	WEITUL PROTECTION	
NG.BI	1 Car	
E FI	ORIDA	

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/D	DISCOVERY (CI)	
AIRS ID#: 0310351 DATE: <u>07/24/2012</u>	ARRIVE:	DEPART:	
FACILITY NAME: GRISWOLD READY MIX CON	CRETE		
FACILITY LOCATION: 11660 CAMDEN RD			
JACKSONVILLE 32	2218-3902		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: LA Email: larryg@griswoldconcrete.com CONTACT NAME: LARRY GRISWOLD Email: larryg@griswoldconcrete.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 12/24/2011 / 12/24/2 (effective date) (end date)	2016	PHONE: (904)751-379 Mobile: PHONE: (904)751-379 Mobile:	
Facility Section			
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check 🗹 only one box)	
IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COM	MPLIANCE SIG	INIFICANT Non-COMPL	IANCE
I			
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Andy Sweat			(check \square only one box for each question)
Brief Notes:			
2 Is the Authorized Representative still I ARRY GRIS	WOLD?		

2.	Is the Authorized Representative still LARRY GRISWOLD?	Yes	∐No
3.	If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? Is the facility contact still LARRY GRISWOLD?	☐ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No
4.	Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	Yes Yes	⊠No □No

Emissions Unit Section 6 -CONCRETE BATCH PLANT (SILO NO. 1 AND SILO NO. 2) subject to Reasonable Precautions

G-CONCRETE DATCHTEANT (SILO NO. 1 AND SILO NO. 2) subject to Reasonable Freeductor	2
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	
 Date of last inspection: <u>06/06/11</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	□ No
If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? [] Yes	□ No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions $< 20\%$ opacity? \square N/A \square Yes	🗌 No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u>	
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined	
emissions by:	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:	
 paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	🗌 No
control emissions? Yes	🗌 No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne	
particulate matter? Xes	🗌 No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? X Yes	□ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:	
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes	No No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? [] Yes c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	∐ No
c. what caused the problem(s) (if known):	

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(1.1.1.1.7	
	(check ☑ box for each	
		question
 Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c. 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? 	🛛 Yes	□ No □ No □ No
2. Does this facility include:		
a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the excepti units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		🔀 No
 b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air ge permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? If YES, what other general permit units or activities? 		🛛 No
 3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? 	🛛 Yes 🖾 Yes 🖾 Yes	 No No No No No
gal diesel/yrgal gasoline/yrMM SCF nat. gas/yrMM gal proposition275,000 gal diesel/yr23,000 gal gasoline/yr44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr1.3 MM gal proposition)?
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel cons for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		🗌 No

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	•
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	🗌 Yes	🖂 No
2. Does the owner or operator:a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	_	No
b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, acces		🗌 No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:		(check ☑ box for each	•
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both stationary and rel concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary</i>)		question 2.)	-
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?		☐ Yes	□ No
(If YES, answer 2. a and 2 .b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)			
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Progra e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing	g location?	Yes	🗌 No
b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEI to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following the second s)]	🗌 No
c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days provide the second sec			🗌 No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction of and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate plant is not included as an emission of the separate plant is not		nit,	
a. Was the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate p If YES, what was the purpose?		? 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was		U V	
co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?		Yes Yes	∐ No □ No
CHANGES		(check 🗹	only one
Administrative Changes:		box for each	question)
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or aut associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility o			
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change	e at the facility?	Yes	No No
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?		Yes	∐ No
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been		_	_
a. Installation of any new process equipment?b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?			🛛 No 🖾 No
c. Replacement of existing process equipment with equipment that is substantially different			\bowtie No
d. A change in ownership?		Yes	No No
4. If the answer to any question $3a d$. is YES, was a new registration form and the a			
30 days prior to the change?		Yes	No No
Kathy Parish 07/24	/2012		
Inspector's Name (Please Print) Date of Insp	pection		
07/2013			
Inspector's Signature Approximat	te Date of Next Insp	ection	
COMMENTS: Inspection was performed with Andy Sweat of Griswold Ready Mix G by George Hawkins. No violations were noted at the time of the inspection.	Concrete. Last VE p	performed on	11/28/2011

4