and Conditions</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Administrative Corrections. Within 30 days of any changes requiring corrections to information contained in the notification form, the owner or operator shall notify the Department in writing. Such changes shall include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Any change in the name of the authorized representative or facility address or phone number; or</li> <li>b. Any other similar minor administrative change at the facility or emissions unit.</li> </ol> <p>[62-210.300(4)(d)3., F.A.C.]</p> <p><i>Comments: Not applicable at this time.</i></p>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Equipment Changes. In case of the installation of new process equipment, alteration of existing process equipment without replacement, or the replacement of existing process equipment with equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form, the owner or operator shall submit a new and complete general permit notification form with the appropriate fee pursuant to Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C., to the Department.</p> <p>[62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.]</p> <p><i>Comments: Not applicable at this time.</i></p>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>A permittee's use of a general permit is limited to five years. No later than 30 days prior to the fifth anniversary of the filing of intent to use the general permit, the owner or operator shall submit a new notice of intent which shall contain all current information regarding the facility or emissions unit. Eligibility to use the general permit is not transferable and does not follow a change in ownership of the facility or emissions unit. Prior to any sale, other change of ownership, or permanent shutdown of the facility, the owner or operator is encouraged to notify the Department of the pending action. The owner shall remain liable for corrective actions that may be required as a result of any violations occurring in the time after the sale or legal transfer of the facility or emissions unit, but before a new owner is entitled to use an air general permit.</p> <p>[General Conditions - 62-210.300(4)(e)1., F.A.C.]</p> <p><i>Comments: The permit expires on 1/30/2010. A new notification form is required to be submitted no later than 12/1/2009.</i></p>
<b>D. Other:</b>			

**Pollution Prevention Activities Pollution Prevention Activities**

➤ P2 Handouts Provided: ☐ P2 Brochure; ☐ P2 Manual; ☐ P2 Checklist

➤ Have any emissions reductions occurred ☐ Yes / ☐ No \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Chemical Substitution; ☐ Equipment Changes; ☐ Process Changes

☐ Chemical/Material Reuse; ☐ On-site Recycling; ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**Comments:**

Closing Conference

☒ Yes ☐ No

**Comments:** *I informed Mr. Carroll, this was a possible violation and there was a possibility of penalties.*

Other Comments: *I explained to Mr. Carroll that our office would review the apparent violations for the purpose of determines if enforcement action, including financial penalties is appropriate. I told him there is a high probability a Notice of Violation will be issued.*

**Inspector(s):** Mike Ojo Thomas, Pinellas County, Air Quality Division

**Signature(s)**

Date: 2/5/07

**CONTACT LOG?** \_\_Yes\_\_, **ACCESS?** \_Yes\_\_, **ARMs?** \_\_Yes\_\_

[H:\users\wpdocs\airqual\Air\\_Compliance\AQI\1030280 001 59089.doc](H:\users\wpdocs\airqual\Air_Compliance\AQI\1030280 001 59089.doc)