

## **HUMAN CREMATORY**



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOV	· / —	
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT I	NO:	
AIRS ID#: 0210056 DA	TE: <u>02/24/09</u>	ARRIVE: <u>10:35</u>	DEPART: <u>11:45</u>	
FACILITY NAME: FU	LLER FUNERAL HOME			
FACILITY LOCATION	1625 Pine Ridge Road			
	NAPLES 34109			
OWNER/AUTHORIZE	D REPRESENTATIVE: MIC	CHAEL FULLER PHO	<b>NE:</b> (239)592-1611	
CONTACT NAME:		РНО	NE:	
ENTITLEMENT PERIO	<b>OD:</b> 7/29/2007 / 7/29/2012 (effective date) (end date)	2		
IN COMPLIANO	CE MINOR Non-COM	PLIANCE SIGNIFIC	CANT Non-COMPLIANCE	E
PART II: TESTING/RE	CORDKEEPING REOUIRE	MENTS – Rule 62-296.401,	F.A.C.	
(check <b>☑</b> appropriat				
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriat</li> <li>Were there any ob</li> <li>Was a visible emis</li> </ol>	te box(es))  ojectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this	s site visit according to EPA	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter	☐ Yes ⊠ No
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriat</li> <li>Were there any ob</li> <li>Was a visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)?-</li> <li>In order to demons days prior to the A</li> </ol>	te box(es))  ojectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this strate individual source complia AGP Notification form submission	s site visit according to EPA lance, was an annual visible enon, and within 60 days prior	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter	Yes □ No
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriat</li> <li>Were there any ob</li> <li>Was a visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)?-</li> <li>In order to demons days prior to the A (Rule 62-296.401)</li> <li>In order to demons or the feature of the feature</li></ol>	te box(es))  ojectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this strate individual source complia AGP Notification form submission (5)(i), F.A.C.)	nce, was an annual visible enon, and within 60 days prior	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date?	Yes □ No
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriat</li> <li>Were there any ob</li> <li>Was a visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)?-</li> <li>In order to demondays prior to the A (Rule 62-296.401)</li> <li>In order to demondays prior to demondays prio</li></ol>	te box(es))  pjectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this strate individual source complia AGP Notification form submission (5)(i), F.A.C.) strate individual source complia 60 days prior to the AGP Notificide (CO) emissions equal to or	nce, was an annual visible enon, and within 60 days prior nce were the remaining application form submission? (Rubelow the requirements of 10	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? cable standards testing ale 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) oparts per million by	Yes □ No
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriat</li> <li>Were there any ob</li> <li>Was a visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)?-</li> <li>In order to demons days prior to the A (Rule 62-296.401)</li> <li>In order to demons completed within a) Carbon Monox volume, dry basis, 10 (Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test per completed within the completed within the completed within a)</li> </ol>	te box(es))  ojectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this strate individual source complia AGP Notification form submissi (5)(i), F.A.C.) strate individual source complia 1 60 days prior to the AGP Notif	nce, was an annual visible en on, and within 60 days prior nce were the remaining applitication form submission? (Rubelow the requirements of 10 ly average basis and tested action of 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297,	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter  nissions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date?  cable standards testing ale 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) oparts per million by coording to EPA Method  F.A.C.)?	
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate)</li> <li>Were there any obenominates</li> <li>Was a visible emission of the enission of the enissi</li></ol>	pjectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this strate individual source complia AGP Notification form submissi (5)(i), F.A.C.) strate individual source complia 60 days prior to the AGP Notification form submissi (60 days prior to the AGP Notification (CO) emissions equal to or , corrected to 7% O <sub>2</sub> on an hour 62-297, F.A.C.)? erformed according to EPA Metiter emissions test with results ence foot (ft³) of flue gas, corrected -297, F.A.C.)?	nce, was an annual visible enon, and within 60 days prior nce were the remaining applification form submission? (Rubelow the requirements of 10 ly average basis and tested achod 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, qual to O <sub>2</sub> and tested according	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter  missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date?  cable standards testing ale 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) O parts per million by coording to EPA Method  F.A.C.)? ments of 0.080 grains per g to EPA Method 5	<ul> <li>Yes  No</li> <li>Yes  No</li> <li>Yes  No</li> <li>Yes  No</li> <li>Yes  No</li> <li>Yes  No</li> <li>No</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriated)</li> <li>Were there any obenomes</li> <li>Was a visible emission of 62-297, F.A.C.)?</li> <li>In order to demonst days prior to the Acquain of Rule 62-296.4010</li> <li>In order to demonst completed within a) Carbon Monost volume, dry basis, 10 (Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test per c) Particulate mat dry standard cubic (Ref.: Chapter.62-5. Was all emissions capacity?</li></ol>	te box(es))  ojectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this strate individual source complia AGP Notification form submission (5)(i), F.A.C.) strate individual source complia 60 days prior to the AGP Notification (CO) emissions equal to or 1, corrected to 7% O <sub>2</sub> on an hour 162-297, F.A.C.)? erformed according to EPA Metiter emissions test with results en 15 foot (ft³) of flue gas, corrected	nce, was an annual visible en on, and within 60 days prior on the were the remaining application form submission? (Rubelow the requirements of 10 ly average basis and tested action of the submission? (Rubelow the requirements of 10 ly average basis and tested action of 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, qual to or below the requirement of 7% O <sub>2</sub> and tested according to the cooperating at the manufactures of a test report for an	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter missions test conducted 60 to each anniversary date? cable standards testing ale 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) oparts per million by ecording to EPA Method F.A.C.)? nents of 0.080 grains per g to EPA Method 5 urers recommended	Yes No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
1. Is there <b>Continuous Emissions Monitoring System</b> (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to record	temperatures in the
primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber co	
accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?	
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the re	
measurements, maintenance, reports and records?	cording or such
1) All measurements (including CEMS)	⊠Yes □ No
2) Monitoring device	☐Yes ☐ No
3) Performance Testing Measurements	
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation	
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks	
6) Adjustments	
7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	
8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	Yes No
2. Was this crematory unit constructed: ( <b>check only one ☑ box</b> )	
a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4)	
b) or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4)	
3. If constructed <b>BEFORE</b> August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ <b>1600</b> °F?	☐Yes ☐ No
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	☐Yes ☐ No
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature	
is equal to or greater than 1400°F?	☐Yes ☐ No
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to	
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the	
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions?	☐Yes ☐ No
4. If constructed <b>ON</b> or <b>AFTER</b> August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence time.	ne
@ 1800° F?	⊠Yes □ No
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	⊠Yes □ No
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than <b>1600°F</b> before the crematic	
process begins in the primary chamber?	MYes ☐ No
	M 1 es ☐ No
5. Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies?	⊠v <sub>as</sub> □ v <sub>a</sub>
	∑Yes ☐ No
a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that the	
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of	
their use and for at least two years after their use?	□Yes ⊠ No
b) Are there any other materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, FAC) incinerated at	
this location?	∐Yes ⊠ No
6. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program?	⊠Yes □ No
a) Are copies of the training certificates for all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the du	
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment?	⊠Yes ∐ No

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDU A. New or Modified Process Equipment	<u>RES</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.	
1. Since the last inspection has there been  a) installation of any new process equipment?		
<ul> <li>d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, dinotification form and appropriate fee (Rule of local program office?</li></ul>	52-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or  The second of	
Wayne Lewis	02/24/09	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
	02/24/10	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	
COMMENTS:		