NUMERICAL PROTECTION
Some Carte
FLORIDA

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVE ARMS COMPLAINT NO		
AIRS ID#: 0250671 DA	TE: <u>9/4/2008</u> DNTINENTAL FLORIDA MAT.	ARRIVE: <u>11:02 AM</u> WEST PLANT	DEPART: <u>11:20 AM</u>	
FACILITY LOCATION				
OWNER/AUTHORIZE CONTACT NAME: ENTITLEMENT PERIO	D REPRESENTATIVE: JAC OD: 7/29/2007 / 7/29/2012 (effective date) (end date)	PHONI	E: (954)858-0788 E:	
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box) IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
 (check ☑ appropriat Stack Emissions Were visible emissions for controlled to the edition During visible emissions from controlled to the edition During visible emissions from the silo dust 	sions tests conducted during this m silos, weigh hoppers (batchers extent necessary to limit visible e dissions tests of the silo dust collectores entative of the normal silo los sunachievable in practice?	site visit according to EPA Me b), and other enclosed storage a missions to 5 percent opacity? ector exhaust points was the loa ading rate, or at least at the mir eration controlled by the silo d tions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If any the visible emissions test?	ethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter 	

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)
(check 🗹 appropriate box(es)
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)
2. Did this facility demonstrate:
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? [Yes] No
 Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Xest Complexity and the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?
 Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? ∑Yes No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(check \mathbf{M} appropriate box(es))
1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ⊠; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ⊠only one box.</i>)

2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing		
plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (If your answer to this question is		
then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d),) below.)		
a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	🗌 Yes 🖾 No	
b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons	per	
calendar year?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No	
c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No	
d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less?	Yes No	
3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for	r:	
a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis?		
b) material processed on a monthly basis?		
c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?	Yes No	

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
 - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1)	paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? XYes No
	2)	application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control
		emissions? 🖾 Yes 🗌 No
	3)	removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to
		re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? XYes No
	4)	reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of
		particulate matter from stock piles? 🖾 Yes 🗌 No
b)	use	e of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Xes No

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>

1. S	ince the last inspection has there been		
	a) installation of any new process equipment?	Yes	No
	b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	☐ Yes	
	c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most		
	recent notification form?	Yes	No.
	d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete	103	
	notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or		
		□Yes	
	local program office?		

FRANK DELGADO

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Date of Inspection

9/2009

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

9/4/2008

COMMENTS: ON SEPTEMBER 4, 2008 AT 11:02 A.M., MARUF MALLIK AND I VISITED THIS FACILITY TO CONDUCT THE ANNUAL COMPLIANCE INSPECTION. THE VISIBLE EMISSIONS TEST WAS CONDUCTED ON APRIL 2 & 25, 2008. THE FACILITY WAS NOT OPERATIONAL AT THE TIME OF THE INSPECTION. BUSINESS IS SLOW. WE DID NOT OBSERVE ANY FUGITIVE PARTICULATES AROUND THE FACILITY.