	WEITUL PROTECTION	
NG.BI	1 Car	
E FI	ORIDA	

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) [RE-INSPECTION (FUI) [AINT/DISCOVER` COMPLAINT NO:	Y (CI)	
AIRS ID#: 0150057 DAT	E: <u>6/14/11</u>	ARRIVE:	<u>7:30 a.m.</u>	DEPART: <u>9:00 a.n</u>	<u>n.</u>
FACILITY NAME: DR.	GOODROOF				
FACILITY LOCATION:	11421 Tamiami Trai	il			
	PUNTA GORDA	33950			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED	REPRESENTATIVE: I	IAN MCLELLAN		(941)639-1653	
Email: CONTACT NAME: RU	Π ΡΙΝΤΟ		Mobile: PHONE:	(941)639-1653	
Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIO	D: 3/11/2007 / 3/11/2 (effective date) (end date		Mobile:		
DADT I. INSDECTION	Facility Section				
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box) □ IN COMPLIANCE □ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE □ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
DADT II. ONSITE INTR	ODUCTORY MEETING			(11	
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s):				$\mathbf{\nabla}$ only one each question)	
Brief Notes:					
2. Is the Authorized Repre- If no, who is?:	sentative still IAN MCLEL	JLAN?		Xe	s 🗌No
If different, did the facil 3. Is the facility contact sti If no, who is?:	lity provide an administrativ ill RUI PINTO?	ve update within 3	0 days?	□ Ye ⊠ Ye	
4. Will facility be conducti	ing VE test(s) during today nce authority notified at leas	's inspection? st 15 days in adva	nce?	Xe Xe Ye	=

Emissions Unit Section <u>2 –Storage Silo (East) w/ Dust Collector subject to 5% Opacity Limit</u>

PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check 🗹	only one
1. Date of last inspection: $5/14/10$	box for each	question)
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:		
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	🛛 Yes	No No
 c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation? d. Date of last VE test: 5/14/10 	Yes	🗌 No
 e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing? g. What was the actual silo loading rate? <u>21.5</u> tons/hour 	\bowtie Yes \bowtie Yes	No No
 h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? X/N/A i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing? 	Yes Yes	□ No □ No
 j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)? 	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
PART II: <u>STACK EMISSIONS</u> from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	(check 🗹	only one
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each	question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	Xes Yes	🗌 No
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo con		
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? \Box Yes \Box No \Box N/A – silo not load		
 e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice? f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour 	Yes Yes	∐ No
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1 - g.3$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1 - g.3$ and go to	\square Yes <i>h</i> .	🛛 No
 Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate 	Yes	🗌 No
duration?	Yes	🗌 No
 3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration? minute h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which 		
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust colle	-	
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute	Yes	🛛 No
 What was the backing rate: tonshour. What was the backing duration: minute Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? 	Yes	🛛 No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	No No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.	🖂 Yes	🗌 No
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?		

Emissions Unit Section 3 –Storage Silo (West) W/Dust Collector subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check 🗹	only one
1. Date of last inspection: $5/14/10$	box for each	question)
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:		
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	Xes	No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	\boxtimes Yes	
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing		
operation? N/A	Yes	🗌 No
d. Date of last VE test: 5/14/10	L +	
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?	Yes	🗌 No
f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?	🖾 Yes	D No
g. What was the actual silo loading rate? $\underline{29}$ tons/hour	-	
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state		
whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? 🕅 N/A	Yes	D No
i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?	Yes	D No
j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour	— _	<u> </u>
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test?	∐ Yes	∐ No
If not, what was the problem (if known)?		
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other		
enclosed storage and conveying equipment		only one
cheloscu storage and conveying equipment	box for each	question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Xes	🗌 No
	Yes	□ No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.		
c. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	Yes	🗌 No
If not, what was the problem (if known)?		
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo co	nducted at a ra	ate
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? \bigotimes Yes \Box No \Box N/A – silo not load		
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	Yes	🗌 No
f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour		
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	Yes	🛛 No
If YES, then continue on to questions $g(1) - g(3)$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g(1) - g(3)$ and go to		_
1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?		∐ No
2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching ra	te and	
duration?		No No
 3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration? minu h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which 		
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust coll		
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration		🛛 No
2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute		
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	🗌 No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	=	\square No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.		
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	Xes Yes	🗌 No
d. What was the process rate? <u>36</u> tons/hour.		

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	
	$(\text{check } \square \text{ only one})$
	box for each question)
1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:	
a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?	
b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?	
c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	Yes 🛛 No
2. Does this facility include:	
a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (
units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-2 Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	
If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?	
If TES, what non-exempt units of activities:	
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where s	uch other air general
permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same f	e
If YES, what other general permit units or activities?	,
3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal t	
a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	
b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline?	
c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas?	
d. 1.3 million gallons of propane?	
e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation b	elow)? \Box Yes \boxtimes No
gal diesel/yr +gal gasoline/yr +MM SCF nat. gas/yr +	MM gal propane/yr ≤ 1.00 ?
275,000 gal diesel/yr $23,000 gal gasoline/yr$ $44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr$ 1	
	wivi gai propane, yi
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of mo	onthly fuel consumption
for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	🗌 Yes	🖂 No
 Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? 		
b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access		🗌 No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If only stationary, skip the follow)	box for each	• ´
 2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900])(6)]	No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? - c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900 to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation	(6)]	📙 No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation per and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage If YES, what was the purpose? 	_	🛛 No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?	Yes Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No
CHANGES	(check ☑ box for each	only one

Ad	Iministrative Changes:	box for each	question)
1.	Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representation	tive not	
	associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions un	its or	
	operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?	- 🗌 Yes	🛛 No
2.	If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	- 🗌 Yes	🛛 No
Ne	w or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:		
3.	Since the last registration form submittal has there been		
	a. Installation of any new process equipment?	🗌 Yes	🛛 No
	b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	🗌 Yes	🛛 No
	c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?	🗌 Yes	🛛 No
	d. A change in ownership?	- 🗌 Yes	🛛 No
4.	If the answer to any question $3a d$. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee sub-	mitted	
	30 days prior to the change?		🛛 No

Sherrill Culliver

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

6/14/11

Date of Inspection

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: