

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: A	NNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVER	Y (CI)
RI	E-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	
AIRS ID#: 0250671 DATE	: <u>5/7/09</u>	ARRIVE: <u>11:45 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>2:05 PM</u>
FACILITY NAME: CONT	INENTAL FLORIDA MAT	. WEST PLANT	
FACILITY LOCATION:	2000 NW 110TH AVE		
	MIAMI 33172		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED F	REPRESENTATIVE: JAC	CK RAIMONDI PHONE:	(954)858-0788
CONTACT NAME:		PHONE:	
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD	: 7/29/2007 / 7/29/2012 (effective date) (end date)	2	
PART I: INSPECTION CO	OMPLIANCE STATUS (cl	heck d only one box)	
IN COMPLIANCE	MINOR Non-COM	PLIANCE SIGNIFICAN	Γ Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II: TESTING/RECO		<u>MENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A	.C.
Stack Emissions			
1. Were visible emission 62-297, F.A.C.)?	ns tests conducted during this	s site visit according to EPA Meth	nod 9 (Ref.: Chapter
2. Are emissions from s	silos, weigh hoppers (batchers	s), and other enclosed storage and	
3. During visible emissi	ions tests of the silo dust colle	ector exhaust points was the load	ing of the silo conducted
unless such rate is un	achievable in practice?		\ \ Yes \ \ No
		peration controlled by the silo dustions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answ	
skip 4.a) and 4.b) and	d continue on to question 5.)-		
b) During the visible	e emissions test, was the batch	hing rate representative of the nor	
5. If emissions from the	weigh hopper (batcher) oper	ration are controlled by a dust col	lector, which is separate
		ons tests of the weigh hopper (bat tative of the normal batching rate	e and duration? Yes No

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of t annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	□Yes □ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	le 🗌
 (check ppropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ; 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check Donly one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing □Yes ⊠ No □Yes ⊠ No
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing

	·+			
NTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (co.	ntinuea)			
e reasonable precautions to control unconfined				
r				
ards, which shall include one or more of the follow	ving:			
ck piles, and yards? 🔲	Yes No			
ppressant chemicals when necessary to control				
🔯				
paved areas under control of the owner/operator to	-			
reduce airborne particulate matter? 🏻 🖂	Yes 🗌 No			
d breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	_			
🔯	Yes No			
emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes No			
- 1. (2.210.200(4)(4)) / E A C				
ale 62-210.500(4)(u)4., r.A.C.				
	Yes No			
	Yes No			
rent than that noted on the most				
[]	Yes 🛛 No			
ner submit a new and complete	<u> </u>			
, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or				
local program office?				
5/7/2009				
Date of Inspection				
5/2010				
Approximate Date of Next Inspection				
COLLECTORS WERE TESTED BY RYAN PET	TERSON OF			
COLLECTORS WERE TESTED BY RYAN PET RE LOADED AT 12 PSI. I DID NOT OBSERVE				
e u c u c u c u c u c u c u c u c u c u	reasonable precautions to control unconfined ards, which shall include one or more of the follow ck piles, and yards?			

I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY FUGITIVE PARTICULATES AROUND THE FACILITY.