

# $\frac{\text{NON-METALLIC }\underline{\text{MINERAL}}}{\underline{\text{PLANTS}}} \\ \underline{\text{PLANTS}}$



#### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)   RE-INSPECTION (FUI)   ARMS COMPLAINT NO:
AIRS ID#: 7770262 001 DATE: 4/28/11 ARRIVE: 1:45 DEPART: 2:45
FACILITY NAME: Angelo's Aggregate Materials LTD
FACILITY LOCATION: 1755 - 20th Avenue S.E.
Largo, FL
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: Neiro De Rubis PHONE: 727-919-4702
CONTACT NAME: Dominic Infrate, Jr PHONE: 727-919-4702
REMITTANCE YEAR: 2012 ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 3/25/07 / 3/25/2012 (effective date) (end date)
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II: DETERMINATION OF FACILITY TYPE/APPLICABILITY
(check only one box)
<u>Subject Facilities</u> : (applicable fixed or portable facilities include each crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, belt conveyor, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, crushers & grinding mills at hot mix asphalt facilities that reduce the size of non-mettalic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement & subsequent affected facilities up to, but not including the first storage silo or bin.)
☐ FOR FACILITIES NOT SUBJECT TO: (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, §60.670(a)(2), (b), (c), and (d)) (If you have checked ☑ this category, answer all questions EXCEPT those with **.)
Non-Subject Facilities: (includes all facilities in underground mines; stand-alone screening operations at plants w/o crushers or grinding mills; facilities not subject to subparts F (Portland Cement Plants) or I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities) of this part; fixed sand & gravel plants, & crushed stone plants w/capacities of 23 megagrams/hr (25 tons/hr) or less; portable sand & gravel plants, & crushed stone plants w/capacities of 136 megagrams/hr (150 tons/hr) or less; common clay plants, and pumice plants w/capacities of 9 megagrams/hr (10 tons/hr) or less.)

PART III: <u>EMISSION STANDARDS</u> – Chapter 62-210.300(4)(c)5., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
<ul> <li><u>Stack Emissions</u> - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.</li> <li>**1. Were visible stack emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 CFR 60 Appendix A)?</li> </ul>	, □Yes	⊠ No
**2. Do stack emissions from any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point:		Z 110
**a) exceed <b>7%</b> percent opacity?	∏Yes	⊠ No
**b) exceed the particulate matter standard of <u>0.05</u> grams per dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm)?	Yes	No No
**3. Do stack emissions from any baghouse that controls emissions from only an individual, enclosed storage		<u> </u>
bin exceed <u>7</u> % percent opacity? NOTE: There are no stacks at this facility	Yes	⊠ No
11012. There are no stacks at this facility		
<u>Visible Emissions</u> - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.		
**1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (40 CFR 60, Appendix A)?	□vos	⊠ No
**2. Do visible emissions from any:	res	M N0
**a) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation		
storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed 10%		
percent opacity?	☐Yes	
**b) crusher without a capture system, exceed 15 % opacity?	☐Yes	🛛 No
3. Pursuant to subparagraph 62-296.320(4)(b)1., F.A.C., are visible emissions from any crusher, grinding,		
screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer points on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin,		
enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other emission point <b>NOT</b> subject to 40 CFR Part 60,	Yes	⊠ No
Subpart OOO, equal to or greater than <u>20</u> % percent opacity? <u>Emission Points Enclosed in Buildings</u> - 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO adopted by reference Chapter 62-		
**4. Is any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, baggin		, r.A.C.
operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other affected emission point enclosed		
in a building? (If answer to question #4 is YES, then proceed to #4.a))	□Yes	⊠ No
**a) If enclosed in a building are the stack emissions discharged from a wet scrubbing control device? (If		_
answer to this question is <u>NO</u> , then proceed to the next question #4.b)1) & 2). If <u>YES</u> skip to #4.c).)	Yes	⊠ No
**b) If the stack emissions from enclosed emission points are not discharged from a wet scrubbing control d		_
1) the particulate matter in excess of <b>0.05 grams</b> per dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm)?	☐Yes	∐ No
2) the opacity greater than 7% percent?	☐Yes	∐ No
**c) Do the stack emissions from the baghouse(s) inside of the building(s) exceed <u>7</u> % percent opacity? **5. Do visible emissions from any:	☐Yes	∐ No
**a) grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation.		
storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station or any other affected emission point exceed 10%		
percent opacity?	Yes	⊠ No
**b) crusher without a capture system, exceed 15 % opacity?		
NOTE: This facility does not have a non-metallic mineral loading area.		
Wet Screening/Wet Mining Operations:		
**6. Are there any visible emissions discharges at the wet screening operations and subsequent screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors that process saturated material in the production line up to		
	□Yes	⊠ No
**7. Are there any visible emissions discharges at the screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyor		<u></u>
in the production line downstream of wet mining operations, where such screening operations, bucket		
elevators, and belt conveyors process saturated materials up to the first crusher, grinding mill, or storage b		
in the production line?		⊠ No
NOTE: This facility does not have wet screening or wet mining operations.		

PART IV: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.	
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.)	
1. Is each affected emission point tested according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards as	
part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.)	
Compliance New Facilities – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.)	
2. Did this facility demonstrate, according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards of	
Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.,:	
a) initial compliance prior to beginning commercial operation?	
b) renewal compliance within 60 days prior to the anniversary of the initial air general permit notification	
form submittal date? TYes No	
Compliance Existing Facilities – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.)	
3. Did this facility demonstrate, according to the visible emissions and stack emissions standards of	
Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.,:	
a) compliance within 60 days prior to submitting an air general permit notification form?   Yes  No	
b) renewal compliance within 60 days prior to the anniversary of the initial air general permit notification	
form submittal date? \times T so the submittal date of the	
Test Methods and Procedures – Chapter 62-297, F.A.C., 40 CFR 60.675, and 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A adopted and	
incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.	
4. Were all referenced visible emissions tests conducted using EPA Method 9?   Yes   No	
5. Were all referenced unconfined or fugitive emissions tests conducted using EPA Method 22? Yes No	
6. Were all referenced stack emissions or particulate matter tests conducted using EPA Methods 5 or 17?	
Reporting and Recordkeeping – (Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.) [Chapter 62-297, F.A.C. and 40 CFR Part 60.670 – 60.676, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.]  Facility and/or Equipment Replacement  **7. Did the owner or operator submit to the Administrator, the following information about the replacement of existing facility and/or equipment:	
**a) for a Crusher, Grinding Mill, Bucket Elevator, Bagging Operation, or enclosed truck, or Railcar Loading Station,	
**1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons per hour of the existing facility being replaced and the rated	
capacity in tons per hour of the replacement equipment? Yes No	
**b) for a Screening Operation,	
**1) the total surface area of the top screen of the existing screening operation being replaced and the total	
surface area of the top screen of the replacement screening operation?	
**c) for a Conveyor Belt,	
**1) the width of the existing belt being replaced and the width of the replacement conveyor belt?  \Boxed Yes \Boxed No	
**d) for a Storage Bin,	
**1) the rated capacity in megagrams or tons of the existing storage bin being replaced and the rated	
capacity in megagrams or tons of replacement storage bins?	
Note: There were no replacements to report.	
Performance/Compliance Testing	
**8. During the initial performance test, did the owner or operator record the measurements of both the change	
in pressure of the gas stream across the scrubber and the scrubbing liquid flow rate?	
**9. After the initial performance test of a wet scrubber, did the owner or operator submit semiannual reports to	
the Administrator of occurrences when the measurements of the scrubber pressure loss (or gain) and liquid	
flow rate differ by more than $\pm 30$ percent from the averaged determined during the most recent performance	
test?	
**a) Were the reports postmarked within 30 days following the end of the second and fourth calendar	
quarters?	
NOTE: This facility does not use a wet scrubber	
10 12. Imp money wood not up a net belander	

PART IV: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (Continued)	_
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)	•
**10. Did the owner or operator of the facility submit written reports of the results of all performance tests	•
conducted to demonstrate compliance with the particulate matter standards (40 CFR Part 60.672), opacity	!
(using EPA Method 9 to demonstrate compliance with 40 CFR Part 60.672(b), (c), and (f)), and emission	,
observations of transfer points enclosed in buildings (using EPA Method 22 to demonstrate compliance with	
	⊠Yes □ No
Process Changes  **11 Does this facility have a screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or a helt conveyor system? (If your	
**11. Does this facility have a screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or a belt conveyor system? (If your answer to this question is <u>YES</u> , then answer <u>either</u> a)1) <u>or</u> a)2) below.)	⊠Yes □ No
**a)Did this screening operation, bucket elevator, and/or belt conveyor system:	Yes no
**1) originally process saturated material and switch to unsaturated material? ( <i>Note: The unsaturated</i>	'
material handling processes would now be subject to the 10% opacity limit in 40 CFR 60.672(b)	•
	□Yes ⊠ No
**2) originally process unsaturated material and switch to saturated material? ( <i>Note: The saturated</i>	
material handling processes would now be subject to the <u>no visible emission limit</u> in 40 CFR 60.672(l	
(If answer to 1) or 2) above is <u>YES</u> then proceed to question b) below.)	☐Yes ⊠ No
**b) Did the owner or operator submit a report of the process change within thirty (30) days following the	
	☐Yes ☐ No
Notification Requirements  **12. Was notification of the actual data of startup for each affected or combination of affected facilities	
**12. Was notification of the actual date of startup for each affected or combination of affected facilities submitted to the Administrator and postmarked within 15 days after such date?	⊠Yes □ No
**a) Did the notification include a description of each affected facility, equipment manufacturer, and serial	ĭ Yes ☐ 110
	□Yes □ No
**b) For portable aggregate processing plants, did the notification of actual date of initial start up also	
	□Yes □ No
PART V: <u>OPERATING REQUIREMENTS/CONTROL TECHNOLOGY</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.	
(check ☐ appropriate box(es))	
	Į.
1. Is this facility a: 1) relocatable⊠; 2) stationary□; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable□	
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check Zonly one box above.)	
(NOTE: If you have checked the box for relocatable go to questions 1.a) & 1.b). If you have checked the	-
stationary go to question 1.c). If you have checked box #3, both, stationary and relocatable then answer	all
relocatable and stationary questions 1.a), 1.b), & 1.c) below, respectively.)	
a) If this is a <u>relocatable facility</u> was the Department notified by phone prior to this relocation, and was a	¬
Facility Relocation Notification form submitted within 1 business day following the relocation? b) If this is a <b>relocatable facility</b> , is it located at a mine and/or quarry, and processing only material from or	Yes
	onsite Yes ⊠ No
1) Does the owner or operator of this relocatable facility have a water suppression system with spray	les M 140
bars located at the feeder(s), the entrance, and the exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens and the	
	⊠Yes □ No
c) If this is a <b>stationary facility</b> , does the owner or operator of this stationary facility have a water	<b></b>
suppression system with spray bars located at the feeder(s), the entrance, and the exit of the crusher(s),	
the classifier screens and the conveyor drop points?	□Yes □ No
NOTE: This facility is semi-stationary; it's permitted as relocatable, but remains at the same location.	

	V: <u>OPERATING REQUIREMENTS/CONTROL TECHNOLOGY</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (Conteck ☑ appropriate box(es))	tinued)
**2.	Does this facility incorporate the use of a wet scrubber to control emissions? (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OC	00
	adopted by reference Chapter 62-204.800, F.A.C.) (If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed	
	questions 2.a) and 2.b), below.)	□Yes ⊠ No
	Does the wet scrubber have continuous monitoring systems (CMS) for:	_
	**1) the measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber?	☐Yes ☐ No
	2) the measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber?	Yes No
	Has each CMS been certified by the manufacturer and calibrated annually in accordance with the	
	manufacturer's instructions and to the tolerances below?	☐Yes ☐ No
**1	) ±250 pascals ±1 inch water guage pressure for measuring pressure losses of the gas stream?	☐Yes ☐ No
	2) ±5 percent of design scrubbing liquid flow rate?	☐Yes ☐ No
3.	Is this is a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant, with a stationary concrete batching plant using	an
	ividual concrete batching plant air general permit at the same location? (If your answer to this question	
	is <u>YES</u> , then proceed to questions 3.a), thru 3.d),) below. If <u>NO</u> , proceed to question #4.)	☐Yes ⊠ No
a)	Is there more than one nonmetallic mineral processing plant in operation at this location?	☐Yes ☐ No
b)	If there is more than one nonmetallic mineral processing plant at this location, do they all operate under	
	a single nonmetallic mineral processing plant air general permit?	☐Yes ☐ No
c)	Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	☐Yes ☐ No
d)	Are there any Title V sources located at this facility?	☐Yes ☐ No
4.	Is this is a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant, with one or more relocatable concrete	
	batching plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (If your answer to this	
	question is <u>YES</u> , then proceed to questions 4.a), thru 4.b) below. If <u>NO</u> , then proceed to question 5.)	□Yes ⊠ No
	a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	☐Yes ☐ No
	b) Are there any Title V sources located at this facility?	☐Yes ☐ No
5.	Does the owner or operator of this facility operate multiple relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing	
	plants using individual nonmetallic mineral processing plant air general permits at this location?	☐ Yes ⊠ No
	a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	□Yes ⊠ No
	b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per	
	calendar year? NOTE: Crusher is operated by electric motor	- Yes No
	c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year? Note: <b>Facility</b>	
	processes approximately 20,000 – 30,000 tons per year at this time	
	d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less?	☐Yes ☐ No
	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for:	
	a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis?	☐Yes ☐ No
	b) material processed on a monthly basis?	☐Yes ☐ No
	c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?	☐Yes ☐ No
7.	Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a routine function of a facility (no	ot .
	a Title V source) subject to regular air permitting, such as crushing recycled asphalt (rap) at an asphalt	
	plant?	□Yes ⊠ No
	a) If $\underline{YES}$ , does the regularly permitted facility air construction or air operation permit(s) provide for the	
	operation of the nonmetallic mineral processing plant as an emission unit?	☐Yes ☐ No
	Is this relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant used to perform a <u>non-routine activity</u> , such as	
	destruction of a building, at a regularly permitted facility (not a Title V source)?	□Yes ⊠ No
	a) If YES, does it operate under the authority of its air general permit?	☐Yes ☐ No

RT VI: <u>REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS/EMISSION (</u> 10.300(4)(c)5.d.(i) and (ii), F.A.C.		
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
nconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)		
1. Does the owner /operator of the nonmetallic mineral pro-	ocessing plant take reasonable precautions to control	unconfined
emissions by:		
a) use of a water suppression system with spray bars l crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor		⊠Yes □ No
b) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, as	nd yards, which shall include one or more of the follow	owing:
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas	s, stock piles, and yards?	⊠Yes ☐ No
	st-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control	⊠Yes □ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other	•	<u> </u>
re-entrainment, and from building or work area	s to reduce airborne particulate matter?	⊠Yes ☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of	wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	
particulate matter from stock piles?	[	∑Yes ☐ No
	[	□Yes ⊠ No
6) the use of hoods, fans, filters and similar equip		_
matter?		Yes No
7) the enclosure or covering of conveyor systems?	I	∃Yes ⊠ No
RT VII: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURE		
RT VII: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURE</u> A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>		
<ul><li>A. New or Modified Process Equipment</li><li>1. Since the last inspection has there been</li></ul>	<u>CS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.	
<ul> <li>1. Since the last inspection has there been</li> <li>a) installation of any new process equipment?</li> </ul>	ES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.	 ]Yes ⊠No
New or Modified Process Equipment     Since the last inspection has there been     a) installation of any new process equipment?     b) alteration of existing process equipment without	ES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.  replacement?	
New or Modified Process Equipment     Since the last inspection has there been     a) installation of any new process equipment?     b) alteration of existing process equipment without     c) replacement of existing equipment substantially	ES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.  replacement?  different than that noted on the most	_Yes ⊠No _Yes ⊠No
New or Modified Process Equipment     Since the last inspection has there been     a) installation of any new process equipment?     b) alteration of existing process equipment without     c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form?	ES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.  replacement?  different than that noted on the most	 ]Yes ⊠No
New or Modified Process Equipment     Since the last inspection has there been     a) installation of any new process equipment?     b) alteration of existing process equipment without     c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form?  d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the	ES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.  replacement?	_Yes ⊠No _Yes ⊠No
1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alteration of existing process equipment without c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4).	ES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.  replacement?	_Yes ⊠No _Yes ⊠No _Yes ⊠No
1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alteration of existing process equipment without c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4).	ES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.  replacement?	_Yes ⊠No _Yes ⊠No _Yes ⊠No
1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alteration of existing process equipment without c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4).	ES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.  replacement?	_Yes ⊠No _Yes ⊠No _Yes ⊠No
1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alteration of existing process equipment without c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4)	ES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.  replacement?	_Yes ⊠No _Yes ⊠No _Yes ⊠No
1. Since the last inspection has there been  a) installation of any new process equipment?  b) alteration of existing process equipment without  c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form?  d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4 local program office?	replacement?————————————————————————————————————	_Yes ⊠No _Yes ⊠No _Yes ⊠No
1. Since the last inspection has there been  a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alteration of existing process equipment without c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form? d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4 local program office?	ES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.  replacement?	_Yes ⊠No _Yes ⊠No _Yes ⊠No
1. Since the last inspection has there been  a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alteration of existing process equipment without c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form? d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4 local program office?	ES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.  replacement?	_Yes ⊠No _Yes ⊠No _Yes ⊠No

F	CI	LIT	Y: Angelo's Aggregat	e Materials LTD	PERMIT ID:	317
- 1			Angelo's Recycled M		DISTRICT:	Southwest
Al	DDF	RES			CONTACT PHO	
			Largo, FL		727-919-4	
A	RM	S NO		PERMIT NO:	Expiration Date	
		777	<b>'</b> 0262 001	7770262-007-AG	Renewal Date:	2/24/2012
					Test Date:	3/19/2000
			N UNIT DESCRIPTION: Po conveyors	rtable concrete/asphalt crusher: 200 TPH	Bohringer Model RC14 a	nd associated feeder,
IN	SPE	ECT	ION DATE:	ARMS INSPECTION TYPE:	COMPLIANCE STATU	VS:
4	4/28	3/11		⊠ INS2 orINS	⊠ IN	☐ SNC
	Tyj	pe of	f Inspection:	Re-inspection Compla	int Drive-by	Quarterly
				A. General Review:		
1.		Pern	nit File Review			Yes No
2.		Intro	oduction and Entry			Yes No
2		I me walk	xed with me around the property		ed documents, answered m	
3.			ne Authorized Representative nments:	still: Neiro De Rubis?		∑ Yes ☐ No
4.		<i>I</i> s th	ne facility contact still: Domining	c Iafrate, Jr?		⊠ Yes □ No
5.		Doe	s the equipment on-site match	the notification form [Rule 62-210.300]		Yes No
		Con	nments:			
I N	M N C	S N C	the Department by phone prior 62-210.900(6)) to the Departm F.A.C.]	B. Specific Conditions relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing to changing location and submit a Facility and the submit a Facility and the submit and the submit as or is not a relocatable nonmetallic to the submit as or is not a relocatable nonmetallic to the submit as or is not a relocatable nonmetallic to the submit as a submit as or is not a relocatable nonmetallic to the submit as a submit a	ity Relocation Notification llowing relocation [62-210	Form (DEP Form No.
			material from onsite natural de material, the owner or operator entrance and exit of the crushe  *Comments: The facility \infty definitional.  The emission unit contains a specific the screens.	mineral processing plants, except those eposits, and for all stationary nonmetallic r shall have a water suppression system v r(s), the classifier screens, and the converses does does not operate a water suppression as a does not operate a does not	mineral processing plants with spray bars located at the yor drop points [62-210.3 sion system, and the system the feeders, entrance and design and the system the feeders, entrance and design are since and design are si	processing dry ne feeder(s), the 00(4)(c)5.c., F.A.C.]  a is is not  exit of crusher, as as
			precautions: (i) Unconfined emissions that in plant processing dry material s	omply with paragraph 62-296.320(4)(c), I might be generated from various activities thall be controlled by using a water suppro-	es throughout a nonmetallic ression system with spray b	c mineral processing pars located at the

## Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plants, Subpart OOO- General Permits

I N	M N C	S N C	B. Specific Conditions
			(ii) Unconfined emissions that might be generated by vehicular traffic or wind shall be controlled by applying water (by water trucks equipped with spray bars) or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis to all stockpiles, roadways and work-yards where this nonmetallic mineral processing plant is located; [62-210.300(4)(c)5.d., F.A.C.]
			Comments: The facility uses a water truck which runs $3-4$ times a day (see copy of log attached) or as needed. They also run a sweeper around the paved areas as needed including along $20^{th}$ Ave. SE from their driveway to the railroad tracks.
$\boxtimes$			Stack emissions from any crusher, grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other affected emission point subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., shall not contain particulate matter in excess of 0.05 grams per dry standard cubic meter (g/dscm) nor exceed 7% opacity, unless the stack emissions are discharged from a wet scrubbing control device. [62-210.300(4)(c)5.e.(i), F.A.C.]
			Comments: An AQD VE test was performed during this site visit; Yes , or No , or NA . The results of the various points were: There are no stacks at this facility
			Stack emissions from any baghouse that controls emissions from only an individual, enclosed storage bin subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., shall not exceed 7% opacity. [62-210.300(4)(c)5.e.(ii), F.A.C.]
			<b>Comments</b> : The facility $\square$ does $\square$ does not operate an enclosed storage bin with a baghouse.
			There are no stacks at this facility
			Visible emissions from any grinding mill, screening operation, bucket elevator, transfer point on belt conveyors, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck or railcar loading station, or any other affected emission point subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., shall not exceed 10% opacity; and visible emissions from any crusher without a capture system subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, shall not exceed 15% opacity.  [62-210.300(4)(c)5.e.(iii), F.A.C.]
			Comments: An AQD VE test was performed during this site visit; Yes , or No , or NA The results of the various points were:  The crusher was not in operation at the time of inspection. It is currently broken-down, waiting for service. It operates 1
			- 2 times a week on average throughout the year due to economic conditions.  Truck dumping of nonmetallic minerals into any screening operation, feed hopper, or crusher subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., is exempt from the emissions standards of sub-subparagraph 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C.;  [62-210.300(4)(c)5.e.(vi), F.A.C.]  Comments:
			The owner or operator shall ensure that wet screening operations and subsequent screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyors that process saturated material in the production line up to the next crusher, grinding mill or storage bin and are subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., do not discharge any visible emissions. The owner or operator shall also ensure that screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyors in the production line downstream of wet mining operations, where such screening operations, bucket elevators, and belt conveyors process saturated materials up to the first crusher, grinding mill, or storage bin in the production line and are subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., do not discharge any visible emissions; [62-210.300(4)(c)5.f., F.A.C.]
			Comments.

## Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plants, Subpart OOO- General Permits

I N	M N C	S N C	B. Specific Conditions
11	C	C	D. Specific Conditions
			The owner or operator of a nonmetallic mineral processing plant subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C., and using a wet scrubber to control emissions shall comply with the monitoring requirements of 40 CFR 60.674, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.; [62-210.300(4)(c)5.g., F.A.C.]  §60.674 Monitoring of operations.  The owner or operator of any affected facility subject to the provisions of this subpart which uses a wet scrubber to control emissions shall install, calibrate, maintain and operate the following monitoring devices:  (a) A device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber. The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within ±250 pascals ±1 inch water gauge pressure and must be calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions.  (b) A device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber. The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within ±5 percent of design scrubbing liquid flow rate and must be calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions.  **Comments: The facility**   does**   does not operate a wet scrubber.**
			The owner or operator of any existing facility shall demonstrate compliance with the emission standards of subsubparagraph 62-210.300(4)(c)5.e., F.A.C., within 60 days prior to submitting an air general permit notification form and shall demonstrate renewal compliance within 60 days prior to the anniversary of the initial air general permit notification form submittal date. [62-210.300(4)(c)5.h., F.A.C.]  **Comments: The test for renewal should be completed within 60 days prior to 3/25/2012*
			The owner or operator shall meet all applicable reporting and recordkeeping requirements of Chapter 62-297, F.A.C. and 40 CFR 60.676, adopted and incorporated by reference at Rule 62-204.800, F.A.C.; [62-210.300(4)(c)5.i., F.A.C.] [860.676 Reporting and recordkeeping.  (a) Each owner or operator seeking to comply with \$60.670(d) shall submit to the Administrator the following information about the existing facility being replaced and the replacement piece of equipment.  (1) For a crusher, grinding mill, bucket elevator, bagging operation, or enclosed truck or railcar loading station: (i) The rated capacity in megagrams or tons per hour of the existing facility being replaced and (ii) The rated capacity in tons per hour of the existing screening operation being replaced and (ii) The total surface area of the top screen of the existing screening operation being replaced and (ii) The total surface area of the top screen of the replacement screening operation.  (3) For a conveyor belt: (i) The width of the existing belt being replaced and (ii) The width of the replacement conveyor belt.  (4) For a storage bin: (i) The rated capacity in megagrams or tons of the existing storage bin being replaced and (ii) The rated capacity in megagrams or tons of replacement storage bins.  (c) During the initial performance test of a wet scrubber, and daily thereafter, the owner or operator shall record the measurements of both the change in pressure of the gas stream across the scrubber and the scrubbing liquid flow rate.  (d) After the initial performance test of a wet scrubber, the owner or operator shall submit semiannual reports to the Administrator of occurrences when the measurements of the scrubber pressure loss (or gain) and liquid flow rate differ by more than ±30 percent from the averaged determined during the most recent performance test.  (e) The reports required under paragraph (d) shall be postmarked within 30 days following end of the second and fourth calendar quarters.  (f) The owner or operator of any affected fa

#### Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plants, Subpart OOO– General Permits

I	M N	S N	
N	C	C	B. Specific Conditions
			material and is subject to §60.672(h) and subsequently processes unsaturated materials, shall submit a report of this change within 30 days following such change. This screening operation, bucket elevator, or belt conveyor is then subject to the 10 percent opacity limit in §60.672(b) and the emission test requirements of § 60.11 and this subpart. Likewise a screening operation, bucket elevator, or belt conveyor that processes unsaturated material but subsequently processes saturated material shall submit a report of this change within 30 days following such change. This screening operation, bucket elevator, or belt conveyor is then subject to the no visible emission limit in §60.672(h).  (h) The subpart A requirement under §60.7(a)(2) for notification of the anticipated date of initial startup of an affected facility shall be waived for owners or operators of affected facilities regulated under this subpart.  (i) A notification of the actual date of initial startup of each affected facility shall be submitted to the Administrator.  (1) For a combination of affected facilities in a production line that begin actual initial startup on the same day, a single notification of startup may be submitted by the owner or operator to the Administrator. The notification shall be postmarked within 15 days after such date and shall include a description of each affected facility, equipment manufacturer, and serial number of the equipment, if available.  (2) For portable aggregate processing plants, the notification of the actual date of initial startup shall include both the home office and the current address or location of the portable plant.  (j) The requirements of this section remain in force until and unless the Agency, in delegating enforcement authority to a State under section 111(c) of the Act, approves reporting requirements or an alternative means of compliance surveillance adopted by such States. In that event, affected facilities within the State will be relieved of the obligation to comply with requirements of
$\boxtimes$			The owner or operator of a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant using an air general permit may operate a stationary concrete batching plant using an air general permit at the same location provided all nonmetallic mineral processing plant units operate under a single nonmetallic mineral processing plant air general permit, all concrete batching plant units operate under a single concrete batching plant air general permit, and the resultant facility contains no additional nonexempt units and would not be a Title V source; [62-210.300(4)(c)5.j., F.A.C.]  **Comments: The crushing operation is located **\sum independently or *\sum with a stationary concrete batch plant. The operations *\sum are \sum are not considered a Title V source. (See below)
			The owner or operator of a stationary nonmetallic mineral processing plant using an air general permit may operate, or allow the operation of, one or more relocatable concrete batching plants using individual air general permits at the same location as the nonmetallic mineral processing plant provided the resultant facility contains no additional nonexempt units and would not be a Title V source; [62-210.300(4)(c)5.k., F.A.C.]  **Comments: The crushing operation is located **\sum independently or *\sum with relocatable concrete batch plant(s). The operations *\sum are \sum are not considered a Title V source. (See below)
$\boxtimes$			The owner or operator of multiple relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual nonmetallic mineral processing plant air general permits may operate more than one such plant at the same location provided the resultant facility contains no additional nonexempt units, the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants is less than 240,000 gallons per calendar year, the material processed is less than 10 million tons per calendar year, and the fuel oil sulfur content does not exceed 0.5%, by weight. The owner or operator of the nonmetallic mineral processing plants shall maintain a log book to account for fuel consumption and material processed on a monthly basis. Fuel supplier certifications shall be maintained to account for the sulfur content of the fuel being burned; and [62-210.300(4)(c)5.1., F.A.C.]

#### Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plants, Subpart OOO- General Permits

I N	M N C	S N C	B. Specific Conditions
			Comments: The facility ☐ does ☐ does not operate multiple nonmetallic mineral processing plants using an individual air general permit. Records are required, ☐ yes ☐ no. If required, records reviewed from
			If a relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant is used to perform a routine function of a facility subject to regular air permitting, such as crushing recycled asphalt (rap) at an asphalt plant, it shall not operate under the authority of an air general permit. In such case, the regularly permitted facility air construction or air operation permit(s) must provide for operation of the nonmetallic mineral processing plant as an emission unit. If a relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plant is used at a regularly permitted facility for a non-routine activity, such as destruction of a building, it may do so under the authority of its air general permit. In either case, the resultant facility shall not be a Title V source. [62-210.300(4)(c)5.m., F.A.C.]  **Comments: The crushing operation \( \subseteq \) is not operating at a regularly permitted facility, for the purposes of \( \subseteq \) a routine function \( \subseteq \) non-routine activity (\( \subseteq \subseteq \)).
I N	M N C	S N C	C. Selected General Conditions and Procedures
			Administrative Corrections. Within 30 days of any changes requiring corrections to information contained in the notification form, the owner or operator shall notify the Department in writing. Such changes shall include:  a. Any change in the name of the authorized representative or facility address or phone number; or  b. Any other similar minor administrative change at the facility or emissions unit.  [62-210.300(4)(d)3., F.A.C.]  Comments:  There are no administrative changes.
			<b>Equipment Changes.</b> In case of the installation of new process equipment, alteration of existing process equipment without replacement, or the replacement of existing process equipment with equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form, the owner or operator shall submit a new and complete general permit notification form with the appropriate fee pursuant to Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C., to the appropriate Department of Environmental Protection district office or local air pollution control program office to which the Department has delegated its permitting authority.  [62-210.300(4)(d)3., F.A.C.]  **Comments:** There are no equipment changes.
			If, for any reason, the owner or operator of any facility operating under an air general permit pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4)(a), F.A.C., does not comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the permit, the permittee shall immediately provide the Department with the following information:  1. A description of and cause of noncompliance; and  2. The period of noncompliance, including dates and times; or, if not corrected, the anticipated time the noncompliance is expected to continue, and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance. The permittee shall be responsible for any and all damages which may result.  [62-210.300(4)(e)13., F.A.C.]  Comments:  There were no periods of non-compliance.
			Valid Permit  Throughout the term of the general permit:  a. The facility operates no emissions units other than a unit described in an air general permit and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of Rule 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C.;  b. The facility is not a Title V source as defined in Rule 62-210.200, F.A.C.  [62-210.300(4)(c), F.A.C.

	M	S				
I	N	N				
N	C	C	C. Selected General Conditions and Procedures			
			Comments:			
$\boxtimes$			A permittee's use of a general permit is limited to five years. No later than 30 days prior to the fifth anniversary of the filing of intent to use the general permit, the owner or operator shall submit a new notice of intent which shall contain all current information regarding the facility or emissions unit. Eligibility to use the general permit is not transferable and does not follow a change in ownership of the facility or emissions unit. Prior to any sale, other change of ownership, or permanent shutdown of the facility, the owner or operator is encouraged to notify the Department of the pending action. The owner shall remain liable for corrective actions that may be required as a result of any violations occurring in the time after the sale or legal transfer of the facility or emissions unit, but before a new owner is entitled to use an air general permit.  [General Conditions - 62-210.300(4)(e)1., F.A.C.]  **Comments: The permit expires on 3/25/2012. A new notification form is required to be submitted no later than 2/24/2012.			
$\boxtimes$			No person shall circumvent any air pollution control device or allow the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices. [62-210.300(4)(e)12., F.A.C.]			
			Comments: The facility uses a water suppression system for dust control.			
			D. Other:			
Clo	sing	g Co	nference $\Xi \operatorname{Yes} \ \square \ \operatorname{No}$			
			ments:			
	I informed Dominic and Darryl and the facility appears to be in compliance at this time. I also reminded them that their permit					
gro	expires next year before my next inspection and the renewal needs to be submitted 30 days prior. I encouraged them to keep the grounds wet and swept to control unconfined emissions and informed them that I was pleased to not observe any such emissions during my visit due to the sufficiently wet grounds I noted upon arrival.					
Inspector(s): Shannon Ransom, Pinellas County, Air Quality Division						
	Signature(s)  Date: 4/28/11					
			CLOC? ACCESS? ADMc?			

 $H: \label{linear} \label{linear} H: \label{linear} Wp docs \label{linear} AQI \label{linear} AQI \label{linear} AQI \label{linear} \label{linear}$