

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

| INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS RE-INSPECTION (FU | · — | DISCOVERY (CI) | | | | | |
|---|--|--|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| AIRS ID#: 7775010 DATE: 11-04-11 | ARRIVE: | DEPART: _ | | | | | |
| FACILITY NAME: MONROE CONCRETE PROD-ROCKLAND KEY | | | | | | | |
| FACILITY LOCATION: US HWY #1 | | | | | | | |
| ROCKLAND R | ΚΕΥ 33041- | | | | | | |
| | TE: RICHARD TOPPINO 7/13/2013 end date) | PHONE: (305)296-5606 Mobile: (305)296-9934 PHONE: Mobile: | | | | | |
| Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) | | | | | | | |
| IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE | | | | | | | |
| PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEET | TING | | (check 🗹 only one | | | | |
| Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>Richard</u> | l Toppino | | box for each question) | | | | |
| Brief Notes: | | | | | | | |
| 2. Is the Authorized Representative still RICHA If no, who is?: | RD TOPPINO? | | ⊠ Yes □No | | | | |
| If different, did the facility provide an administration of the facility contact still? | strative update within 30 days | ? | ☐ Yes ☐No ☐ Yes ☐No | | | | |
| 4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during to If yes, was the compliance authority notified a | | | ∑ Yes | | | | |

Emissions Unit Section 2 – CCB Plant-silo# 2 (flyash)w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

| PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION | | | | | |
|---|---------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Date of last inspection: 06-24-11 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? | Yes | ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No | | | |
| | | | | | |
| PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| <u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> | | | | | |
| Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards | | | | | |
| Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by: | ned | | | | |
| a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? | | ☐ No | | | |
| control emissions? | X Yes | ☐ No | | | |
| 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the | | | | | |
| owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? | X Yes | ☐ No | | | |
| 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? | 🛚 Yes | ☐ No | | | |
| b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? | - X Yes | ☐ No | | | |
| 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: | | | | | |
| a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? | Yes | ☐ No | | | |
| b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? | Yes | ☐ No | | | |

Facility Section (continued)

| <u>C(</u> | ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY | | | only one question) |
|-----------|---|------------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| 1. | Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? | \boxtimes | Yes | ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No |
| 2. | Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? | | Yes | ⊠ No |
| | b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? | | Yes | ⊠ No |
| 3. | Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? | - - - | Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes | No No No No No No No |
| | gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared | <u>ane/yr</u> ie/yr | <u>≤</u> 1.00 | ? |
| 4. | Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years? | | Yes | ☐ No |
| Gl | ENERAL CONDITIONS | | | only one question) |
| 1. | Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices? | 🗆 | Yes | ⊠ No |
| 2. | Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? | - 🖂 | Yes | ☐ No |
| 3 | b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit? | | Yes | ☐ No |
| | to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general | | Ves | □ No |

| RELOCATABLE PLANT: | (check ☑ only one | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| 1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both s concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If</i> | | | |
| 2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? (If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.) | | | |
| a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or I e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day p b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification | orior to changing location? Yes No | | |
| to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busine c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifica | ss days following a relocation? Yes No | | |
| to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five | | | |
| 3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate a and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purp If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it | in that separate permit: oose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? Yes No | | |
| co-located at the permitted facility? | Yes No | | |
| CHANGES | | | |
| Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of t associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation | | | |
| operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? Yes No 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? Yes No New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been | | | |
| a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substated. A change in ownership? | | | |
| 4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registratio 30 days prior to the change? | | | |
| | | | |
| Barbara Nevins | 11-04-2011 | | |
| Inspector's Name (Please Print) | Date of Inspection | | |
| Barbara Nevins | 11-04-2012 | | |
| Inspector's Signature | Approximate Date of Next Inspection | | |

COMMENTS: During the June 24, 2011, inspection, VE tests were done on all EUs except EU 002, the flyash silo. Today's inspection included VE test of EU 002 scheduled by the consultant Arlington Environmental. The consultant's report has not yet been submitted. I also performed a VE test at the same time. The silo was filled in 28 minutes with the tanker pumping at the rate 34.5 TPH at 8 psi. Zero emissions were observed.