

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)		ISCOVERY (CI)			
AIRS ID#: 1010077 DATE: <u>2/9/12</u>	ARRIVE: <u>115</u>	DEPART:	200		
FACILITY NAME: PREFERRED MATERIALS	-CCB PLANT (KEYS)				
FACILITY LOCATION: 11913 SR 54					
ODESSA 33556	ó				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Darryl Fales Email: dfales@preferredmaterials.com CONTACT NAME: Phil Novomestky Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 12/15/2007 / 12/15/2012 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: (239)992-1400 Mobile: (239)229-6750 PHONE: Mobile:					
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check U only one box) IN COMPLIANCE					
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETIN 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Phil Novo	_		(check U only one box for each question)		
Brief Notes: Phil indicated that he is the facility	y contact and David Guillaur	me is no longer with compa	any.		
2. Is the Authorized Representative still DAVID G If no, who is?: <u>Darryl Fales</u>	UILLAUME?		☐ Yes ⊠No		
If different, did the facility provide an administra 3. Is the facility contact still DON HILL? If no, who is?: Phil Novomestky			☐ Yes		
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during tod If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least	ay's inspection?east 15 days in advance?		∑ Yes		

Emissions Unit Section 2 –Split Silo (west) - Slag Silo subject to Reasonable Precautions

2 – Split Silo (west) - Slag Silo subject to Reasonable Precautions			
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION			
	Yes No Yes No Yes No		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.			
FART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS - Ruit 02-270.414(2), F.A.C.			
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u>			
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards			
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: 			
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following	ng:		
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? X			
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? X Y	7		
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	es No		
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne			
particulate matter? X Y	res 🗌 No		
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? Y	es □ No		
purificulate matter from stock prices.	03		
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🛛 Y	es No		
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	Yes No		
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known).			

Emissions Unit Section 3 –Split Silo (east)- Flyash Silo subject to Reasonable Precautions

5 – Spiit Siio (east)- Fryash Siio subject to Reasonable Precautions		
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
1. Date of last inspection: 4/3/09 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	☐ Yes	 No No No No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
 Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by: 	ed	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the f 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 		☐ No
control emissions?	- X Yes	☐ No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	- X Yes	□ No
particulate matter from stock piles?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	Yes Yes	□ No □ No

Emissions Unit Section 4 –Single Compartment Cement Silo subject to Reasonable Precautions

PAR	TI: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
2. D	Date of last inspection: 4/3/09 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? f not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	- Tes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PAR	T II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		_
Con	onfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and veying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
11	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfirmissions by:	ied	
a	 Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 		☐ No
	control emissions?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	particulate matter from stock piles?	- X Yes	☐ No
b	. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	☐ No
a b	f reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No

Emissions Unit Section
5 - Concrete mixer truck loadout / Batching Operation w/Baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	
1. Date of last inspection: 4/3/09 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
DARTH, BIELD ORGEDVATIONS, Dale (2.20(414/2) E.A.C.	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Yes 	☐ No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	— □ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? Yes	☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	□ No
b. Ose of spray bar, churc, of partial enclosure to find gate emissions at the drop point to the truck: 🖂 Tes	
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	☐ No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	☐ No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	

Emissions Unit Section 6 -Cement weigh Hopper w/ Cartridge batcher vent subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
Date of last inspection: 4/3/09 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/ c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
DADEW THEY D ODGEDVATIONS D. L. (2.40/.414/4) E.A. (3.40/.414/4)		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>		
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unce emissions by:	onfined	
·		
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		□ No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary control emissions?	to	□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	<u>N</u> 168	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	X Yes	□ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment particulate matter from stock piles?		□ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck	? \(\times \text{Yes}\)	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No

Emissions Unit Section 7 –Flyash weigh hopper w/ cartridge batcher vent subject to Reasonable Precautions

PA	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	
	Date of last inspection: 4/3/09 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
D.	ADT II. FIELD ODSEDVATIONS Dulo 62 206 414(2) F A C	
P P	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
	nconfined <u>Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Onveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	
1.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:	
	a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	☐ No
	owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	□ No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🗵 Yes	☐ No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	☐ No ☐ No

Facility Section (continued)

CO	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY		
		(check U box for each	•
		00x 101 cacii (question
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?	✓ Vas	\sqcap No
	b. 25 tons per year or more of any nazardous air pollutant?b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?		∐ No □ No
	c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?		□ No
	e 100 tolls per year or more or any other regulated an portamine.	Z 105	L 1,0
2.	Does this facility include:		
	a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception	of	
	units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or		<u></u>
	Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	- Yes	⊠ No
	If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general		
	permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
	If YES, what other general permit units or activities?		
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:		
	a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	- X Yes	☐ No
	b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline?	- 🔯 Yes	☐ No
	c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas?		☐ No
	d. 1.3 million gallons of propane?		□ No
	e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	Yes	∐ No
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propa	ane/vr < 1.00	9
	275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propan		•
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum		_ ,,
	for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	- ∐ Yes	∐ No
	ENERAL CONDUCTORS		
Gı	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check U	
		box for each	question)
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed		
	the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control		
	devices?	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator:	<u> </u>	_ ,,
	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	- X Yes	∐ No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?	- X Yes	☐ No
3.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, acces		L 110
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general		
	permit and Department rules?	X Yes	☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:		(check U	•
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (box for each ag question 2.)	,
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?		Yes	☐ No
 (If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.) a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busing c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification 	Local Air Program by telephone, prior to changing location?cation Form [DEP No. 62-210.900] ness days following a relocation?	[6)] 	□ No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least fiv			☐ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions uni a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine pu If YES, what was the purpose?	t in that separate permit:		☐ No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long i co-located at the permitted facility?			☐ No ☐ No
CHANGES		(check U box for each	•
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adm. 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days.	on of the facility or any emissions uninstrative change at the facility?	ative not its or Yes	No No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?		\ Yes	⊠ No
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substituted. A change in ownership?	?stantially different?		No No No
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registrat 30 days prior to the change?		omitted \(Yes	☐ No
Max Grondahl	2/9/12		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
1/1/M/ AVVIVALA	2/9/15		

COMMENTS: Audited visible emissions tests on each required point. Matt Welborn of Arlington was tester on site. He did not test truck loadout as it is a separate process. The two weigh hopper dust collectors do not control truck loadout emissions. No visible emissions were observed during testing. Phil informed me that David Guillaume is no longer with the company. Following the inspection I placed a call to Erin Zimmet informing her that the authorized rep. for the facility must be updated via a letter or email (written notification required by rule). She emailed me back with an attached June 21, 2011 letter that was sent to Dick Dibble at DARM listing Darryl Fales as the new President and Authorized Rep for all Preferred Materials facilities. This facility does not use fuel and is not collocated with any other facilities, therefore fuel use records are not required.