	NUMERTAL PROTT	CTION	
BI GHI	1	a car	
FI	ORIDA	T	1
			-

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/	/DISCOVERY (C	CI)	
AIRS ID#: 0950071 DA	TE: <u>1/19/2012</u>	ARRIVE: <u>10:00</u>	<u>) AM</u>	DEPART: <u>12:00 PM</u>	[
FACILITY NAME: TA	AFT PLANT				
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 131 E LANDSTRE	ET RD			
	ORLANDO 3282	24-7824			
	CD REPRESENTATIVE:	KATHIE CHUMLEY	PHONE: (9	04)380-0130	
Email:Mobile:CONTACT NAME:WALTER CRABTREEPHONE: (407)466-144Email:Mobile:			.07)466-1486		
ENTITLEMENT PERI	OD: 11/1/2010 / 11/1/ (effective date) (end da	/2015 late)			
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box) IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
II					
	RODUCTORY MEETING				\mathbf{V} only one ach question)
1. Name(s) of facility rep	presentative(s): Kenneth Ru	<u>uehlen</u>		004 101 04	CII question)
Brief Notes: <u>Area Pre</u>	oduction Manager				
2. Is the Authorized Repu If no, who is?:	oresentative still KATHIE Cl	HUMLEY?		Xes	No
If different, did the fac 3. Is the facility contact s If no, who is?:	cility provide an administrat still WALTER CRABTREE –	ive update within 30 days	s?	Yes	□No □No
4. Will facility be conduct If yes, was the complia	cting VE test(s) during toda iance authority notified at lea	y's inspection?		Xes Xes	□No □No

Emissions Unit Section

<u>1 – CCB Plant-2silos(1Ecement,1W/slag)&batcherw/central baghouse subject to 5% Opacity Limit</u>				
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION 1. Date of last inspection: 1/21/2011 2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)		
 a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing 	Yes Yes	□ No ⊠ No		
 d. Date of last VE test: 1/21/2011 	Yes	🗌 No		
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing? g. What was the actual silo loading rate? <u>26.22</u> tons/hour	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□ No □ No		
 h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing? j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour 	⊠ Yes □ Yes	□ No ⊠ No		
 k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)? 	🛛 Yes	🗌 No		
PART II: <u>STACK EMISSIONS</u> from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)		
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Xes	🗌 No		
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.	Xes Yes	🗌 No		
 c. Did the visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-initial average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No		
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo co				
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No 🗌 N/A – silo not load e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice? f. What was the silo loading rate? <u>26.55</u> tons/hour		No		
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1 - g.3$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1 - g.3$ and go to	\bowtie Yes <i>h</i> .	🗌 No		
 Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate 	Yes Yes te and	🗌 No		
duration?		🗌 No		
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which				
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collected while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute	Yes	🛛 No		
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?		No No		
 a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. 		No		
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?d. What was the process rate? <u>28.96</u> tons/hour.	🛛 Yes	No No		

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	
	(check \mathbf{v} only one box for each question)
	box for each question)
 Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c. 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? 	🖾 Yes 🔲 No
2. Does this facility include:	
a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the except units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	
 b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air g permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? If YES, what other general permit units or activities? 	
 3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? 	X Yes No Yes No Yes No
gal diesel/yrgal gasoline/yrMM SCF nat. gas/yr+MM gal p275,000 gal diesel/yr23,000 gal gasoline/yr44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr1.3 MM gal production	
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel con for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	•
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	🗌 Yes	🖂 No
 Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? 		
 b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit? 3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access 		🗌 No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	_	🗌 No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check 🗹	only one
		question)
1. Is the facility: stationary 🖾; relocatable 🗌; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable 🗌 concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip the followin</i>)		• ·
	.8 4	
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and		
soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	🗌 Yes	∐ No
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone,		
e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location?		No No
b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation?		□ No
c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6		
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation?	🗌 Yes	No No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation per	rmit	
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:	,	
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage If YES, what was the purpose?	e)? 🗌 Yes	🛛 No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was		
co-located at the permitted facility?	🗌 Yes	No No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	🗌 Yes	🛛 No
CHANGES	(1 1 7	1
	(check ☑ box for each	
Administrative Changes:		question
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions un		
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?		🖂 No
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?		D No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:		
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been	🗌 Yes	🖂 No
a Installation of any new process equipment?		
a. Installation of any new process equipment?	\sim \Box Yes	🕅 No
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	🛛 No 🖾 No
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	
 b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?		No No
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?		No No
 b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	Yes Yes Yes bmitted	⊠ No ⊠ No

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

1/19/2012

Date of Inspection

12/31/2013

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: OCEPD personnel, Bill Rhodes, arrived at the plant at approximately 10:00 AM to audit a VE test on the central dust collector (EU-001). Dart Morales, the consultant, representing Grove Scientific & Engineering, was also present, as well as Mr. Kenneth Ruehlen, Area Manager for the Central Florida Division of Florida Rock Industries, Inc. The plant manager, Mr. Walter Crabtree, was out for the day. The central dust collector at this facility controls the emissions for the two silos (cement & slag), as well as the batching operation. The truck was already present at the facility prior to OCEPD arrival, containing cement to be offloaded. A 30-minute VE was performed with 0% opacity observed. The truck was observed batching (~ 7-mins) during the VE test, resulting in a batching rate of 160 lbs/sec., with 0% opacity observed. The truck pumping rate was approximately 10-12 psi, resulting in a loading rate of 28.96 TPH, which is acceptable. No dust was observed leaving the property.