

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)	
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	
AIRS ID#: 0150057 DATE: 11/10/11 ARRIVE: 7:30 am DEPA	ART: <u>8:45 am</u>
FACILITY NAME: DR. GOODROOF	
FACILITY LOCATION: 11421 Tamiami Trail	
PUNTA GORDA 33950	
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: IAN MCLELLAN PHONE: (941)63 Email: Mobile:	39-1653
CONTACT NAME: RUI PINTO PHONE: (941)63 Email: Mobile:	39-1653
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 3/11/2007 / 3/11/2012 (effective date) (end date)	
Facility Section	
·	
PART I: <u>INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS</u> (check ✓ only one box)	
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-CO	OMPLIANCE
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	(check only one
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s):	box for each question)
Brief Notes:	
2. Is the Authorized Representative still IAN MCLELLAN?	⊠ Yes □No
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still RUI PINTO?	
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	

Emissions Unit Section 1 -Cement Silo & Mixer subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		only one
1. Date of last inspection: 11/30/10	box for each q	uestion)
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:		
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?		☐ No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?		☐ No
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation?d. Date of last VE test: 11/30/10	· <u> </u>	□ No
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?g. What was the actual silo loading rate? 29 tons/hour		☐ No ☐ No
 h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing?i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour 	N/A Yes	☐ No ☐ No
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during If not, what was the problem (if known)?	the last VE test? Yes	☐ No
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other		_
enclosed storage and conveying equipment		only one
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each q	uestion)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this	site visit? \overline Yes	☐ No
 a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0 % for the highest six-minute 		☐ No
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit If not, what was the problem (if known)?		□ No
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the lo		
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? \(\subseteq \text{ Yes} \) No \(\subseteq \text{e.} \) If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in pract		No
f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour	diec.	
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo		☐ No
If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$) below. If answer NO, then skip g 1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions to	st?	⊠ No
2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the duration?		☐ No
3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching du		
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a		
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hoppe conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batchin	g rate and duration? Yes	⊠ No
2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching dura		□ No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during thin a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	X Yes	∐ No □ No
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minut c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit 		☐ No
d. What was the process rate? 30.26 tons/hour.		

Facility Section (continued)

CO	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	<u> </u>	1
	<u> </u>	(check ☑ box for each	
		box for each	question)
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:		5 4
	a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?		⊠ No
	b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?		⊠ No
	c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	Yes Yes	⊠ No
2	Describing facilities in studies		
۷.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception	of	
	units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or	01	
	Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	- Yes	⊠ No
	If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?	. 🗀 168	□ No
	11 1Lb, what hon-exempt units of activities:		
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general		
	permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
	If YES, what other general permit units or activities?		
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:		
	a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	Yes	⊠ No
	b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline?		⊠ No
	c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas?		⊠ No
	d. 1.3 million gallons of propane?		⊠ No
	e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?		⊠ No
		_	_
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propa	$\underline{\text{ine/yr}} \leq 1.00$)?
	275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propan	e/yr	
4	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum	ntion	
٠.	for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		⊠ No
	Tot out to sale to the first of the past of yours.		
_			-
Gl	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑	
		box for each	
		box for cacif	question)
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed		
	the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control		
_	devices?	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	- Yes	⊠ No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	L Tes	⊠ No
	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	- Yes	⊠ No
3.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access		
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general		
	permit and Department rules?	- TYes	⊠ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both station	onary and relocatable	(check ☑ box for each	-
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only</i>		g question 2.)	
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? (If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)		Yes	☐ No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Loca e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification 	r to changing location?		☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business d c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business.	Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6))]	□ No□ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air co and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in the	onstruction or air operation perr nat separate permit:	mit,	
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purposeIf YES, what was the purpose?b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was)?	∐ No
co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?			☐ No ☐ No
CHANGES		(check ☑ box for each	
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the factors.	acility or authorized representa		question)
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the fa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of t	the facility or any emissions uni	tive not	_
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the fassociated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of to operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administration. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 	the facility or any emissions unitative change at the facility?	tive not its or Yes	No No No
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the fa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of to operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administration. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	the facility or any emissions unitative change at the facility? ne change?	tive not tts or Yes Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the fa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of to operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administration. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	the facility or any emissions unitative change at the facility? ne change?	tive not tests or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No No No No No
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the fa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of to operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administration. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	the facility or any emissions unitative change at the facility? ne change? ally different?	tive not tests or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the fa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of to operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administration. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	the facility or any emissions unitative change at the facility? ne change? ally different? orm and the appropriate fee subs	tive not tas or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No No No No No No No No
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the fa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of to operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administration. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	the facility or any emissions unitative change at the facility? ne change? ally different? orm and the appropriate fee subs	tive not tits or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	NoNoNoNoNoNoNoNoNoNo
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the fa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of to operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administration. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	the facility or any emissions unitative change at the facility? ne change? ally different? orm and the appropriate fee subs	tive not tits or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	NoNoNoNoNoNoNoNoNoNo
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the fassociated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of to operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administration. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	the facility or any emissions unitative change at the facility? ne change? ally different? orm and the appropriate fee sub-	tive not tits or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	NoNoNoNoNoNoNoNoNoNo
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the frassociated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrated. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantiated. A change in ownership? If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration for 30 days prior to the change? Sherrill Culliver Inspector's Name (Please Print)	the facility or any emissions unitative change at the facility? ne change? ally different? orm and the appropriate fee subsection of the s	tive not tits or Yes	NoNoNoNoNoNoNoNoNoNo