|       | WEITUL PROTECTION |  |
|-------|-------------------|--|
| NG.BI | 1 Car             |  |
| E FI  | ORIDA             |  |
|       |                   |  |

**CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT** 



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

| INSPECTION TYPE:       ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)       COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (         RE-INSPECTION (FUI)       ARMS COMPLAINT NO:  | CI)                                      |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
| AIRS ID#: 0210092 DATE: <u>12/22/11</u> ARRIVE: <u>7:30 am</u>   | DEPART: <u>8:30 am</u>                   |  |  |
| FACILITY NAME: GULFSIDE CONST & EQUIPMENT  |  |  |  |
| FACILITY LOCATION: 4303 Exchange Avenue  |  |  |  |
| NAPLES 33942-  |  |  |  |
| OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE:       JOHN COSTELLO       PHONE:       (2)         Email:       Mobile:       PHONE:       Mobile:         CONTACT NAME:       PHONE:       Mobile:         Email:       9/22/2006       / 9/22/2011       Facility may be operating with operating w | 239)643-7700<br>Dut Entitlement!         |  |  |
| Facility Section         PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box)         IN COMPLIANCE       MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE         SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE       SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE  |  |  |  |
| PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING   |  |  |  |
| 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s):  | (check ☑ only one box for each question) |  |  |
| Brief Notes:   |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Is the Authorized Representative still JOHN COSTELLO?</li> <li>If no, who is?:</li> </ol>   | XesNo                                    |  |  |
| If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days?<br>3. Is the facility contact still ?<br>If no, who is?:   |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?</li> <li>If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 days in advance?</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## **Emissions Unit Section** <u>1 – Concrete batch plant baghouse subject to 5% Opacity Limit</u>

| PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>  | (check 🗹<br>box for each | only one question) |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------|
| 1. Date of last inspection: $10/7/08$   |                          | 1                  |
| 2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:   |                          |                    |
| a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?  | 🛛 Yes                    | 🗌 No               |
| b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?   | X Yes                    | No No              |
| c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing                                 |                          |                    |
| operation? N/A  | Yes                      | No No              |
| d. Date of last VE test: 9/7/10   |                          |                    |
| e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?                 | Xes Yes                  | No No              |
| f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?                                      | $\boxtimes$ Yes          | $\square$ No       |
| g. What was the actual silo loading rate? <u>30.7</u> tons/hour   | 103                      |                    |
|   |                          |                    |
| h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state $\nabla I$ N/A    |                          |                    |
| whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A  | Yes                      |                    |
| i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?                                     | ∐ Yes                    | ∐ No               |
| j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour   | _                        |                    |
| k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test?                 | 🖂 Yes                    | ∐ No               |
| If not, what was the problem (if known)?  |                          |                    |
|   |                          |                    |
|   |                          |                    |
| PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other  | (1.1.1.1.1.7)            | 1                  |
| enclosed storage and conveying equipment  | (check 🗹                 | only one           |
| chelosed storage and conveying equipment  | box for each             | question)          |
|   |                          |                    |
|   |                          |                    |
| 1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?                     | 🛛 Yes                    | ∐ No               |
| a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?  | 🛛 Yes                    | □ No               |
| b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.        |                          |                    |
| c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?                                 | Ves Ves                  | No No              |
| If not, what was the problem (if known)?  |                          |                    |
| If not, what was the problem (if known):  |                          |                    |
| d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo con         | nducted at a re          | ta                 |
| that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? $\bigotimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ N/A – silo not load | liducieu al a la         |                    |
|   |                          |                    |
| e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?                             | <u>     res</u>          | 🖂 No               |
| f. What was the silo loading rate? 22.7 tons/hour   | <b>—</b>                 |                    |
| g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?                   | ∐ Yes                    | ∐ No               |
| If YES, then continue on to questions $g(1) - g(3)$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g(1) - g(3)$ and go to          |                          |                    |
| 1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?                                   |                          | ∐ No               |
| 2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate<br>duration? | te and                   |                    |
| duration?   | Yes                      | No No              |
| 3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minu                                      | tes                      |                    |
| h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which               | is separate              |                    |
| from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust colle               |                          |                    |
| conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?                 |                          | 🗌 No               |
| 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute                                    |                          |                    |
| 2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?                    |                          | 🗌 No               |
| a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?  |                          | □ No               |
| b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.        |                          |                    |
|   | $\nabla$ v -             |                    |
| c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?                                 | 🛛 Yes                    | No No              |
| d. What was the process rate? $14$ tons/hour.   |                          |                    |
|   |                          |                    |

## Facility Section (continued)

| <b>CONFIRMATION OF G</b>     | ENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY  |                                     | (ahaalt 🔽                  | only one     |
|------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|
|                              |  |                                     | (check ☑<br>box for each o |              |
|                              |  |                                     | box for each               | question)    |
| <i>v</i> 1                   | ecords to show that it does not have the pot   |                                     |                            |              |
|                              | ore of any hazardous air pollutant?  |                                     |                            | No No        |
|                              | ore of any combination of hazardous air polore of any other regulated air pollutant? |                                     |                            | 🛛 No<br>🕅 No |
| c 100 tons per year or h     | ore of any other regulated air pollutant?  |                                     | lles                       |              |
| 2. Does this facility includ | e'   |                                     |                            |              |
|                              | r activities not covered by the applicable air                                       | general permit (with the exception  | ı of                       |              |
|                              | are exempt from permitting pursuant to sub   |                                     |                            |              |
|                              | ,  |                                     | 🗌 Yes                      | 🛛 No         |
| If YES, what non-            | exempt units or activities?  |                                     |                            |              |
|                              |  |                                     |                            |              |
| h Any omissions units        | or activities authorized by another air genera                                       | al normit where such other air cons | mol                        |              |
| 2                            | permit specifically allow the use of one ano   | 1                                   |                            | 🖂 No         |
| 1 0                          | r general permit units or activities?  | ther at the same facility.          |                            |              |
| ,                            | 8  |                                     |                            |              |
|                              |  |                                     |                            |              |
|                              | nual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants le                                       |                                     | <b>—</b>                   |              |
|                              | esel fuel?   |                                     |                            | No No        |
|                              | oline?   |                                     |                            | No No        |
|                              | ubic feet on natural gas?  |                                     |                            | 🛛 No<br>🛛 No |
|                              | ated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite  |                                     |                            | $\bowtie$ No |
| c. Of all equivalent prof    | ace amount if multiple fuels are used onsite   | (use equation below):               |                            |              |
| gal diesel/yr +              | gal gasoline/yr +MM SCF  | nat. gas/yr + MM gal prop           | ane/yr < 1.00              | ?            |
| 275,000 gal diesel/yr        |  | t. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propa          |                            |              |
|                              |  |                                     |                            |              |
|                              | maintained, available for inspection, site-w   |                                     |                            |              |
| tor each consecutive 12      | -period for the past 5 years?  |                                     | - 🗌 Yes                    | 🖂 No         |
|                              |  |                                     |                            |              |

| GENERAL CONDITIONS   | (check 🗹<br>box for each |       |
|--|--------------------------|-------|
| 1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?  | 🗌 Yes                    | 🖂 No  |
| <ol> <li>Does the owner or operator:</li> <li>a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?</li> </ol>  |                          | No No |
| <ul> <li>b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?</li> <li>3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access</li> </ul> |                          | 🛛 No  |
| to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?  | _                        | 🛛 No  |

| <b>RELOCATABLE PLANT:</b> 1. Is the facility: stationary [\sqrts]; relocatable [\sqrts]; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable [\sqrts] both concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>If only stationary, skip the following q</i> )  | (check 🗹 of cox for each question 2.) | •    |
|--|---------------------------------------|------|
| <ul> <li>2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?</li></ul>  | Yes                                   | 🗌 No |
| <ul> <li>a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location?</li> <li>b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]</li> </ul>               | Yes                                   | No   |
| to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation?<br>c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]<br>to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation?                                | Yes Yes                               | ☐ No |
| 3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation permit and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: <ul> <li>a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)?</li> </ul> |                                       | 🖂 No |
| If YES, what was the purpose?<br>b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was<br>co-located at the permitted facility?   | Yes<br>Yes                            | D No |
| CHANGES  | (check 🗹 d                            |      |

|                                  |   | box for each | auestion)  |
|----------------------------------|---|--------------|------------|
| Administrative Changes:          |   |              | 4400000000 |
| 1. Were there any changes in     | the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represent  | ntative not  |            |
| associated with a change ir      | n ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions  | units or     |            |
| operations comprising the        | facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? | Ves          | 🛛 No       |
| 2. If YES, did the facility pro  | vide written notification within 30 days of the change?                     | Ves          | 🛛 No       |
| New or Modified Process Equ      | ipment or Change in Ownership:  |              |            |
| 3. Since the last registration f | orm submittal has there been  |              |            |
| a. Installation of any new p     | process equipment?  | 🗌 Yes        | 🛛 No       |
| b. Alterations to existing p     | process equipment without replacement?                                      | Yes          | 🛛 No       |
| c. Replacement of existing       | g equipment with equipment that is substantially different?                 | 🗌 Yes        | 🛛 No       |
| d. A change in ownership         | ······  | Yes          | 🛛 No       |
|                                  |   |              |            |
| 4. If the answer to any question | on 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee s   | ubmitted     |            |
| 30 days prior to the change      | ?   | Ves          | 🛛 No       |

Sherrill Culliver

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

12/22/11

Date of Inspection

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: