NUMBROL PROTECTION
Same Care
FLORIDA

**CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT** 



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/D	ISCOVERY (CI)	
AIRS ID#: 0950058 DA	TE: <u>18 Feb 2014</u>	ARRIVE: <u>0800</u>	DEPART	: <u>1100</u>
FACILITY NAME: A1	BLOCK-ORLANDO			
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 1617 S Division Ave	8		
	ORLANDO 32805	5-4725		
OWNER/AUTHORIZE Email: adam@a1blog	D REPRESENTATIVE:	ADAM FREEMAN*	<b>PHONE:</b> (407)422-37 <b>Mobile:</b>	68
CONTACT NAME: A Email: adam@a1blog ENTITLEMENT PERIC	DAM FREEMAN* ck.com		<b>PHONE:</b> (407)422-37 <b>Mobile:</b>	68
PART I: INSPECTION	CE MINOR Non-CO	- ·	) NIFICANT Non-COMP	LIANCE
PART II- ONSITE INTI	RODUCTORY MEETING	<u>.</u>		
	presentative(s): Brad Coolid			(check 🗹 only one box for each question)
Brief Notes:	<u></u>	<u>8-</u>		
	resentative still ADAM FRE	EMAN*?		YesNo
If different, did the fac 3. Is the facility contact s If no, who is?:	cility provide an administrati <sup>.</sup> still ADAM FREEMAN*?	ve update within 30 days?		- ☐ Yes ☐No - ⊠ Yes ☐No
4. Will facility be conduct If yes, was the complia	cting VE test(s) during today ance authority notified at leas	st 15 days in advance?		XesNo - XesNo

## **Emissions Unit Section**

	2 – CEMENT STORAGE SILO #2 W/BAGHOUSE CONTROL CENTER BLK P subject to	o 5% Opacity	<u>y Limit</u>
1.	RT I:       FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION         Date of last inspection:       7 Feb 2013         Description:       7 Feb 2013	(check 🗹 box for each	
	<ul><li>Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:</li><li>a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?</li><li>b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?</li><li>c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing</li></ul>	Yes Yes	□ No ⊠ No
	operation? X/A d. Date of last VE test: 7 Feb 2013	Yes	🗌 No
	e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing? g. What was the actual silo loading rate? <u>27.16</u> tons/hour	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	☐ No ☐ No
	<ul> <li>h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? X/A</li> <li>i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?</li></ul>	Yes Yes	□ No ⊠ No
	k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	Yes Yes	🗌 No
PA	RT II: <u>STACK EMISSIONS</u> from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1.	Was a visible amissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	<u> </u>	_
	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	🛛 Yes	∐ No
	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		∐ No □ No
		Yes	_
	<ul> <li>a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?</li> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li> </ul>	─ Yes ○ Yes nducted at a rage	☐ No ☐ No ate
	<ul> <li>a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?</li> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	→ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes nducted at a railed during insp	☐ No ☐ No ate
	<ul> <li>a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?</li> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>		I No No nte pection.
	<ul> <li>a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?</li></ul>	$\square Yes$ $\square Yes$ $\square Yes$ $\square Yes$ $h.$ $\square Yes$	I No No nate pection. No
	<ul> <li>a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?</li> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	$\square Yes$ $\square Yes$ $h. \square Yes$ $Part Yes$ $h. \square Yes$ $Har Yes$	I No No No nate pection. No No
	<ul> <li>a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?</li></ul>	$\square Yes$ $\square Yes$ $\square Yes$ $h.$ $\square Yes$ $te and$ $( \square Yes$ $tes$ $n is separate$	I No
	<ul> <li>a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?</li></ul>	$\square Yes$ $\square Yes$ $\square Yes$ $h.$ $\square Yes$ $te and$ $He Add Yes$ $h.$ $\square Yes$ $te s$ $h.$ $\square Yes$ $h.$ $h.$ $\square Yes$ $h.$ $h.$ $\square Yes$ $h.$ $h.$ $\square Yes$ $h.$ $h.$ $h.$ $h.$ $h.$ $h.$ $h.$ $h.$	I No I No ate pection. No No No No No
2.	<ul> <li>a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?</li></ul>	$\square Yes$ $\square Yes$ $\square Yes$ $h. \square Yes$ $te and$ $He and He an$	□ No □ No ate pection. □ No □ No □ No □ No □ No □ No
2.	<ul> <li>a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?</li></ul>	$\square Yes$ $\square Yes$ $h. \square Yes$	□ No □ No ate pection. □ No □ No □ No

d. What was the process rate? <u>30.11</u> tons/hour.

## **Emissions Unit Section**

<u>3 -CEMENT STORAGE SILO #3 - NORTH BLOCK PLANT subject to 5% Opacity Limit</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	1	
TAKI I. <u>FILE REVIEW TRICK TO INSTECTION</u>	(check 🗹	only one
1. Date of last inspection: 7 Feb 2013	box for each	question)
1		
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:		
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	Yes	No No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	∐ Yes	🖾 No
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing	□ ••	
operation? X N/A	∐ Yes	☐ No
d. Date of last VE test: <u>7 Feb 2013</u>		
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?	Yes	∐ No
f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?	🛛 Yes	No No
g. What was the actual silo loading rate? 29.28 tons/hour		
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state	_	_
whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? $\square$ N/A	Yes	l No
i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?	Yes	🖂 No
j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour	_	
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test?	🖂 Yes	∐ No
If not, what was the problem (if known)?		
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	(check 🗹	only one
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each	•
	box for each	question
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Xes	□ No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.	_	
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	X Yes	No No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.	_	
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	Yes	□ No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	Yes Yes	□ No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	Yes Yes	No No Nection.
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	Yes Yes	□ No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	Yes Yes ducted at a rated during insp	Ite Dection.
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	<ul> <li>✓ Yes</li> <li>Aducted at a rated during insp</li> <li>✓ Yes</li> <li>✓ Yes</li> </ul>	No No Nection.
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	<ul> <li>✓ Yes</li> <li>Aducted at a rated during insp</li> <li>✓ Yes</li> <li>✓ Yes</li> <li>✓ Yes</li> </ul>	I No No No No No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0 % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	<ul> <li>∠ Yes</li> <li>Aducted at a rated during insp</li> <li>∠ Yes</li> <li>∠ Yes</li> <li>∧ Yes</li> </ul>	Ite Dection.
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	<ul> <li>∠ Yes</li> <li>Aducted at a rated during inspace</li> <li>∠ Yes</li> <li>∠ Yes</li> <li>h.</li> <li>∠ Yes</li> <li>e and</li> </ul>	□ No tte bection. □ No □ No ⊠ No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	<ul> <li>∠ Yes</li> <li>△ Yes</li> <li>△ during insp</li> <li>△ Yes</li> <li>△ Yes</li> <li><i>h</i>.</li> <li>○ Yes</li> <li>○ Yes</li> </ul>	□ No nte bection. □ No □ No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	<ul> <li>∠ Yes</li> <li>△ Yes</li> <li>△ Yes</li> <li>△ Yes</li> <li>△ Yes</li> <li><i>h</i>.</li> <li>○ Yes</li> &lt;</ul>	□ No tte bection. □ No □ No ⊠ No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	<ul> <li>∠ Yes</li> <li>△ Yes</li> <li>△ Yes</li> <li>△ Yes</li> <li>△ Yes</li> <li><i>h</i>.</li> <li>○ Yes</li> <li><i>i</i>e and</li> <li>○ Yes</li> <li>○ Yes</li> <li><i>i</i>es</li> <li><i>i</i>s separate</li> </ul>	□ No tte bection. □ No □ No ⊠ No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	<ul> <li>∠ Yes</li> <li>△ Yes</li> <li>△ Yes</li> <li>△ Yes</li> <li>△ Yes</li> <li><i>h</i>.</li> <li>△ Yes</li> <li><i>e</i> and</li> <li>△ Yes</li> <li>is separate</li> <li>ector</li> </ul>	□ No tte bection. □ No □ No □ No □ No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	<ul> <li>∠ Yes</li> <li>△ Yes</li> <li>△ Yes</li> <li>△ Yes</li> <li>△ Yes</li> <li>and</li> <li>△ Yes</li> <li>is separate</li> <li>ector</li> <li>∠ Yes</li> </ul>	□ No tte bection. □ No □ No ⊠ No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0 % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	<ul> <li>∠ Yes</li> <li>△ Yes</li> <li>△ Yes</li> <li>△ Yes</li> <li>△ Yes</li> <li>and</li> <li>△ Yes</li> <li>e and</li> <li>△ Yes</li> <li>is separate</li> <li>ector</li> <li>△ Yes</li> <li></li> </ul>	□ No tte pection. □ No □ No □ No □ No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	<ul> <li>∠ Yes</li> <li>△ Yes</li> <li>△ ducted at a rated during inspace</li> <li>△ Yes</li> <li>△ Yes</li> <li>△ Yes</li> <li>e and</li> <li>△ Yes</li> <li>is separate</li> <li>ector</li> <li>△ Yes</li> <li>.s.</li> <li>△ Yes</li> </ul>	□ No tte Dection. □ No □ No □ No □ No □ No □ No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	<ul> <li>∠ Yes</li> <li>△ Yes</li> <li>△ ducted at a rated during inspace</li> <li>△ Yes</li> <li>△ Yes</li> <li>△ Yes</li> <li>e and</li> <li>△ Yes</li> <li>is separate</li> <li>ector</li> <li>△ Yes</li> <li>.s.</li> <li>△ Yes</li> </ul>	□ No tte pection. □ No □ No □ No □ No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	<ul> <li>✓ Yes</li> <li>Mucted at a rated during inspace of the set of the s</li></ul>	<ul> <li>No</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	<ul> <li>∠ Yes</li> <li>△ Yes</li> <li>△ ducted at a rated during inspace</li> <li>△ Yes</li> <li>△ Yes</li> <li>△ Yes</li> <li>e and</li> <li>△ Yes</li> <li>is separate</li> <li>ector</li> <li>△ Yes</li> <li>.s.</li> <li>△ Yes</li> </ul>	□ No tte Dection. □ No □ No □ No □ No □ No □ No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	<ul> <li>✓ Yes</li> <li>Mucted at a rated during inspace of the set of the s</li></ul>	□ No tte pection. □ No

## Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	
	(check $\mathbf{v}$ only one box for each question)
	box for each question)
<ol> <li>Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:         <ul> <li>a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?</li> <li>b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?</li> <li>c. 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	🖾 Yes 🔲 No
2. Does this facility include:	
a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the except units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	
<ul> <li>b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air g permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?</li> <li>If YES, what other general permit units or activities?</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:</li> <li>a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?</li> <li>b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline?</li> <li>c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas?</li> <li>d. 1.3 million gallons of propane?</li> <li>e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?</li> </ul>	X Yes No Yes No Yes No
gal diesel/yrgal gasoline/yrMM SCF nat. gas/yr+MM gal p275,000 gal diesel/yr23,000 gal gasoline/yr44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr1.3 MM gal production	
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel con for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	•
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	🗌 Yes	🖂 No
<ul><li>2. Does the owner or operator:</li><li>a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?</li></ul>		No
<ul><li>b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?</li><li>3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, acces</li></ul>		🗌 No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:         1. Is the facility: stationary [X]; relocatable []; or consisting of both st	ationary and relocatable	(check 🗹 box for each d	•
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>If</i>		question 2.)	
<ol> <li>Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?</li></ol>		Yes	🗌 No
<ul> <li>a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or L e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day p</li> <li>b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifica</li> </ul>	prior to changing location?	Yes	🗌 No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busines c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notificat	ss days following a relocation? ion Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	Yes	No
<ul><li>to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five b</li><li>3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate ai</li></ul>	r construction or air operation perm		L No
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit is a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purp If YES, what was the purpose?		? 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it v co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?		☐ Yes □ Yes	□ No □ No
CHANGES		(check 🗹	•
Administrative Changes:		box for each o	•
<ul> <li><u>Administrative Changes</u>:</li> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admin</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days or a second s</li></ul>	ne facility or authorized representati of the facility or any emissions unit istrative change at the facility?	box for each o ve not s or	•
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of th associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admin	ne facility or authorized representati of the facility or any emissions unit istrative change at the facility? of the change?	box for each o ve not s or Yes Yes - Yes Yes	question)
<ul> <li><u>Administrative Changes</u>:</li> <li>1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of th associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admin</li> <li>2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days o <u>New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership</u>:</li> <li>3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?</li></ul>	ne facility or authorized representati of the facility or any emissions unit istrative change at the facility? of the change? untially different?	box for each o ve not s or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	question)
<ul> <li><u>Administrative Changes</u>:</li> <li>1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admin</li> <li>2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on <u>New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership</u>:</li> <li>3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been <ul> <li>a. Installation of any new process equipment?</li> <li>b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?</li> <li>c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substad. A change in ownership?</li> </ul> </li> <li>4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration</li> </ul>	ne facility or authorized representati of the facility or any emissions unit istrative change at the facility? of the change? untially different?	box for each o ve not s or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes hitted	question)          No         No
<ul> <li><u>Administrative Changes</u>:</li> <li>1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admin</li> <li>2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on <u>New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership</u>:</li> <li>3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been <ul> <li>a. Installation of any new process equipment?</li> <li>b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?</li> <li>c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substad. A change in ownership?</li> </ul> </li> <li>4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration</li> </ul>	ne facility or authorized representati of the facility or any emissions unit istrative change at the facility? of the change? untially different?	box for each o ve not s or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes hitted	question)          No         No
<ul> <li><u>Administrative Changes</u>:</li> <li>1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admin</li> <li>2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> <li>3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?</li></ul>	ne facility or authorized representati of the facility or any emissions unit istrative change at the facility? of the change?	box for each o ve not s or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes hitted	question)          No         No

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:** Inspector Omar Horta met with Kevett Mickle, Grove Scientific & Engineering, and Brad Coolidge, Manager, on 18 Feb 2014 to audit the visible emision test on EU/Silos 2 and 3 baghouses. Loading rate on Silo 2 was 30.11 tons per hour. Loading rate on Silo 3 was 33.19 Tons per hour. The observed opacity on both baghouses was zero percent.