

(check  $\square$  only one box for each question)  $\sqrt{TS}$ 

# ERAL PROCESSING



### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

AIRS ID#: 0250258 DATE: <u>1/8/13</u> ARRIVE: <u>10:39 AM</u> DEPART: <u>11:30 AM</u>				
FACILITY NAME: WHITE ROCK QUARRIES-MAIN QUARRY				
FACILITY LOCATION: 18300 NW 122ND AVE				
HIALEAH 33018				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JIM HURLEY Email: CONTACT NAME: RONNIE VAN LANDINGHAM Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 7/2/2011 / 7/2/2016  PHONE: (561)793-2102 Mobile: PHONE: (605)822-5322 Mobile:				
(effective date) (end date)				
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING (check ✓ only	one			
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): RONNIE VAN LANDINGHAM box for each questi				
Brief Notes:				
2. Is the Authorized Representative still JIM HURLEY? Yes	No			
	No No			
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection? Yes If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 days in advance? Yes	No No			

# Emissions Unit Section 1 –NMMP Plant-crushers subject to NSPS Subpart OOO

		(check <b>☑</b>	only one
	t	ox for each	question)
<u>Is</u>	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing (Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorities any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granities Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlos and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ng Plants? y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	1
	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	⊠ Yes	□No
3.	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?	Yes	No
su	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		
6.	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	∐ Yes	⊠No
	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	⊠No
	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	⊠ Yes	□No
υ.	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No

#### <u>1 –NMMP Plant-crushers subject to NSPS Subpart OOO</u>

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operat		
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia		
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi		
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet	ted	
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
Ιf	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart 000 so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
IJ	the answer to all of the six Questions 3-10 above is 110 then continue to Question 11.		
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	☐ Yes	⊠No
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,		
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes Yes	□No
If	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	Initial Tests:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? 🔯 N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes	□No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Yes	□No
15	. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? 🔯 N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
	$\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the } \}$		
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
	one or more affected EUs.}		
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?		□No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	□No

### 1 –NMMP Plant-crushers subject to NSPS Subpart OOO

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?		esNo
If yes, the owner operator:  conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity?   N/A	□ Y	es 🗌 No
<b>18.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?</b> If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	□ Y	esNo
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	. <u> </u>	esNo
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		esNo
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	□ Y	esNo
<ul> <li>If yes:</li> <li>a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?</li> <li>b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?</li> <li>c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?</li></ul>	□ Y	es
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
<b>20.Does the EU have a particulate matter</b> <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	□ Y	es 🗵No
21. Initial Tests:  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Y ☐ Y	es No esNo esNo esNo

#### <u>1 –NMMP Plant-crushers subject to NSPS Subpart OOO</u>

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any	other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with emi					
a. Was an initial PM stack test perform					
initial startup of the EU?			/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
$\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through whith}$	ch there is mechanicall	y induced air flow for the			
purpose of exhausting from a building	air carrying particular	te matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}					
<ul> <li>b. Was the EU found to be in complia</li> </ul>				☐ Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from	n non-vent building ope	enings less than or equal to 7%	opacity?	Yes Yes	□No
23.Is a wet scrubber used to control en	signiang from the EUO			□ Vac	□ No
If yes, does the owner/operator mainta				∐ Yes	□No
a. a device for the continuous measur		oss of the gas stream through th	2		
scrubber and the device has been					
instructions?				☐ Yes	⊠No
{Note: The monitoring device m				1 CS	☑1₹0
pascals +1 inch water gauge pres	•	nanuracturer to be accurate with	IIII ±230		
and	ssure. j				
b. a device for the continuous measur	ement of the scrubbing	liquid flow rate to the wet scrul	bber and the	<u>.</u>	
device has been calibrated on an				Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device m					
of design scrubbing liquid flow		manufacturer to be accurate with	1070		
8 1	,				
24. When was the last VE test conducte	d by the owner/operat	tor for this EU?			
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 s	ubpart OOO, has the E	U been tested within the past 5	years?	☐ Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart					_
i. has the EU been tested during				Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested yet wi	thin the current calenda	r year?		Yes	∟No
25 Was a VE test conducted by the cour	on/on angton fon this w	nit duning this site visit?		☐ Yes	⊠No
<b>25. Was a VE test conducted by the </b> <i>own</i> a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro				Yes	□No
Rate:	cess rate that is represe	mative of the normal rate:		1 es	NO
b. Was the VE test conducted accordi	ng to EPA Method 92 -			☐ Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of				1 C3	
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compl	iance with the onacity	limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No
a. Did the VE test demonstrate comp.	iance with the opacity	mint. (see chart selow).			
26. Was a VE test conducted by the insp	<i>pector</i> for this unit du	ring this site visit?		☐ Yes	⊠No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro	cess rate that is represe	ntative of the normal rate?		☐ Yes	□No
Rate:					
b. Was the VE test conducted accordi	ng to EPA Method 9? -			Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of	of% for the high	est six-minute average.			
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compl	iance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		Yes Yes	□No
	VE Opac	ity Limits			
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Subpart	OOO EU	
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,	_	ted, modifi	ed,
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior		structed on	,
	ru	to 4/22/2008	after 4/2		
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%	32202 1/2	12%	
All other affected EUs	20%	10%		7%	
	_0/0	1 20/0	<u> </u>	. , ,	

# Emissions Unit Section 2 –NMMP Plant-Screens subject to NSPS Subpart OOO

		(check <b>☑</b>	only one
	ŀ	ox for each	question)
<u>Is</u>	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing (Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majority is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granity Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlorand Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ng Plants? y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	•
2. 3.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	<ul><li>✓ Yes</li><li>✓ Yes</li></ul>	No  No  No  No
sul If 1	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	⊠No
6.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	⊠ Yes	□No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	Yes	⊠No

#### <u>2 –NMMP Plant-Screens subject to NSPS Subpart OOO</u>

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	□No
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operate	ed .		
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material			
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing			
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wett	ed		
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}			
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?		Yes	⊠No
	{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}			
su	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.			
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?			
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?		Yes	□No
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20			
13	Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		Yes	□No
If	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19			
14	.Initial Tests:			
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	П	Yes	□No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?		Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?		Yes	□No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Ш	Yes	□No
15	. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits:			
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? \[ N/A \]  {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		Yes	☐ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?		Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?		Yes Yes	□No □No
1				

### <u>2 –NMMP Plant-Screens subject to NSPS Subpart OOO</u>

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator:  conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity?   N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
<b>18.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?</b> If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	☐ Yes	□No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	□No
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
<ul> <li>If yes:</li> <li>a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?</li> <li>b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?</li> <li>c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?</li></ul>	☐ Yes	□No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
<b>20.Does the EU have a particulate matter</b> <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
21. Initial Tests:  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

#### <u>2 –NMMP Plant-Screens subject to NSPS Subpart OOO</u>

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any	other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with emi					
a. Was an initial PM stack test perform	med on each vent contr	ol device within 180 days of			
initial startup of the EU?		N	/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through whi	ch there is mechanicall	ly induced air flow for the			
purpose of exhausting from a building	air carrying particular	te matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}	, ,,	•			
b. Was the EU found to be in complia	ance with the PM limit	of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		☐ Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from				Yes	□No
_		-			
23.Is a wet scrubber used to control en				☐ Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator mainta					
a. a device for the continuous measur					
scrubber and the device has been					
instructions?				☐ Yes	∐No
{Note: The monitoring device m		manufacturer to be accurate with	nin +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pre-	ssure.}				
and			_		
b. a device for the continuous measur					
device has been calibrated on an				∐ Yes	∐No
{Note: The monitoring device m		manufacturer to be accurate with	nin +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow	rate.}				
24 3371 4 1 4 375 4 4 1 4	11 41 /	4 . 6 . 41. ELIO 12/10/2012			
24. When was the last VE test conducte			0	N 37	□ N
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 s	•	U been tested within the past 5	years?	⊠ Yes	∐No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart		1 0		□ x7	
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?				∐ Yes	∐No
ii. has the EU been tested yet wi	thin the current calenda	ır year?		☐ Yes	⊠No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the own	an/on angton fon this w	nit during this site visit?		☐ Yes	⊠No
a. Was the VE test conducted by the own				Yes	□No
Rate:	cess rate that is represe	mative of the normal rate?			NO
b. Was the VE test conducted accordi	ng to EDA Method 02			☐ Yes	□No
c. The VE test conducted accords					
d. Did the VE test demonstrate complete				Yes	□No
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comple	nance with the opacity	mint: (See chart below)			
26. Was a VE test conducted by the inst	nector for this unit du	ring this site visit?		☐ Yes	⊠No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro				Yes	□No
Rate:	cess rate that is represe	mative of the normal rate.			
b. Was the VE test conducted accordi	ng to EPA Method 9? -			☐ Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity					
d. Did the VE test demonstrate complete		<u> </u>		Yes	□No
or and the value of the second	and with the spacing				
		ity Limits			
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Subpart	: <b>OOO EU</b>	
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,	construc	cted, modifi	ed,
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior	or recon	structed on	or
	•	to 4/22/2008	after 4/2		
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	12%	
All other affected EUs	20%	10%		7%	
THE OHIOL WILCOM DOS	2070	1070		7 70	

# Emissions Unit Section 3 –NMMP Plant-Conveyors subject to NSPS subpart OOO

		(check 🗹	only one
	ŀ	ox for each	question)
<u>Is</u>	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing (Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majority is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granity Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlorand Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ng Plants? y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	1
2. 3.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	⊠ Yes	No  No  No  No
su If	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?		□No ⊠No
7.	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	⊠ Yes	No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	Yes	⊠No

### 3 –NMMP Plant-Conveyors subject to NSPS subpart OOO

	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	l ng	es	□No
	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?		es	⊠No
sub If t	unswer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to part OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. he answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.  When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?			
	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	□ Y	es	⊠No
If a	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20			
13.	<b>Does the EU have a particulate matter</b> <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	□ Y	es	□No
If a	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19			
14.	Initial Tests:  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Y ☐ Y	es es es	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
	If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits:  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Y	es	□ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?		es es es	□No □No □No

### 3 –NMMP Plant-Conveyors subject to NSPS subpart OOO

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Y	es \(\bigcap_{\text{No}}\)
If yes, the owner operator:  conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity?   N/A	☐ Y	es 🗌 No
<b>18.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?</b>	☐ Y	esNo
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	☐ Y	es  No
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		esNo
19.Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Y	esNo
<ul> <li>a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?</li> <li>b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?</li> <li>c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?</li></ul>	☐ You	es □No
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures,		
Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Y	esNo
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yo	esNo esNo

### 3 –NMMP Plant-Conveyors subject to NSPS subpart OOO

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any	other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with emi	ssions limits:				
a. Was an initial PM stack test perform				_	
initial startup of the EU?			/A	Yes No	
{A "vent" is any opening through whi					
purpose of exhausting from a building	air carrying particular	te matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}			_	_	
b. Was the EU found to be in complia				Yes ∐No	
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from	n non-vent building ope	enings less than or equal to 7%	opacity?	YesNo	
23.Is a wet scrubber used to control en	nissions from the FII?			YesNo	
If yes, does the owner/operator mainta					
a. a device for the continuous measur		oss of the gas stream through the	e		
scrubber and the device has been					
instructions?				YesNo	
{Note: The monitoring device m			_		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pre	•	nanaractarer to se accurace with	1111 1230		
and	334101)				
b. a device for the continuous measur	ement of the scrubbing	liquid flow rate to the wet scrul	bber and the		
device has been calibrated on an				YesNo	
{Note: The monitoring device m	oust be certified by the r	nanufacturer to be accurate with	hin +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow	rate.}				
24. When was the last VE test conducte	d by the experience	tor for this FII2			
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 s			vears? 🔲 🔻	Yes \[ \] No	
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart		o been tested within the past 5	years:	105	
		ndar vears?	×	Yes \[ \] No	
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years? YesNo ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year? YesNo					
	ii. has the EO been tested yet within the current calculate year:				
25. Was a VE test conducted by the own	ner/operator for this u	nit during this site visit?		Yes ⊠No	
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro	a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate? Yes				
Rate:					
b. Was the VE test conducted accordi	ing to EPA Method 9? -			YesNo	
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity	c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.			_	
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compl	liance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)	L	Yes ∐No	
26. Was a VE test conducted by the inst	nector for this unit du	ing this site visit?		Yes ⊠No	
a. Was the VE test conducted by the usp				Yes \BoxNo	
Rate:	cess rate that is represe	native of the normal rate.			
b. Was the VE test conducted accordi	ng to EPA Method 9? -			Yes \[ \] No	
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity					
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compl				YesNo	
	VE Opac	itv I imits			
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Subpart OO	O EU	
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,	constructed,		
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior	or reconstruc		
	Suspuit 000	to 4/22/2008	after 4/22/200		
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%	129		
All other affected EUs	20%	10%	7%		
	_0,0	2070	1 , , ,		

# Emissions Unit Section 4 –NMMP Plant-Seven Storage Bins subject to NSPS subpart OOO

1. 2. 3. 4.	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO — Nonmetallic Mineral Processin (Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorii is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common (Clay; (4) Rock is (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}  Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill? ———————————————————————————————————	y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite, ulite; Yes	No No No
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process	M v	□ N.
6.	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	⊠ Yes	□No
	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	⊠No
	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	⊠ Yes	□No
0.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	Yes	⊠No

#### <u>4 –NMMP Plant-Seven Storage Bins subject to NSPS subpart OOO</u>

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	$\boxtimes$ No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operate		
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia	l	
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processis	ng	
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet	ted	
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	[Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
<b>I</b> f	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
,	2		
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	☐ Yes	⊠No
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,		
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	□No
<i>If</i>	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	.Initial Tests:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	∐ Yes	∐ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	∐ Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	∐ Yes	∐No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	∐No
15	If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	☐ No
	$\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the } $		
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
	one or more affected EUs.}		
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	Yes	No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to $7\%$ opacity?	Yes	☐No

### 4 –NMMP Plant-Seven Storage Bins subject to NSPS subpart OOO

16.Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator:   conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22;			
uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);			
follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturing	ng		
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or			
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)			
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,			
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A		Yes	☐ No
18.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:			
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's	_		
instructions?	Ш	Yes	∟No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250 pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}			
and			
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the			
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%			
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	П	Yes	□No
If yes:	ш	105	
a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to			
the discharge spray nozzles?			
b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete			
corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?			
c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,			
recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	□No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following			
questions and go directly to Question 24.			
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,			
Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		Yes	⊠No
Ad X to 1 m			
21. Initial Tests:  Was an initial PM steels test newformed on the control device within 180 days of			
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A		Yes	□ No
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	H	Yes	□ No □No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	H	Yes	□No
d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	H	Yes	□No
and yes, was the expectation of ordinates the operation.	]	_ •0	

### 4 –NMMP Plant-Seven Storage Bins subject to NSPS subpart OOO

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with emissions limits:			Į.
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of			Į.
initial startup of the EU? N/A		Yes	□ No
{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the	ш	168	
			Į.
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from			Į.
one or more affected EUs.}		Vac	$\square$ Mo
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	$\vdash$	Yes	∐No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Ш	Yes	∐No
22 To a most assemble as most to control assistance from the ETT9		*7	No.
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Ш	Yes	⊠No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:			Į.
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			Į.
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's			N 3.7
instructions?	Ш	Yes	⊠No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250			Į.
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}			Į.
and			Į.
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the			
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		Yes	⊠No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%			
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			Į.
			Į.
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU? 12/19/2012	_		Į.
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?		Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:			
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?	$\boxtimes$	Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?		Yes	⊠No
			_
25. Was a VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this unit during this site visit?		Yes	⊠No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?		Yes	
Rate:	_		
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.			
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?		Yes	⊠No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	Ħ	Yes	□No
Rate:	_		
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.		100	
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No
d. Did the 4D test demonstrate compliance with the opacity mint. (See chart sets 11).	ш	105	
			ļ
			ļ
			ļ

## **Facility Section (continued)**

REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)		
1. Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined				
emissions by:  a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)?   N/A  If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring?	⊠ Yes	□ No		
b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	☐ No ☐ No		
of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A	⊠ Yes	☐ No		
e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	⊠ Yes	☐ No		
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?   b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □No		
CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)		
1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:  a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?  b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?  c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	- Yes	□No □No □No		
2. Does this facility include:  a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?				
<ul> <li>b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?</li> <li>If YES, what other general permit units or activities? <u>TWO PORTABLE CRUSHERS</u></li> </ul>		□No		

3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	-	□No □No □No □No □No
27	) gal diesel/yr + ( ) gal gasoline/yr + ( ) MM SCF nat. gas/yr + ( ) MM gal propared 5,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propared 1.5 MM gal propa	<u>ne/yr</u> < 1.00 e/yr	?
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	aption Yes	□No
	ENERAL CONDITIONS  Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
	Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	☐ Yes	⊠No
<b>4.</b>	<ul><li>a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition?</li><li>b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all</li></ul>		□No
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	⊠ Yes	□No
	permit and Department rules?	Yes	□No
RI	ELOCATABLE PLANT	(check 🗹	only one
1.	The facility: $\boxtimes$ is stationary; $\square$ is relocatable; or $\square$ consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. ( <i>If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.</i> )	box for each	•
2.	For a relocated NMMP plant:  a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6 to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation?	5)]	□No
3.	If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operate permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is <u>not</u> included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:  a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?		□No
	If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in any consecutive 12-month period?	Yes	□No

CHANGES  Administrative Changes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
Administrative Changes:	•
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of	
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocat	
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor ad	
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 day	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been	
a) Installation of any new process equipment?	
b) Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement	
c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is su	
d) A change in ownership?	
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registra	
30 days prior to the change?	YesNo
FRANK DELGADO	1/8/2013
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection
	1/2014
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection
COMMUNICAL MOOCIED AND ACCOCIATES CONDITIONED	MUCIPLE EMICCIONG ODCEDMATIONS ON 12/10 20/2012
COMMENTS: KOOGLER AND ASSOCIATES CONDUCTED THERE ARE NO CHANGES IN THE EACH ITY'S EQUIDMENT	
THERE ARE NO CHANGES IN THE FACILITY'S EQUIPMENT	
THE TWO PLANTS WERE OPERATIONAL AT THE TIME OF	
NICK RUDANOVICH, THE FACILITY'S PRODUCTION ENGIN	
I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE OR FUGITIVE EMISSION	NS AROUND THE FACILITY.

REVIEWED

By Ray Gordon at 11:29 am, Jan 23, 2013