

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE :	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCO	VERY (CI)	
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT	NO:	
AIRS ID#: 7775011 DA	TE: <u>09/24/09</u>	ARRIVE: <u>08:10</u>	DEPART: <u>09:15</u>	
FACILITY NAME: ALICO RD RM FACILITY				
FACILITY LOCATION: 15200 ALICO RD				
	FT MYERS 33913	3		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JASON JONES PHONE: (813)269-1240				
CONTACT NAME:		РНО	NE:	
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 10/12/2008 / 10/12/2013				
	(effective date) (end dat	e)		
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box)				
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
MINOR Non-comi Liance Significant non-comi Liance				
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))				
Stack Emissions				
1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter				
62-297, F.A.C.)?				
controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?				
at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice?				
4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then				
skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.) Yes No				
a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test?				
		operation are controlled by a dus	rest collector, which is separate	
from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? Yes No				

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)					
(check \square appropriate box(es))					
(check is appropriate box(cs))					
<u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)					
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined					
emissions by:					
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:					
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?					
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to contro					
	\(\text{Yes}\) \(\text{No}\)				
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and	other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to				
	eas to reduce airborne particulate matter? \big Yes \big No				
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation					
	itigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? \overline Yes \overline No				
1					
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDUR	<u>ES</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.				
A. New or Modified Process Equipment					
1. Since the last inspection has there been					
a) installation of any new process equipment?					
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? \Boxed Yes					
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most					
recent notification form? Yes					
d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete					
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62	-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or				
local program office?	Yes No				
2 0					
Wayne Lewis	09/24/09				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
	•				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection				
COMMENTS: Facility getting ready for limited start-up	Silo 2 was not filled and still needs testing No batching yet				