

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

> Northwest District Branch Office 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard, MS 55 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-3000

Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard Jr. Secretary

April 16, 2012

SENT VIA E-MAIL Brian.Schreiber@andersoncolumbia.com

Brian Schreiber A Materials Group, Inc. Post Office Box 1829 Lake City, Florida 32056-1829

Dear Mr. Schreiber:

A Department representative inspected your facility to determine compliance with the Air Quality Operating Permit. The Air Program identification number for this facility is **7770255**. Your facility permit **expires on May 15, 2013**. This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program.

The Tallahassee Branch Office reported a status of In Compliance for your facility. Your facility compliance status may be subject to further review by the District Program Office.

The assistance you provided is appreciated. The inspection checklist is enclosed. If you have any questions, your contact is Tracy White at 850/ 245-2960 or <u>tracy.a.white@dep.state.fl.us</u>.

Sincerely,

Marlane Castellano

Marlane Castellanos Branch Manager

MC/tw Enclosures

cc: Victor Keisker, AMGI (<u>Victor.keisker@andersoncolumbia.com</u>),(<u>divickeister@att.net</u>) Rick Bradburn, Carol Melton, Mary Beth Curle (FDEP, Pensacola)

NOREON WORCOW
FLORIDA

**CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT** 



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)
AIRS ID#: 7770255 DATE: <u>3/21/2012</u> A	ARRIVE: <u>2:00 P.M.</u> DEPART:
FACILITY NAME: AMGI PLANT #21	
<b>FACILITY LOCATION:</b> 6800 CAPITAL CIRCLE SH	E
TALLAHASSEE 32310	
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: BRIAN Email: CONTACT NAME: CASEY PETERSON Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 5/15/2008 / 5/15/2013 (effective date) (end date)	SCHREIBER PHONE: (386)752-7585 Mobile: PHONE: (850)575-5815 Mobile:
Faci	lity Section
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check	only one box)
IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIA	ANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING         1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Shannon Brown	(check $\blacksquare$ only one box for each question)
Brief Notes:	
2 I. (I. A. (I. C. I. D. C. C. C. C. C. C. D. D. A. N. C. CUDEIDE	

2.	Is the Authorized Representative still BRIAN SCHREIBER?	Yes Yes	No
3.	If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? Is the facility contact still CASEY PETERSON?	Yes Yes	□No □No
4.	Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	Yes Yes	⊠No □No

## **Emissions Unit Section**

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		1
	(check $\blacksquare$ box for each d	only one
1. Date of last inspection: <u>12/09/2010</u>		question
<ol> <li>Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:</li> <li>a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?</li> </ol>	Xes	□ No
b. Has a VE test been performed within the current calendar year?	$\square$ Yes	$\square$ No $\square$ No
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing	L 100	
operation? N/A	Yes Yes	🗌 No
d. Date of last VE test: $10/18/2011$	<b>N</b>	
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	∐ No
<ul><li>f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?</li><li>g. What was the actual silo loading rate? tons/hour</li></ul>	🛛 Yes	∐ No
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state		
whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A	Yes	No No
i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?	Yes	No No
j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour	$\Box$ V <sub>ac</sub>	
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	Yes	∐ No
PART II: <u>STACK EMISSIONS</u> from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other		only one
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each o	question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	🛛 No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.		
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	Yes	No No
If not, what was the problem (if known)?		
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo con	nducted at a ra	ate
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A - silo not load	led during insp	
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	Yes	🗌 No
f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour	$\Box$ V <sub>ac</sub>	
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1 - g.3$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1 - g.3$ and go to a	$\square$ Yes $h$ .	∐ No
1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?		No
<ol> <li>During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate duration?</li></ol>		
		🗌 No
3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minut		
h 1) If amissions from the weigh honner (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which	ic congrate	
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust colle		
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust colle conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?	ector	🗌 No
<ul><li>from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collection conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?</li><li>2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute</li></ul>	ector P D Yes es.	
<ul> <li>from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector dust conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?</li> <li>2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute?</li> <li>2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?</li> </ul>	ector Y  Yes es. Yes	🛛 No
<ul> <li>from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector onducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?</li> <li>2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute</li> <li>2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?</li></ul>	ector Y  Yes es. Yes	
<ul> <li>from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector onducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?</li> <li>2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute</li> <li>2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?</li></ul>	ector Yes Ses. Yes Yes	⊠ No □ No
<ul> <li>from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector onducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?</li> <li>2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute</li> <li>2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?</li></ul>	ector Y  Yes es. Yes	🛛 No

## Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹	only one
	box for each	•
<ol> <li>Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:         <ul> <li>a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?</li> <li>b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?</li> <li>c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?</li> </ul> </li> </ol>		× No × No × No
<ol> <li>Does this facility include:         <ul> <li>a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?</li> <li>If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?</li> </ul> </li> </ol>		🛛 No
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gen permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? If YES, what other general permit units or activities?		🛛 No
<ul> <li>3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:</li> <li>a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?</li> <li>b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline?</li> <li>c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas?</li> <li>d. 1.3 million gallons of propane?</li> <li>e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?</li> </ul>		□ No □ No □ No □ No □ No
gal diesel/yr +gal gasoline/yr +MM SCF nat. gas/yr+MM gal propaga275,000 gal diesel/yr23,000 gal gasoline/yr44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr1.3 MM gal propaga		)?
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consu for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		🛛 No

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	🏾 Yes	No No
<ul><li>2. Does the owner or operator:</li><li>a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?</li></ul>		
<ul> <li>b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?</li> <li>3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, acce</li> </ul>		🗌 No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	_	🗌 No

1. Is the facility: stationary $[X]$ ; relocatable $[]$ ; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable $[]$	(check 🗹 box for each	•
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If only stationary, skip the following	question 2.)	
<ul> <li>Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?</li></ul>	Yes	🛛 No
<ul> <li>a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone,</li> <li>e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location?</li> <li>b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]</li> </ul>	Yes	🗌 No
<ul> <li>b) Did the owner of operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEF No. 02-210.900(6)]</li> <li>to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation?</li> <li>c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]</li> </ul>	Yes	🗌 No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation?		🛛 No
<ol> <li>If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation perm and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:         <ul> <li>a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)</li> </ul> </li> </ol>		🛛 No
If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	- 🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No
<u>CHANGES</u>	(check ☑ box for each	
	box for each	
<ul> <li><u>Administrative Changes</u>:</li> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representat associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions unit operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?</li></ul>	box for each ive not ts or Yes	
<ul> <li><u>Administrative Changes</u>:</li> <li>1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representat associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions unit operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?</li> <li>2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?</li></ul>	box for each ive not ts or Yes Yes	question)
<ul> <li><u>Administrative Changes</u>:</li> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representat associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions unit operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?</li></ul>	box for each ive not ts or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	question)

Tracy White

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

I may white

Inspector's Signature

3/21/2012

Date of Inspection

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: I met with Shannon Brown. The facility was in operation.

A supply truck was loading the middle concrete silo. I observed the silo dust control unit. I observed a minor, constant visible emission that did not appear to exceed 5% opacity. I did not perform a Method 9 test.

I observed the yard. Accumulation of a thick layer of dust was noted on the yard surface. However, yard traffic was not present, so no unconfined emissions were noted.

I informed Mr. Brown that the silo particulate filter unit appeared to be malfunctioning. He also witnessed the emission and indicated that the issue would be corrected. He indicated he would contact me by e-mail when the unit was serviced.

I did not receive a follow-up e-mail, so I contacted Vic Keister on 4/05/2012. He forwarded me a facility e-mail response which documented that the issue had been resolved.

The last compliance (VE) test was performed on 10/18/11. Annual compliance testing is required by rule.

Recommendations:

Precautions should be used to prevent unconfined emissions from yard traffic.

## White, Tracy A.

From: Sent: To: Subject: Keisker, Victor [Victor.Keisker@andersoncolumbia.com] Thursday, April 05, 2012 10:47 AM White, Tracy A. FW: CEMENT SILO REPAIR

Att, net-

FYI

Have a GREAT DAY!

Vic

Vic Keisker Environmental Manager Anderson Columbia Co., Inc. (850)527-9408



From: Brown, Shannon Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2012 9:46 AM To: Keisker, Victor Subject: CEMENT SILO REPAIR

Vic, Tracy White came by plant 21 on 3/21/12 and notified us of a problem with the cement silo. The silo was dusting when a truck was pumping off, I talked to Randy Bacon about this and he was able to get it repaired on 3/24/12 by changing the filters and re-seating a seal on the hatch. Sorry for the late response I thought you and Tracy had already been notified.

Thanks, Shannon Brown Dispatch A Materials Co. 850-575-3888