	-	NON WOTECTION	10	
4	O State	VA	beal	
	FLO	RIDA		

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:						
AIRS ID#: 7775010 DATE: 3-25-08 ARRIVE: 0830 hrs DEPART:						
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check Ø only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☑ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☑ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.						
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?						

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (<i>continued</i>) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
 <u>Compliance Demonstration</u> - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.) 	
 New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form 	Yes No
 Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to 	
 the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? 	

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ⊠; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (*Please check ⊠only one box.*)

2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> ,				
	🗌 Yes 🖾 No			
a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	□Yes □ No			
b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per				
calendar year?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No			
c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No			
d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less?	Yes No			
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for:				
	Yes No			
b) material processed on a monthly basis?	Yes 🗍 No			
c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?	Yes No			
	 plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d</i>),) <i>below.</i>)			

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

<u>Unconfined Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
 - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

1)) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? \square \square Yes \square No	
2)) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control	
	emissions?	
3)) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to	
	re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? XYes No	
4)) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	
	particulate matter from stock piles? Xest No	
	∇a of among how should an above to mitigate amigging at the draw point to the two a^2 ∇V_{aa} ∇V_{ab}	

b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?----- 🛛 Yes 🗌 No

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment?----- b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?----- C) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?------ C) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or local program office?-----

Barbara Nevins

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Barbara Nevinos

Inspector's Signature

3-25-08

Date of Inspection

4-25-08

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: Installation of an additional concrete silo was planned. Mr. Richard Toppino said that within 30 days, he would submit an application for modification of the existing GP, adding the additional silo. The new silo was already erected but not yet connected to the system. The silo was not in use. I will return in about a month for a follow-up inspection and to witness a VE test of the new silo.