WHENTIN PROTECTION	
Same Course	
FLORIDA	

NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING PLANTS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION <u>TYPE</u> :	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/D ARMS COMPL	DISCOVERY (CI)		
AIRS ID#: 0710126 DA		ARRIVE: <u>08:30</u>	DEPART: <u>12:35</u>		
FACILITY NAME: AI	-				
OWNER/AUTHORIZE Email: dbeatty@cen CONTACT NAME: E Email: dbeatty@cen ENTITLEMENT PERI	DANIEL BEATTY nexusa.com		PHONE: (239)267-8181 Mobile: PHONE: (239)267-8181 Mobile:		
Facility Section					

PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check 🗹 only one box)					
IN COMPLIANCE	MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE	SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			

	ART II: <u>ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING</u>	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1.	Name(s) of facility representative(s): Dan Beatty Brief Notes:		
2.	Is the Authorized Representative still DANIEL BEATTY?	X Yes	□No
3.	If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? Is the facility contact still DANIEL BEATTY?	☐ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No
4.	Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	Yes Yes	□No □No

Emissions Unit Section
24 – 1- Hopper/ Grizzley Crusher - Primary Crushing/Storage Area

	(check \blacksquare only one
	box for each question)
 Is the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic M (Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of vis any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, . Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Sh (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbona and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15) Per (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.] I. Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	which the majority Dolomite, Granite, pell; (2) Sand and Gravel; n Clay; (4) Rock Salt; ate, Sodium Chloride, including Borax, Kernite, rlite; (16) Vermiculite; YesNo YesNo YesNo YesNo tion, c g g g g g g g g g g g g g
 Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process 	
any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	Yes 🖾No
capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?7. Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a	Yes 🖾No
capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour) ?	Yes 🖾No
8. Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour) ?	YesNo

9. Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or			
belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?		Vac	🖾No
<i>{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or</i>		Yes	ANO
which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operate	d		
at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material			
with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi			
of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wett			
solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}	си		
10. Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line			
downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,			
grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	\square	Yes	🖾No
<i>Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract</i>			
any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic			
mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface			
moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material			
through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by			
wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}			
If answer to any of the six Questions 5-10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to			
subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.			
If the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.			
11. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?			
12. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?		Yes	🖾No
If answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20			
13 Deep the EU have a particulate matter ageture suster (aguinment including analogures			
13.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		Yes	🖾No
moods, rans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		105	AIO
If answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19			
14. Initial Tests:			
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		37	
initial startup of the EU? \Box N/A	H	Yes	
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	H	Yes	L.No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)? d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	H	Yes Yes	□No □No
u. If yes, was the opacity less than of equal to 7% opacity?		105	NO
15. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with emissions limits:			
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of			
initial startup of the EU? N/A		Yes	🗌 No
$\{A ``vent'' is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the approximate the second secon$			
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}	_		_
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Ц	Yes	No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	Ц	Yes	No
d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	\Box	Yes	No

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);		
follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturir	σ	
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or	0	
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,		
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	T Yes	□ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the		
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	□ Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250		NO
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
and		
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the		
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ?	Yes	No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	🖾No
If yes:		
a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to		
the discharge spray nozzles?		
b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete		
corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?		
c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,	_	_
recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	Yes	L.No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following		
questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20 Deep the EU home a nonticulate matter emotion system (a minute timber timber)		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures,		
Honda fong domnand ata) to continue and transport norticulate matter to a control device?		\bigtriangledown No
Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	⊠No
	Yes	⊠No
21. Initial Tests:	Yes	⊠No
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		_
 21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? X 	Yes	No
 21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? ∑ N/A b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)? 	Yes Yes	□ No □No
 21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? X 	Yes	No

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of	_	_
initial startup of the EU? 🛛 N/A	∐ Yes	s 🗌 No
<i>A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the</i>		
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
one or more affected EUs.}		
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	T Yes	s 🗌No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	T Yes	
e. Were mittal ragiave emissions nom non vent banding opennigs less than of equal to 7% opacity.		J()
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	T Yes	s 🛛 No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		3 210
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the		
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's	—	
instructions?		s []No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
and		
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and th	e	
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ?	Yes	s 🗌No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%	_	
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate. }		
or design berubbing inquite now rule.j		
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU? <u>02/25/10</u>		
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?	T Yes	s 🗌No
		sNO
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:		
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?	Yes Yes	
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?	Yes Yes	s 🛛No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit?	\boxtimes Yes	s <u> </u>
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	🛛 Yes	s 🗌 No
Rate:		
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	X Yes	s 🗌No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	Xes Yes	s 🗌No
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?	X Yes	s ПNo
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	\boxtimes Yes	=
		510
Rate:	∇ \mathbf{v}	
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes Yes	s []No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.	N	—
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	Yes Yes	s []No
]
VE Opacity Limits		

	EU not subject to 40 CFR 60 Subpart OOO	Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008	Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%	12%
All other affected EUs	20%	10%	7%

Emissions Unit Section 25 – 2 Dry Conveyors - Primary Crushing/Storage Area

		(check 🗹	only one
		box for each	question)
1. 2. 3.	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin {Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majori is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Grani Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vernic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.] Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	box for each ng Plants? ty te, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite, tulite; Yes ∑ Yes	•
su If	 building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to ubpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. 		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		
6	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	Yes	🖾No
	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	🖾No
7.	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour) ?	Yes	🖾No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour) ?	Yes	No

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,			
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?		Yes	🖾No
	<i>Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or</i>	-		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operate	ed		
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia			
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing	ng		
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wette	ed		
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}			
10				
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line			
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		V	
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?		Yes	⊠No
	<i>(Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract</i>			
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic			
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface			
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material			
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by			
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}			
7.0				
	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to			
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.			
<i>1</i>) I	ne answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is No then continue to Question 11.			
11	When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?			
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?		Yes	🖾No
If .	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20			
13	Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,			
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		Yes	No
If a	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19			
14	Initial Tests:			
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of			
	initial startup of the EU? N/A		Yes	🗌 No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?		Yes	No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?		Yes	No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?		Yes	□No
15				
15	If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits:			
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of			
	initial startup of the EU? N/A		Yes	🗌 No
	<i>A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the</i>		100	
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from			
	one or more affected EUs.}			
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?		Yes	No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?		Yes	No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	\Box	Yes	No

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	ıg	
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,		
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	Yes	🗌 No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
 a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	· 🗌 Yes	No
 and b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 		No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	XNo
 21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? ∑ N/A b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not					
individually in compliance with emissions limits:					
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of					
initial startup of the EU? \square N/A	Yes	∐ No			
$\{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the induced air flow for the induced are the set of the induced are the set of the set of$					
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from					
<i>one or more affected EUs.</i> } b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	T Yes	□No			
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	\square Yes	\square No			
c. were initial rughtive emissions from non-vent bunding openings less than of equal to 7% opacity?		N0			
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	🖾No			
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:					
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the					
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		_			
instructions?	Yes	No			
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250					
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}					
and					
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ?	e Ves	□No			
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%	les	NO			
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}					
of design serubbing riquid now rate.					
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU? 2/25/10					
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?	Yes	No			
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:					
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?	🛛 Yes	No			
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?	Yes	🖾No			
25 Was a VE test conducted by the summer/on angles for this unit during this site visit?	Vac				
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit?	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	∟No □No			
Rate:		NO			
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Xes	□No			
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.					
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	Yes	No			
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	🖾No			
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	Yes	No			
Rate:					
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	No			
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.	—	— .			
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	∐ Yes	L.No			
VE Opacity Limits					
	t 000 EU				

	EU not subject to 40 CFR 60 Subpart OOO	Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008	Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%	12%
All other affected EUs	20%	10%	7%

Emissions Unit Section	
26-1 Wet Screen and 2 Wet Conveyors - Primary Crushing/Storag	e

		(check 🗹	only one
	ł	box for each	question)
1. 2. 3. 4.	<pre>the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin {Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majoria is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granti Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock. (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vernic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.] Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?</pre>	y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	No No No No
su	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		
6	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	Yes	🖾No
	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)? Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a	Yes	🖾No
	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour) ?	Yes	🖾No
а.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour) ?	Yes	No

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or helt conveyer in a production line that processes seturated metainly up to the first envelope			
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	\square	Yes	
	<i>Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or</i>		168	LNo
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operate	d		
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia			
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi			
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet			
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}	eu		
	solely by wel suppression systems is not considered to be suturated for purposes of this definition.}			
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line			
10	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,			
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	\square	Yes	No
	grinding him of storage on in the production line.		103	
	{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract			
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic			
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface			
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material			
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by			
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}			
If	answer to any of the six Questions 5 - 10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to			
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.			
	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.			
-7				
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?			
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?		Yes	□No
14			103	
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20			
13	Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures,	_		_
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	\Box	Yes	No
10	and the second			
IJ	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19			
14	. Initial Tests:			
– ·	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of			
	initial startup of the EU? N/A		Yes	🗌 No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Ы	Yes	No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	П	Yes	No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	П	Yes	No
15	. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not			
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:			
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of			
	initial startup of the EU? N/A		Yes	🗌 No
	$\{A ``vent'' is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the above the the the the the the the the the th$			
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from			
	one or more affected EUs.}			
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?		Yes	No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?		Yes	No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?		Yes	No
lí –				

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator:		
\Box uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);		
follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturir		
	ig	
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or		
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,		_
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	∐ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the		
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		
instructions?	T Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
and		
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the		
	— — —	
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	∐ Yes	LNo
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		
10 Is mot summarian used to control amissions from the EUP		
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	∐ Yes	LNo
If yes:		
a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to		
the discharge spray nozzles?		
b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete		
corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?		
c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,		
recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	Yes	No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following		
questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures,		
Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		No
ribbus, rans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device.		140
21. Initial Tests:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU? \Box N/A	Yes	
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	∐ Yes	L.No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes	LNo
d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	LNo

-						
2	2. If the EU is a building enclosing an	y other regulated EUs a	and all enclosed EUs are not			
	individually in compliance with em	issions limits:				
	a. Was an initial PM stack test perfor	rmed on each vent contro	ol device within 180 days of			
	initial startup of the EU?				Yes	□ No
ł	{A "vent" is any opening through wh				,	
	purpose of exhausting from a building					
	one or more affected EUs.}	g un currying purnemun	e mailer (1 M) emissions from			
		an an article the DM limits	$f_{0} 05 = (4 - m) (0 022 - m) (4 - 5)$. –	l V	
	b. Was the EU found to be in compli] Yes	L.No
	c. Were initial fugitive emissions fro	m non-vent building ope	enings less than or equal to 7%	opacity?	Yes	L.No
2	3. Is a wet scrubber used to control e	nissions from the FU?			Yes	No
2	If yes, does the owner/operator maint] 105	
	•	-	an of the and stream through the	_		
	a. a device for the continuous measure					
	scrubber and the device has bee	n calibrated on an annua	I basis in accordance with man	utacturer s	1 • •	
	instructions?				Yes	L.No
	{Note: The monitoring device r		nanufacturer to be accurate wit	hin +250		
	pascals +1 inch water gauge pre	essure.}				
	and					
	b. a device for the continuous measu				_	
	device has been calibrated on an				Yes	LNo
	{Note: The monitoring device r	nust be certified by the n	nanufacturer to be accurate with	hin +5%		
	of design scrubbing liquid flow	rate.}				
2	4. When was the last VE test conduct	ed by the owner/operat	or for this EU?			
	a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60	subpart OOO, has the EU	J been tested within the past 5	vears?	Yes	🖂No
	b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpar		1		•	
	i. has the EU been tested during		dar vears?	Г] Yes	□No
	ii. has the EU been tested yet w				Yes	No
	n. hus the Lo been tested yet w	tunn the current calendar	your.		105	10
2	5. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>ow</i>	ner/operator for this up	it during this site visit?	Г	Yes	🖂No
	a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro-				Yes	No
	Rate:	seess rule that is represer	had ve of the hormal fate.		105	
	b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ing to EPA Method 9? -		_	Yes	□No
	c. The VE test resulted in an opacity				J 103	
					l V	
	d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	mance with the opacity f	imit? (See chart below)	L	Yes	No
2	6. Was a VE test conducted by the ins	nactor for this unit due	ing this site visit?	F	Yes	🖂No
1	a. Was the VE test conducted by the ms				Yes	\square No
		beess rate that is represen		L	jies	NO
	Rate:			_	1 57	
1	b. Was the VE test conducted accord			L	Yes	L.No
	c. The VE test resulted in an opacity			_	1 • •	
	d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	pliance with the opacity l	imit? (See chart below)	L	Yes	L.No
		VE Opaci	ity Limits			
		EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Subpart O	OO EU	
1			•			

	EU not subject to 40 CFR 60 Subpart OOO	Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008	Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%	12%
All other affected EUs	20%	10%	7%

Emissions Unit Section
27 -2 - CRUSHERS - Secondary Crushing/Screening/Storage Area

	(check 🗹	only one			
	box for each	n question)			
Is the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Prod		• /			
 Is the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart 000 – Nonmetallic Mineral Properties of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, C Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Same (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) I (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including B and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Ve (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.] I. Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	ajority Granite, d and Gravel; Rock Salt; Chloride, orax, Kernite, ermiculite; X Yes Yes	□No □No □No □No			
If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.					
5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	🗌 Yes	XNo			
6. Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?		No			
7. Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour) ?		No			
 8. Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour) ? 		No			

-				
9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,			
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?		Yes	🖾No
	<i>{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or</i>		105	
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operate	d		
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material			
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing			
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wette	ed		
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}			
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line			
10	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,			
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	\square	Yes	🖾No
		_		
	<i>{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract</i>			
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic			
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface			
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material			
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}			
If	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to			
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.			
If	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.			
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?			
		_		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Ш	Yes	⊠No
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20			
13	. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,			
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		Yes	No
10				
IJ	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19			
14	. Initial Tests:			
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of			_
	initial startup of the EU? N/A		Yes	No No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Ц	Yes	L.No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)? d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	H	Yes Yes	□No □No
	u. If yes, was the opacity less than of equal to 7% opacity?		105	NO
15	. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not			
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:			
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		* 7	
	initial startup of the EU? \Box N/A	\Box	Yes	∐ No
	{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from			
	one or more affected EUs.}			
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	\square	Yes	No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	\square	Yes	No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?		Yes	No

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or	ng	
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,		
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	Yes	🗌 No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		
instructions?	T Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
and		
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ?	Yes	No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes:		
a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?		
b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete		
corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?		
c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,		
recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	Yes	No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following		
questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures,	—	
Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	∐ Yes	⊠No
21. Initial Tests:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU? \bigotimes N/A	Yes	
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	Yes	L.No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes Ves	L.No
d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	L.No

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with emissions limits:			
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of	_		_
initial startup of the EU? 🕅 N/A	\Box	Yes	L No
$\{A \text{``vent''} is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the$			
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}			_
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		Yes	L.No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?		Yes	L.No
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	🖾No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:			
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's			
instructions?		Yes	No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250			
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}			
and			
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and th	e		
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions ?		Yes	No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%			
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			
24 When we the last VE test conducted by the owner/energies for this EU2 2/25/10			
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU? <u>2/25/10</u>		Vac	
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:		Yes	L.No
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?	\square	Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?		Yes	\square No
II. has the EO been tested yet within the current calendar year?		1 68	⊠N0
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit?	\boxtimes	Yes	No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	\boxtimes	Yes	No
Rate:			
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	\boxtimes	Yes	No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.			
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	\boxtimes	Yes	No
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?	\square	Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit:		Yes	No
Rate:		103	
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	\square	Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}\%$ for the highest six-minute average.		105	
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	\square	Yes	□No
a. Did the v D test demonstrate compnance with the opacity mint? (See chart below)		103	NU
VE Opacity Limits			
VE Opacity Limits			

VE Opacity Limits				
	EU not subject to 40 CFR 60 Subpart OOO	Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008	Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008	
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%	12%	
All other affected EUs	20%	10%	7%	

<u>RI</u>	EASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)
1.	 Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)? N/A If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring? 	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
	 b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of N/A 	YesYesYes	No No No No
2.	particulate matter from stock piles? N/A If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	⊠ Yes □ Yes □ Yes	 No □ No □No

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY (check \square only one box for each question) 1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: 🖾..No a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? ----- Yes b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? ------X..No c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? ------ TYes X..No 2. Does this facility include: a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? ------ Yes X..No If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? ----- Yes X..No If YES, what other general permit units or activities?

3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:	
	a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? Xes	No
	b) 23,000 gallons of gasoline? Xes	No
	c) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? Yes	No
	d) 1.3 million gallons of propane? 🛛 Yes	No
	e) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? Xes	No
() gal diesel/yr + () gal gasoline/yr + () MM SCF nat. gas/yr + () MM gal propane/yr < 1.00 ?	
27	75,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propane/yr	
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumption for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	🖾No

G	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹	
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or	box for each	question)
	Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air	—	
	pollution control devices?	∐ Yes	⊠No
2.			—
	a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	- 🛛 Yes	L.No
3	 b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit? Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, acces 	S Yes	No
5.	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	- 🛛 Yes	No

	ELOCATABLE PLANT The facility: S is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.)	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
2.	 For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation?	6)]	□No □No
3.	If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operate permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is <u>not</u> included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?		No
	 b) were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in any consecutive 12-month period? 	Yes Yes	□No □No

	HANGES Iministrative Changes:	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
	Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions un operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?	nits or	XNo
	If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? ew or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	Yes	⊠No
3.	Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment?	Yes Yes Yes omitted	⊠No ⊠No ⊠No ⊠No

Wayne Lewis

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

02/15/11

Date of Inspection

02/15/12

Inspector's Signature

COMMENTS:

Approximate Date of Next Inspection