

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:					
AIRS ID#: 0950058 DATE: <u>2/3/12</u> ARRIVE: <u>1:40 PM</u> DEPAR	T: <u>3:05 PM</u>				
FACILITY NAME: A1 BLOCK-ORLANDO					
FACILITY LOCATION: 1617 S DIVISION AVE					
ORLANDO 32805					
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: ADAM FREEMAN Email: CONTACT NAME: ADAM FREEMAN Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/26/2009 / 9/26/2014 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: (407)422-3 Mobile: PHONE: (407)422-3 Mobile:					
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	(check ☑ only one				
Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>ADAM FREEMAN</u>	box for each question)				
Brief Notes:					
2. Is the Authorized Representative still ADAM FREEMAN?	🛚 Yes 🗆No				
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still ADAM FREEMAN? If no, who is?:					
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?					

Emissions Unit Section 8 –CCB Plant-R-Mix Plant,silo#1,splitbin#1(cement)296Bbl,w/DC subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑	only one
4 D . C1	box for each of	
1. Date of last inspection: $\frac{4/6/11}{1}$,
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	₩ vaa	□ No
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?		∐ No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	Yes	⊠ No
	N/A Yes	☐ No
 d. Date of last VE test: 4/6/11 e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing? g. What was the actual silo loading rate? ~25.9 tons/hour 		☐ No ☐ No
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?	N/A Yes	☐ No ☐ No
j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hourk. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the la If not, what was the problem (if known)?	st VE test? Xes	☐ No
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	(check ✓	only one
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each of	•
	box for each c	(destion)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site vi	sit? 🔀 Yes	☐ No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	X Yes	□ No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.		
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? If not, what was the problem (if known)?		☐ No
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading	of the silo conducted at a ra	te
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? \(\subseteq \text{Yes} \subseteq \text{No} \subseteq \text{N/A} -		
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	X Yes	☐ No
f. What was the silo loading rate? <u>28.69</u> tons/hour	_	_
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust co		⊠ No
If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g$ 1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	Yes	☐ No
2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal duration?		☐ No
3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration?		
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust co		
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batc	cher) dust collector	
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration?		⊠ No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site	visit? X Yes	☐ No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		☐ No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute aver		□ N-
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? d. What was the process rate? ~28.69 tons/hour.	\(\times\) Yes	☐ No

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹 box for each o		
Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No	
2. Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No	
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No	
3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	∑ Yes∑ Yes∑ Yes	 No No No No No No No	
gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propane/yr < 1.00? 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propane/yr			
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumption each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	otion Yes	⊠ No	
GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each of	•	
Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	- □ Yes	⊠ No	
Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	
b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	
3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		□ No	

RELOCATABLE PLANT:		(check 🗹	only one		
1. Is the facility: stationary M: relocatable M: or consisting of both s	box for each question)				
1. Is the facility: stationary \(\subseteq \); relocatable \(\subseteq \); or consisting of both stationary and relocatable \(\subseteq \) concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip the following question 2.</i>)					
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and					
soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?		- Yes	☐ No		
(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.) a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or l	ocal Air Program by telephone				
e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notific	prior to changing location?		☐ No		
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busine	ess days following a relocation?	Yes	☐ No		
c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notificato the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five			□ No		
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate a		nit,			
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine pur If YES, what was the purpose?)?	☐ No		
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it		_			
co-located at the permitted facility?		- U Yes - Ves	∐ No □ No		
CHANGES					
CHANGES		(check ☑ box for each			
Administrative Changes:			question		
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation					
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admi	nistrative change at the facility?	- Yes	⊠ No		
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days	of the change?	- Yes	☐ No		
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been					
a. Installation of any new process equipment?		Yes Yes	⊠ No		
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?			No No		
c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?d. A change in ownership?			⊠ No ⊠ No		
			Z 110		
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee submitted 30 days prior to the change? ————————————————————————————————————					
V 1					
Norma Ali	2/3/12				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
	12/31/2013				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Insp	pection			
COMMENTS: The OCEPD inspector, Norma Ali, met with John Freeman and Kevett Mickle, consultant from Grove Scientific, to audit the visual emission compliance test on EU008 (Fly Ash). According to Mr. Freeman, the EU 4A & 4B will be tested in the near future.					
EU008 Opacity observed = 0% Loading rate= 28.69 tph					
No objectionable odors or dust leaving the property was observed. The facility appeared to be in compliance at the time of inspection.					